arXiv:math/0104193v1 [math.AG] 19 Apr 2001

A COMPACTIFICATION OF THE SPACE OF PLANE CURVES

PAUL HACKING

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Definition of the compactification 3
3. A coarse classification of the degenerate surfaces 10
4. Rationality of the components of X 13
5. Bounding ρ of the components of X 15
6. Ruling out the type B* surfaces 18
7. Classification of the normal surfaces 19
8. Push forward and base change and relative Weil divisors 23
9. A review of versal deformations according to Artin 29
10. $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation theory 32
11. Construction of the stack $\mathcal{M}_d$ 38
12. Classification of the type B surfaces 53
13. Simplifications in the case $3 \not| d$ 58
14. Classification of stable pairs for $d = 4, 5$ and 6 59
14.1. $d = 4$ 60
14.2. $d = 5$ 60
14.3. $d = 6$ 62
15. Derivation of the classification for $d = 4, 5$ and 6 65
16. Examples in the case $3 \mid d$ 71
References 75

1. Introduction

The aim of this thesis is to define a geometric, explicitly computable compactification of the moduli space V_d of smooth plane curves of degree $d \geq 4$. The basic idea is to regard a plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ as a log surface $(\mathbb{P}^2, C)$. Then there is a compactification given by a moduli space $\mathcal{M}^1_d$ of log surfaces (X, D) where $K_X + D$ is semi-log-canonical and ample, the log analogue of the moduli space of surfaces of general type constructed by Kollár and Shepherd-Barron [KSB]. For the definitions of semi-log-canonical (slc) and semi-log-terminal (slt) singularities see 2.2. I initially tried to compute these compactifications, with little success — the $d = 4$ case was calculated by Hassett [Has], but as d increases the problem quickly becomes unmanageable.

We consider instead the family of compactifications given by moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_d^\alpha$ of log surfaces (X, D) where $K_X + \alpha D$ is slc and ample, where $\frac{3}{d} < \alpha \leq 1$. Note that we require $\alpha > \frac{3}{d}$ so that $K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \alpha C$ is ample. The compactification is simpler for lower α : roughly, the boundary has fewer components, fewer types of degenerate surfaces X occur. The compactification $\mathcal{M}_d$ we compute below can be described as the limit of $\mathcal{M}_d^\alpha$ as α approaches $\frac{3}{d}$ from above. However, we don't define it in this way to avoid technical difficulties and give a clearer presentation. $\mathcal{M}_d$ is a moduli space of *stable pairs* (X, D). Passing from $\mathcal{M}_d^1$ to $\mathcal{M}_d$ affords three major simplifications:

(1) For (X, D) a stable pair, X is a slc del Pezzo surface, that is, $-K_X$ is ample and X has slc singularities.

(2) For (X, D) a stable pair, $dK_X + 3D$ is Cartier and linearly equivalent to zero. This makes many computations with stable pairs easy (e.g., calculating the possible slt singularities on X for (X, D) a stable pair of given degree d).

(3) For $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ a relative stable pair, both $K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier (whereas for $\mathcal{M}_d^\alpha$ we only know that $K_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \alpha\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier). In particular, we need only consider $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations of X for (X, D) a stable pair.

We now give a map of this thesis. In Section 2 we write down the definition of a stable pair of degree d, and define a moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_d$ of these objects. We prove that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is separated and proper using the valuative criterion and the relative MMP as in [KM]. Thus $\mathcal{M}_d$ gives a compactification of V_d. We delay the discussion of some technical points until Sections 8, 10 and 11. In Sections 8 and 10 we explain the definition of an allowable family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S \in \mathcal{M}_d(S)$ of stable pairs. In particular, in Section 10 we develop a theory of $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations for slc surfaces which is of independent interest. We apply these results in Section 11 to prove that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is a Deligne–Mumford stack, using the methods of Artin [Ar1]. We remark that we don't need to appeal to Alexeev's results in order to bound the index of K_X here — we give an explicit bound using elementary methods.

The aim of the remainder of this thesis is to classify stable pairs. Essentially it is enough to classify the degenerate surfaces X occurring in stable pairs (X, D). For, given X, the divisor D satisfies $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$ and $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ slc for some $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, so D is a member of a given linear system with specified singularities. In Section 3 we give a rough classification of the surfaces X that occur. They are of 4 types A, B, C, D. Type A are the normal surfaces — the log terminal cases have already been classified by Manetti [Ma]. In Section 7 we show that the only strictly log canonical cases are the elliptic cones of degree 9. Type B are the nonnormal slt cases — they have two components meeting in a $\mathbb{P}^1$. We give a classification of these in Section 12. Types C and D are the nonnormal strictly log canonical cases. Type C have a degenerate cusp, type D have a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ quotient of a degenerate cusp, and in both cases the surface is slt outside these points. We remark that there is one more type of slc del Pezzo, denoted B*, which is irreducible, slt and has a folded double curve. However we show in Section 6 that a surface of type B* never admits a smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$, so does not occur in a stable pair.

In Section 13, we show that if (X, D) is a stable pair of degree d where $3 \nmid d$ then X is slt. The main point is that in the case $3 \nmid d$ the condition $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$ shows that K_X is 3-divisible in $\operatorname{Cl} X$ — this is a strong restriction on X. So X is either a Manetti surface or a surface of type B and we have a classification in each case. In particular, X has either 1 or 2 components. We also show that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is smooth if $3 \nmid d$ using deformation theory developed in Sections 10 and 11. The case $3 \mid d$ is much more involved — for example if $d = 6$ there is an X with 18 components (see Example 16.5). Moreover $\mathcal{M}_d$ is not smooth if $3 \nmid d$ (see Example 16.6).

In Section 14 we give the complete classification of the X that occur in degrees 4 and 5, and identify the possible singularities of D on X. We also present partial results in the degree 6 case — we give the complete list of surfaces of types A and B, and give a list of candidates for the surfaces of types C and D. We have yet to determine which of these candidates are smoothable.

I'd like to thank my supervisor Alessio Corti, for invaluable guidance throughout the course of my PhD. Many of the new ideas contained in this thesis grew out of discussions with Alessio, I believe that he always had an excellent intuitive feel for the problem which was a great help to me. I'm indebted to Brendan Hassett, whose preprint of October 1998 (c.f. [Has]) suggested that one should consider the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_d^\alpha$ for $\alpha < 1$. He also provides an outline of the $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation theory of slc surfaces set out in Section 10. Finally, I'd like to thank Tom Fisher, Jan Wierzba and Nick Shepherd-Barron for various helpful conversations.

2. Definition of the compactification

We compactify the space of smooth plane curves V_d of degree $d \geq 4$. Here $V_d = U_d / \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{P}^2$, where U_d is the open subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of curves of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^2$ corresponding to smooth curves. We do this by constructing a moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_d$ of stable pairs (X, D) as defined below. We use the relative MMP, see [KM] for details. We always work over $\mathbb{C}$.

Notation 2.1. Let T denote the spectrum of a DVR, η the generic point, and $\bar{\eta}$ the geometric generic point. If $0 \in S$ is a local scheme, we use script letters to denote flat families over S and normal letters to denote the special fibres. We say $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ is a family of pairs over S if $\mathcal{X}/S$ is a flat family of CM reduced surfaces and $\mathcal{D}$ is a relative Weil divisor on $\mathcal{X}/S$. For the definition of a relative Weil divisor, see Section 8.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a proper connected surface. Let D be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. The pair (X, D) (or $K_X + D$) is *semi-log-canonical* (respectively *semi-log-terminal*) if

(1) X is Cohen–Macaulay and normal crossing in codimension 1.

(2) $K_X + D$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

(3) Let $\nu\colon X^\nu \to X$ be the normalisation of X. Let Δ be the double curve of X and write Δ^ν, D^ν for the inverse images on X^ν. Then $K_{X^\nu} + \Delta^\nu + D^\nu$ is log canonical (respectively log terminal).

We use the abbreviations slc and slt for semi-log-canonical and semi-log-terminal.

Remark 2.3. Note $K_{X^\nu} + \Delta^\nu + D^\nu = \nu^\star(K_X + D)$.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a proper connected surface over an algebraically closed field k. Let D be an effective Weil divisor on X. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 4$. We say that (X, D) is a *semistable pair of degree* d if

(1) X is normal and log terminal.

(2) $K_X + \frac{3}{d}D$ is slc.

(3) $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$, and moreover $\frac{d}{3}K_X + D \sim 0$ if $3 \mid d$.

(4) There exists a smoothing $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T$ of $(X, D)/k$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}} \cong \mathbb{P}^2_{\bar{\eta}}$ and $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

Remark 2.5. We write '$\sim$' to denote linear equivalence of Weil divisors (not $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors). Thus if $B \sim 0$ then in particular B is *Cartier*. This will be important later in the proof of Theorem 2.11. We use '$\equiv$' to denote the weaker notion of numerical equivalence (of $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors).

Remark 2.6. If (X, D) is a semistable pair, X is a normal degeneration of $\mathbb{P}^2$ with log terminal singularities. These have been classified by Manetti [Ma]. In particular, X is projective and $-K_X$ is ample.

Theorem 2.7. *T, η as in Notation 2.1. Let $\mathcal{D}_\eta \subset \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$ be a smooth curve of degree d defined over η. Then there exists a base change $T' \to T$ and a family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T'$ of semistable pairs completing $(\mathbb{P}^2_{\eta'}, \mathcal{D}_{\eta'})$ such that $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.*

We give the proof of the Theorem at the end of this section.

Definition 2.8. Let X be a proper connected surface over an algebraically closed field k. Let D be an effective Weil divisor on X. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 4$. (X, D) is *a stable pair of degree* d if

1. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is slc and ample.
2. $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$, and moreover $\frac{d}{3}K_X + D \sim 0$ if $3 \mid d$.
3. There exists a smoothing $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T$ of $(X, D)/k$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}} \cong \mathbb{P}^2_{\bar{\eta}}$, and $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

Remark 2.9. Note that (1) and (2) imply that $-K_X$ is ample. This is the main reason that stable pairs are easy to classify.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{D}_\eta \subset \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$ be a smooth curve of degree d. Then there exists a base change $T' \to T$ and a family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T'$ of stable pairs completing $(\mathbb{P}^2_{\eta'}, \mathcal{D}_{\eta'})$ such that $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.*

We give the proof of the Theorem at the end of this section.

Theorem 2.11. *For each d there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every stable pair (X, D) of degree d, NK_X is Cartier.*

Remark 2.12. It follows by general theory that stable pairs of degree d are bounded for each d, see the proof of Theorem 11.25.

Notation 2.13. Let $N(d)$ denote the least such N for each d.

Definition 2.14. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ be a family of stable pairs of degree d. That is, $\mathcal{X}$ is flat over S, $\mathcal{D}$ is an effective relative Weil divisor on $\mathcal{X}/S$, and for every geometric point s of S, the fibre $(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_{(s)})$ is a stable pair of degree d. We say that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ is an *allowable family* if $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D})^{[i]}$ commute with base change for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 2.15. The theory of the conditions '$\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D})^{[i]}$ commute with base change' is developed in detail in Sections 8 and 10. In particular $K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier since $K_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier for each closed point $s \in S$, using Lemma 8.22. Moreover, if S is the spectrum of a DVR, with generic point η, and $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ is canonical, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ is allowable iff $K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier by Proposition 11.7. We shall only require this case below.

Definition 2.16. Let $(X, D)/k$ be a stable pair of degree d.

Let $(\mathcal{X}^u, \mathcal{D}^u) \to (0 \in D_0)$ be a versal allowable deformation of the pair $(X, D)/k$, where D_0 is of finite type over k (we prove the existence of such a deformation in Section 11). Let $D_1 \subset D_0$ be the open subscheme where the geometric fibres of $\mathcal{X}^u/D_0$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let D_2 be the scheme theoretic closure of D_1 in D_0.

An allowable deformation of (X, D) is *smoothable* if it is obtained by pullback from the deformation $(\mathcal{X}^u, \mathcal{D}^u) \times_{D_0} D_2 \to (0 \in D_2)$.

Remark 2.17. Example 16.6 and Example 16.7 describe two examples of this construction. Given the smoothability assumption for stable pairs $(X, D)/k$, we require the relative smoothability assumption for families $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ of stable pairs in order to obtain an algebraic stack of stable pairs (c.f. Example 16.7).

Definition 2.18. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ be an allowable family of stable pairs of degree d. $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ is a *smoothable family* if for every geometric point $s \in S$ the induced deformation of $(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_s)$ is smoothable.

Notation 2.19. Let $\underline{Sch}$ be the category of noetherian schemes over $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.20. We define a groupoid $\mathcal{M}_d \to \underline{Sch}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_d(S) = \left\{ (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S \text{ is an allowable smoothable family} \\ \text{of stable pairs of degree } d \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem 2.21. *$\mathcal{M}_d$ is a separated and proper Deligne–Mumford stack.*

Thus $\mathcal{M}_d$ gives a compactification $V_d \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$. The proof that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is a Deligne–Mumford stack is given in Section 11. We prove that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is separated and proper in Theorems 2.24 and 2.27 below. We first give the proof of Theorem 2.11. In fact we prove the following more precise result.

Theorem 2.22. *Let (X, D) be a stable pair of degree d. Then for all $P \in X$, $\operatorname{index}_P K_X \le d$ if $3 \not| d$ and $\operatorname{index}_P(K_X) < \frac{2d}{3}$ if $3 \mid d$.*

Proof. $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is slc and K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier by Definiton 2.8, (1) and (2). Thus X is slc, and $D = 0$ at the strictly slc singularities of X. Now $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$ and moreover $\frac{d}{3}K_X + D \sim 0$ if $3 \mid d$, so the bound is clear at stricly slc points of X . Since X has a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing, the slt singularities are of 3 types (compare Theorem 3.12):

(1) $X \cong \frac{1}{kn^2}(1, na-1)$ where $(a, n) = 1$.
(2) $X \cong (xy = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\frac{1}{r}(1, -1, a)$ where $(a, r) = 1$.
(3) $X \cong (x^2 = zy^2) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}$.

We have $\operatorname{index}_P K_X = n$, r, and 1 in cases 1, 2, and 3. We now use the two properties $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is slc and $dK_X + 3D$ is Cartier to bound n and r in cases (1) and (2).

In case (1), we first remark that $k = 1$ and $3 \not| n$ by Lemma 7.4, using the fact that X smoothes to $\mathbb{P}^2$. Consider the local smooth cover $\mathbb{A}^2_{x,y} \to \mathbb{A}^2_{x,y}/\frac{1}{n^2}(1, na-1)$ of X at P, let $\tilde{D}$ denote the inverse image of D, say $\tilde{P} \mapsto P$. Then $\operatorname{mult}_{\tilde{P}}(\tilde{D}) < \frac{2d}{3}$ since $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is log canonical at P.

Let $(f(x,y)=0)$ be the local equation of $\tilde{D}$ at $\tilde{P}$ and $x^iy^j \in f$ be a monomial of minimal multiplicity. Then $i+j < \frac{2d}{3}$, and $i+(na-1)j = \frac{d}{3}na \bmod n^2$, using dK_X+3D Cartier and $3 \nmid n$. Thus in particular $i = j \bmod n$. Suppose $n \geq \frac{2d}{3}$, then $i=j$, and $j < \frac{d}{3}$, $j = \frac{d}{3} \bmod n$. It follows that if $3 \nmid d$ we have $n \leq d$, and if $3 \mid d$ we have $n \leq \frac{d}{3}$. This proves the bounds in case (1).

In case (2), let Y be the local analytic component $\mathbb{A}^2_{x,z}/\frac{1}{r}(1,a)$ of X at P, let $C \subset Y$ be the double curve, $D_Y = D\,|_Y$. Then $K_Y + C + (\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon)D_Y$ is log canonical at P. Let $\tilde{Y}$ be the smooth cover $\mathbb{A}^2_{x,z} \to \mathbb{A}^2_{x,z}/\frac{1}{r}(1,a)$ of Y, let $\tilde{C}, \tilde{D_Y}$ denote the inverse images of C, D_Y. By adjunction $K_{\tilde{C}}+(\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon)\tilde{D_Y}\,|_{\tilde{C}}$ is log canonical, equivalently $(\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon)\tilde{D_Y}\,|_{\tilde{C}}$ is reduced. Write $\tilde{D}_Y = (f(x,z)=0)$. Then $\tilde{D}_Y\,|_{\tilde{C}} = (f(0,z)=0) = (z^k+\cdots=0) \subset \mathbb{A}^1_z$ where $k < \frac{d}{3}$. We have $3k = d \bmod r$ (respectively $k = \frac{d}{3} \bmod r$) if $3 \nmid d$ (respectively $3 \mid d$), using dK_X+3D Cartier (respectively $\frac{d}{3}K_X + D$ Cartier). It follows that $r \leq d$ if $3 \nmid d$, $r \leq \frac{d}{3}$ if $3 \mid d$. $\square$

We next show that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is separated. This is almost immediate from the general theory of moduli of surfaces. I've included my own proof of a foundational result (Lemma 2.25) because I could not find a proof in the literature.

Definition 2.23. Let $\mathcal{X}/T$ be a normal variety, flat over T. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be an effective Weil divisor, flat over T. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a projective resolution of $\mathcal{X}$, write $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ for the strict transform of $\mathcal{D}$. Assume that the exceptional locus is a divisor, write $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ for the sum of the exceptional divisors dominating T. We say $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}+\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \to (\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})$ is a *semistable log-resolution* of $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/T$ if $\tilde{X}$ is reduced and $\tilde{X} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \cup \operatorname{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ is a simple normal crossing divisor.

Theorem 2.24. *$\mathcal{M}_d$ is separated*

Proof. We use the valuative criterion. So, suppose we are given $(\mathcal{X}^1,\mathcal{D}^1)/T$ and $(\mathcal{X}^2,\mathcal{D}^2)/T$ in $\mathcal{M}_d$ such that $(\mathcal{X}^1,\mathcal{D}^1)_\eta \cong (\mathcal{X}^2,\mathcal{D}^2)_\eta$. We need to show that $(X^1,D^1) \cong (X^2,D^2)$. Note that we may assume that $\mathcal{X}^i_{\bar{\eta}} \cong \mathbb{P}^2_{\bar{\eta}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^i_{\bar{\eta}}$ is smooth for $i=1$ and 2, since the open subset of $\mathcal{M}_d$ of pairs (X,D) where $X \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ and D is smooth is dense. After base change, we may assume that $\mathcal{X}^i_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$.

Possibly after base change, we can construct a common semistable log resolution $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}},\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ of $(\mathcal{X}^1,\mathcal{D}^1)/T$ and $(\mathcal{X}^2,\mathcal{D}^2)/T$ that is an isomorphism over η. We now claim that we can reconstruct the two families as the $K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}+\tilde{X}+(\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon)\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ canonical model of $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}},\tilde{\mathcal{D}})/T$ for $0<\epsilon \ll 1$. So $(\mathcal{X}^1,\mathcal{D}^1) \cong (\mathcal{X}^2,\mathcal{D}^2)$ and $(X^1,D^1) \cong (X^2,D^2)$ as required. To prove the claim, we just need to verify that $K_{\mathcal{X}^i}+X^i+(\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon)\mathcal{D}^i$ is log canonical and relatively ample for $i=1,2$. We can check ampleness on the central fibre. We have $K_{\mathcal{X}^i}+X^i+(\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon)\mathcal{D}^i\,|_{X^i} = K_{X^i}+(\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon)D^i$ by adjunction. This is ample since $K_{X^i}+(\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon^i)D^i$ is ample for some $\epsilon^i>0$ and $K_{X^i}+\frac{3}{d}\mathcal{D}^i \equiv 0$. Now, $K_{X^i}+(\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon^i)D^i$ is slc for some $\epsilon^i>0$ by the definition of $\mathcal{M}_d$, and the same is true for $\epsilon < \epsilon^i$. It follows that $K_{\mathcal{X}^i} + X^i + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)\mathcal{D}^i$ is log canonical by Lemma 2.25. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.25. *Let $\mathcal{X}/T$ be a flat family of surfaces, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{X}$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor that is flat over T. Suppose $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ is normal and $K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Suppose also that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})/T$ admits a semistable log-resolution. Then $(\mathcal{X}, X + \mathcal{B})$ is log canonical iff (X, B) is slc.*

Proof. If $(\mathcal{X}, X + \mathcal{B})$ is log canonical, then trivially (X, B) is slc by adjunction. We now prove the converse. We may work locally at $P \in \mathcal{X}$.

Take a semistable log resolution $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}+\tilde{\mathcal{E}})/T$ of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})/T$. Let $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}+\hat{\mathcal{E}})/T$ be the $K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} + \tilde{\mathcal{B}} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ canonical model over $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$. Then $f : (\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{B}} + \hat{\mathcal{E}}) \to (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ is an isomorphism over the locus where $(\mathcal{X}, X + \mathcal{B})$ is log canonical. $(\mathcal{X}, X + \mathcal{B})$ is log canonical at any codimension 1 point of X. For the implication (X, B) slc $\Rightarrow$ $(\mathcal{X}, X + \mathcal{B})$ log canonical is easy in the case $\dim X = 1$. So no exceptional divisor of f has centre a codimension 1 point of X. Now let V be the normalisation of a component of X, Δ_V the inverse image of the double curve of X on V, and $B_V = \mathcal{B} \mid_V$. Let W be the normalisation of the strict transform of this component in $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$, Δ_W the inverse image of the double curve of $\hat{X}$ on W, $\hat{B}_W = \hat{\mathcal{B}} \mid_W$ and $\hat{E}_W = \hat{\mathcal{E}} \mid_W$. Write $g : W \to V$ for the induced map. We have

$$K_W + \Delta'_V + B'_V = g^\star(K_V + \Delta_V + B_V) + \sum a_i F_i,$$

where the primes denote strict transforms and the F_i are the g-exceptional divisors. Then $a_i \geq -1$ for all i because (X, B) is slc. Now $g_\star(\Delta_W + \hat{B}_W + \hat{E}_W) = \Delta_V + B_V$ since there are no f-exceptional divisors with centre a codimension 1 point of X. So, we have

$$K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{\mathcal{B}} + \hat{\mathcal{E}} \mid_W = K_W + \Delta_W + \hat{B}_W + \hat{E}_W = g^\star(K_V + \Delta_V + B_V) + \sum b_i F_i,$$

where $b_i < 0$ for all i since $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{\mathcal{B}} + \hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is relatively ample. If $F_j \subset \Delta_W + \hat{E}_W$ for some j, we have $b_j \geq a_j + 1 \geq 0$, a contradiction. So, in particular, for each component X_i of X, with strict transform X'_i in $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$, the map $X'_i \to X_i$ does not contract any component of the double curve of $\hat{X}$ on X'_i. It follows that there are no f-exceptional divisors over $0 \in T$ (recall that every such divisor has centre P). Moreover, for each component X_i of X, $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \mid_{X'_i} = 0$. Hence $\hat{\mathcal{E}} = 0$, so f has no exceptional divisors. Then $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{\mathcal{B}} = f^\star(K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{B})$ and $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ f-ample implies f is an isomorphism, so $(\mathcal{X}, X + \mathcal{B})$ is log canonical as required. $\square$

Remark 2.26. The Lemma above is similar to [KSB], p. 325, Theorem 5.1(a). This is an inversion of adjunction type result (compare [KM], p. 174, Theorem 5.50), but we can't use the general theorem to prove our Lemma.

We now give the proof that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is proper. The main steps of the argument are in Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.10. These theorems motivate the definition of a stable pair above.

Proof of theorem 2.7. First complete $(\mathbb{P}^2_\eta, \mathcal{D}_\eta)$ to a family $(\mathbb{P}^2_T, \mathcal{D}')/T$. Possibly after base change (which we suppress in our notation) we can take a semistable log-resolution $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}})/T$ of $(\mathbb{P}^2_T, \mathcal{D}')/T$ which is an isomorphism over η. Then $K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} + \tilde{X} + \frac{3}{d}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is dlt. Run a $K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} + \frac{3}{d}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ MMP over T. Let $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}})/T$ be the end product. Then $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \frac{3}{d}\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ must be relatively nef, since it is zero on the generic fibre. Now it follows that $dK_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + 3\hat{\mathcal{D}} \sim 0$. For we can write $dK_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + 3\hat{\mathcal{D}} \sim \sum a_i \hat{X}_i$, where the $\hat{X}_i$ are the components of $\hat{X}$, since $dK_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + 3\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is zero on the generic fibre. Without loss of generality we may assume $a_1 = 0$ and $a_i \le 0$ for $i > 1$, using the relation $\sum \hat{X}_i \sim 0$. Restricting to $\hat{X}_1$, we see that $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \frac{3}{d}\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ relatively nef implies that $a_i = 0$ for all i such that $\hat{X}_i \cap \hat{X}_1 \neq \emptyset$. Since $\hat{X}$ is connected, repeating the argument we obtain $a_i = 0$ for all i, so $dK_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + 3\hat{\mathcal{D}} \sim 0$ as claimed. Compare Lemma 5.4, (1). We have $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{X} + \frac{3}{d}\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ dlt and $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, so $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{X}$ is dlt. We now run a $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ MMP over T and let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T$ be the end product. Then $\mathcal{X}/T$ is a del Pezzo fibration, since the generic fibre is a del Pezzo surface (namely $\mathbb{P}^2$). Now, $\rho(\mathcal{X}/T) = 1$ and $\mathcal{X}$ $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial gives X irreducible — for we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \frac{\oplus \mathbb{Q} X_i}{(\sum X_i = 0)} \to N^1(\mathcal{X}) \to N^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\eta) \to 0,$$

by Lemma 5.4, where the X_i are the irreducible components of X. The dlt property of $K_{\mathcal{X}} + X$ now gives that X is normal and log terminal. Since $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{X} + \frac{3}{d}\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is dlt and $dK_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + 3\hat{\mathcal{D}} \sim 0$, we have $K_{\mathcal{X}} + X + \frac{3}{d}\mathcal{D}$ log canonical and $dK_{\mathcal{X}} + 3\mathcal{D} \sim 0$. We obtain $K_X + \frac{3}{d}D$ is log canonical and $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$ by adjunction. The sharpening in the case $3 \mid d$ is clear. Finally, since $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and $dK_{\mathcal{X}} + 3\mathcal{D} \sim 0$, $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. $\square$

Proof of theorem 2.10. First complete $(\mathbb{P}^2_\eta, \mathcal{D}_\eta)$ to a family $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')/T$. By Theorem 2.7 and its proof, we may assume that $K_{\mathcal{X}'} + X' + \frac{3}{d}\mathcal{D}'$ is log canonical, and $dK_{\mathcal{X}'} + 3\mathcal{D}' \sim 0$.

After base change, take a semistable log resolution $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}) \to (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')$ which is an isomorphism over η. Now run a $K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ MMP over $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')$. Here $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ is chosen such that for F an exceptional divisor of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$, if $a(F, \mathcal{X}', X' + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)\mathcal{D}') \le -1$ then $a(F, \mathcal{X}', X' + \frac{3}{d}\mathcal{D}') = -1$. Let $f\colon (\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \to (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')$ be the end product. By the choice of ϵ, we have $dK_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + 3\hat{\mathcal{D}} = f^\star(dK_{\mathcal{X}'} + 3\mathcal{D}') \sim 0$.

Now take the $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ canonical model over T, denote this $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T$. Then $K_{\mathcal{X}} + X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)\mathcal{D}$ is log canonical and relatively ample over T, and $dK_{\mathcal{X}} + 3\mathcal{D} \sim 0$ since $dK_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + 3\hat{\mathcal{D}} \sim 0$. We obtain $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is slc and ample and $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$ by adjunction. The sharpening in the case $3 \mid d$

is obvious. Finally since $dK_{\mathcal{X}} + 3\mathcal{D} \sim 0$ and $K_{\mathcal{X}} + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. □

Theorem 2.27. *$\mathcal{M}_d$ is proper*

Proof. We use the valuative criterion of properness. Taking $(\mathcal{X}_\eta, \mathcal{D}_\eta)/\eta \in \mathcal{M}_d$, we need to show that, possibly after base change, there exists an extension $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T \in \mathcal{M}_d$. We may assume that $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$ and $\mathcal{D}_\eta$ is smooth as in the proof of separatedness above. Applying Theorem 2.10 we obtain our result. □

3. A COARSE CLASSIFICATION OF THE DEGENERATE SURFACES

We now work towards a classification of the surfaces X that occur in stable pairs (X, D). We collect the relevant results from the above in the proposition below.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (X, D) be a stable pair. Then $-K_X$ is ample, X is slc, and X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing.*

Proof. $-K_X$ is ample by Definition 2.8, (1) and (2). There exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T$ of (X, D) by Definition 2.8, (3). Finally, $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is slc, and K_X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, so X is slc. □

Notation 3.2. Let X be a surface with normal crossing singularities in codimension 1. Let $\Delta \subset X$ denote the double curve of X. Write $X = \bigcup X_i$ for the decomposition of X into its irreducible components. Let $\Delta_i = \Delta \cap X_i$. Let $\nu : X^\nu \to X$ be the normalisation of X. Write Δ^ν for the inverse image of Δ. Then $(X^\nu, \Delta^\nu) = \amalg(X_i^\nu, \Delta_i^\nu)$, where $X_i^\nu \to X_i$ is the normalisation and Δ_i^ν is the inverse image of Δ_i.

First we give a rough classification of the components (X_i^ν, Δ_i^ν). Then we describe how to glue these components together to recover X.

Theorem 3.3. *(*[KM] *p119 Theorem 4.15)*
Let $(0 \in Y, C)$ be a log canonical pair, where Y is a surface and C is an effective Weil divisor. Assume $C \neq 0$. Then we have the following cases:
(a) $(\mathbb{A}^2_{x,y}/\frac{1}{r}(1,a), (x=0))$, (a,r)=1.
(b) $(\mathbb{A}^2_{x,y}/\frac{1}{r}(1,a), (xy=0))$, (a,r)=1.
(c) $(\mathbb{A}^2_{x,y}/\frac{1}{r}(1,a), (xy=0))/\mu_2$, (a,r)=1, where the μ_2-action is etale in codimension 1 and interchanges $(x=0)$ and $(y=0)$.

Notation 3.4. We will denote cases (a), (b) and (c) by $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a), \Delta)$, $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a), 2\Delta)$ and (D, Δ) respectively. The D stands for dihedral — these singularities generalise the dihedral Du Val singularities.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (Y, C) be a proper log canonical pair with $-(K_Y + C)$ ample. Then (Y, C) belongs to one of the following types:*

(I): $C = 0$.
Then Y has at most one strictly log canonical singularity.

(II): $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ *and (Y, C) log terminal.*
Then (Y, C) has singularities $(\frac{1}{r_i}(1, a_i), \Delta)$ at C, with $\sum(1 - \frac{1}{r_i}) < 2$.

(III): $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \cup \mathbb{P}^1$, *where the two components intersect in a node of C. Then (Y, C) has a singularity of type $(\frac{1}{r}(1, a), 2\Delta)$ at the node of C, and at most one other singularity of type $(\frac{1}{r}(1, a), \Delta)$ on each component of C. Moreover Y has log terminal singularities away from C.*

(IV): $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ *and (Y, C) has a singularity of type (D, Δ).*
Then (Y, C) has at most one other singularity of type $(\frac{1}{r}(1, a), \Delta)$ at C and Y has log terminal singularities away from C.

To prove the theorem, we need the connectedness theorem of Shokurov:

Theorem 3.6. *(*[KM] *p174 Corollary 5.49)*
Let X be a normal, proper variety, $B \subset X$ a Weil divisor, and suppose that $-(K_X + B)$ is nef. Then the locus of log canonical singularities, i.e., $\bigcup\{\mathrm{centre}_X E\}$ taken over all E with discrepancy $a(E, X, B) \leq -1$, is connected.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. First, by Theorem 3.6, either $C = 0$ and there is at most one strictly log canonical singularity on Y, or $C \neq 0$, C is connected, and Y is log terminal away from C. Now let Γ be a component of C. We have $-(K_Y + C)$ is ample, so $(K_Y + C)\Gamma < 0$. Expanding the left hand side,

$$2p_a(\Gamma) - 2 + (C - \Gamma)\Gamma + \mathrm{Diff}(\Gamma, Y) < 0.$$

Now $(C - \Gamma)\Gamma \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{Diff}(\Gamma, Y) \geq 0$, thus $p_a(\Gamma) = 0$, $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and $(C - \Gamma)\Gamma + \mathrm{Diff}(\Gamma, Y) < 2$. We know that (Y, C) is log canonical, so we have the classification given in Theorem 3.3 of the singularities of (Y, C) at C. We calculate that each point of type (a), (b), (c) on Γ contributes $1 - \frac{1}{r}$, 1, 1 to $(C - \Gamma)\Gamma + \mathrm{Diff}(\Gamma, Y)$ respectively. The theorem now follows easily. □

We now want to give the classification of the surfaces X, by glueing together components as above. We first state the classification of nonnormal slc surface singularities.

Notation 3.7. $\Delta^\nu \to \Delta$ is 2-to-1. Let $\Gamma \subset \Delta$ be a component, write Γ^ν for the inverse image in Δ^ν. Then either Γ^ν has two components mapping birationally to Γ, or Γ^ν has one component mapping 2-to-1 to Γ. In the latter case we say $\Gamma \subset \Delta$ is obtained by *folding* $\Gamma^\nu \subset \Delta^\nu$. If we are working locally at $Q \in X$ and we are in the latter case, let $P \mapsto Q$. We say Γ^ν is *pinched at P*.

Theorem 3.8. *(see* [KSB], *Section 4)*
Let $0 \in X$ be a slc singularity, assume that X is non-normal. Then we have the following cases:

(1) *$(xy=0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\frac{1}{r}(a,b,1)$, where $(a,r)=(b,r)=1$.*

(2) *$(xy=0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\mu_r$, where, for ζ a generator of μ_r, we have $x \mapsto \zeta^a y$, $y \mapsto x$, $z \mapsto \zeta z$, and $4 \mid r$, $(a,r)=2$.*

(3) *$(x^2 = zy^2) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\frac{1}{r}(1+a,a,2)$ where r odd, $(a,r)=1$.*

(4) *$0 \in X$ is a degenerate cusp: $X = \bigcup X_i$, with $(0 \in X_i^\nu, \Delta_i^\nu)$ of type $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a), 2\Delta)$, glued to X_{i-1}^ν along one component of Δ_i^ν and X_{i+1}^ν along the other component, so that we have a cycle of components.*

(5) *$0 \in X$ is a μ_2-quotient of a degenerate cusp: $X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_k$, where for $2 \le i \le k-1$, $(0 \in X_i^\nu, \Delta_i^\nu)$ is of type $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a), 2\Delta)$ and is glued to X_{i-1} along one component of Δ_i^ν and X_{i+1} along the other component.*

For $i=1$ or k there are two cases. Either $(0 \in X_1^\nu, \Delta_1^\nu)$ is of type (D, Δ) and X_1^ν is glued to X_2^ν along the single component of Δ_1^ν. Or $(0 \in X_1^\nu, \Delta_1^\nu)$ is of type $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a), 2\Delta)$, X_1^ν is glued to X_2^ν along one component of Δ_1^ν and the other component is pinched at 0. Similarly for $i=k$. So we have a chain of components.

Remark 3.9. (see [KSB], p. 326, Remark 5.2) The following cases admit a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing:
(1) $a+b=0 \bmod r$.
(2) None.
(3) $r=1$.
(4) All.
(5) Unknown.

Theorem 3.10. *Let X be a slc proper surface with $-K_X$ ample. Then X belongs to one of the following types (we use the notation of Theorem 3.5 to describe the components):*

(A): *X normal.*
$(X,0)$ is a surface of type I.

(B): *$X = X_1 \cup X_2$, slt.*
$(X_1,\Delta_1), (X_2,\Delta_2)$ are surfaces of type II, glued along $\Delta \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

(B*): *X irreducible, non-normal, slt.*
(X^ν, Δ^ν) is a surface of type II, X is formed by folding Δ^ν.

(C): *X has a degenerate cusp.*
X is a union of components of type III which are glued together to form a cycle. More precisely, $X = \bigcup X_i$, where X_i^ν is glued to X_{i-1}^ν and X_{i+1}^ν along the two components of Δ_i^ν for all i, in such a way that the nodes of the curves Δ_i^ν all coincide — this point is the degenerate cusp.

(D): *X has a μ_2 quotient of a degenerate cusp.*
X has some components of type III glued together to form a chain. At each end we glue on either a component of type IV or a component of type III with one component of C folded. The nodes of the curves Δ_i^ν and the dihedral singularities on the components of type IV all coincide — this point is the μ_2-quotient of a degenerate cusp.

Here, the singularities of type $(\frac{1}{r}(a,1),\Delta)$ on (X^ν,Δ^ν) are glued together together in pairs $\frac{1}{r}(a,1)$ and $\frac{1}{r}(b,1)$ to give a singularity of type $(xy=0)\subset\frac{1}{r}(a,b,1)$ on X.

Proof of theorem 3.10. The classification in the Theorem is immediate from the classification of the components (X_i^ν,Δ_i^ν) in Theorem 3.5 using the classification of slc singularities in Theorem 3.8. ☐

Remark 3.11. We remark that a surface of type B* does not admit a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$ — see Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 3.12. *Notation as in theorem 3.10.*
If X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing, we have the following additional conditions:

1. *The normal, log terminal singularities of X are cyclic quotient singularities of the form $\frac{1}{dn^2}(1,dna-1)$.*
2. *The singularities of type $(\frac{1}{r}(a,1),\Delta)$ on (X^ν,Δ^ν) are glued together together in pairs $\frac{1}{r}(a,1)$ and $\frac{1}{r}(-a,1)$ to give a singularity of type $(xy=0)\subset\frac{1}{r}(a,-a,1)$ on X.*
3. *If $\Gamma^\nu\subset\Delta^\nu$ is pinched at P, then either $(P\in X^\nu,\Delta^\nu)$ is of type $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a),2\Delta)$ or $P\in X^\nu$ is smooth.*

Proof. (1) is the well known classification of smoothable log terminal surface singularities ([KSB], p. 313 Propn 3.10). Conditions (2) and (3) follow from Remark 3.9. ☐

Remark 3.13. If X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$, then in (1) we have $d=1$ and $3\not|\,n$. See Lemma 7.4.

4. Rationality of the components of X

In this section we prove that all the components of X are rational unless X is an elliptic cone.

Notation 4.1. Let X be a slc proper surface with $-K_X$ ample. Let $(Y,C)\subset(X^\nu,\Delta^\nu)$ be a component. Let $\pi\colon\tilde{Y}\to Y$ be the minimal resolution of Y. Write $K_{\tilde{Y}}+\tilde{C}=\pi^\star(K_Y+C)$, where $\pi_\star\tilde{C}=C$. Let $\phi\colon\tilde{Y}\to\bar{Y}$ be a minimal model of $\tilde{Y}$. Note that Y is birationally ruled (see below), so $\bar{Y}$ is either ruled or $\mathbb{P}^2$. Write $\bar{C}=\phi_\star\tilde{C}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Notation as above.*

(1) $\tilde{C}$ *is an effective* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor with components of multiplicity* ≤ 1*.*

(2) $-(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C})$ *is nef and big, and is zero exactly on* $\operatorname{Exc}\pi$*.*

(3) $-(K_{\bar{Y}} + \bar{C})$ *is nef and big.*

Proof. Write $K_{\tilde{Y}} + C' = \pi^\star(K_Y + C) + \sum a_i E_i$ where the E_i are the exceptional divisors of π, and C' is the strict transform of C. Then $\tilde{C} = C' + \sum(-a_i)E_i$. Here $a_i \geq -1$ for all i since (Y, C) is log canonical. π is minimal, so $K_{\tilde{Y}}$ is π-nef, hence also $K_{\tilde{Y}} + C'$ is π-nef. It follows that $a_i \leq 0$ for all i. This proves (1). (2) is immediate since $K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C} = \pi^\star(K_Y + C)$ by definition and $-(K_Y + C)$ is ample. (3) now follows since $(K_{\bar{Y}} + \bar{C}) = \phi_\star(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C})$ $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Either* Y *is rational, or* Y *is an elliptic cone and* $X = Y$*.*

Proof. First, we claim $\tilde{Y}$ is birationally ruled. For $-(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C})$ is nef and big, so $h^0(n(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C})) = 0$ for all $n > 0$. $\tilde{C}$ is effective, so $h^0(nK_{\tilde{Y}}) = 0$ for all $n > 0$. It follows that $\tilde{Y}$ is birationally ruled. We may assume that $\tilde{Y}$ is not rational. Then $\bar{Y}$ is ruled over a curve of positive genus, let $\bar{Y} \to B$ be a ruling and let p denote the composite $p : \tilde{Y} \to \bar{Y} \to B$.

Suppose that there is no horizontal component of $\tilde{C}$. Thus $\bar{C} \subset \bar{Y}$ is a sum of fibres. Now $-(K_{\bar{Y}} + \bar{C})$ nef and big implies that $-K_{\bar{Y}}$ is nef and big. Then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}) = h^1(K_{\bar{Y}}) = 0$ by Serre duality and Kodaira vanishing, a contradiction.

Thus there is an irrational component of $\operatorname{Supp}\tilde{C} \subset C' \cup \operatorname{Exc}(\pi)$. By Theorem 3.5 we know that C has only rational components, so by the classification of log canonical singularities it follows that Y has a simple elliptic singularity. Let E denote the π-exceptional elliptic curve on $\tilde{Y}$. Then E has multiplicity 1 in $\tilde{C}$ and E is horizontal. Now $-(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C})$ is big so $-(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C}).f > 0$ for f a fibre of the ruling, thus $E \cdot f = 1$, E is a section. Next we claim that $\tilde{Y}$ is in fact ruled. Suppose not, then there exists a degenerate fibre. Let A be a component meeting E. Then A is not contained in $\operatorname{Supp}\tilde{C}$. We have $(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C}) \cdot A \leq 0$, with equality iff A is contracted by π. But also

$$(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C}) \cdot A \geq K_{\tilde{Y}} \cdot A + E \cdot A \geq -1 + 1 = 0,$$

with equality only if A is a -1 curve. Thus A is a -1 curve and is contracted by π, a contradiction since π is minimal. So $\tilde{Y}$ is ruled over an elliptic curve. Y is obtained from $\tilde{Y}$ by contracting the negative section and so Y is an elliptic cone. Finally $C = 0$ by Shokurov's connectedness theorem (compare Theorem 3.5) so $X = Y$. $\square$

5. Bounding ρ of the components of X

We prove bounds on the values $\rho(Y)$ for Y a component of X, using the existence of a smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$. We use these in Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 12.1 to give necessary and sufficient conditions for surfaces of types A and B to be smoothable to $\mathbb{P}^2$. For types C and D we do not have necessary and sufficient criteria for smoothability as yet. However the bounds we give here substantially simplify the explicit calculations we do for $d = 6$ in Section 14 (note types C and D only occur if $3 \mid d$ — see Theorem 13.1).

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a slc proper surface with $-K_X$ ample, and $\mathcal{X}/T$ a smoothing with $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$.*
(1) $\sum \rho(X_i^\nu) \leq V + E$, with equality only if $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.
Here V = number of components of X and E = number of components of Δ, not counting components obtained by folding a component of Δ^ν.
(2) If $X_1 \cap (X - X_1) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\rho(X_1^\nu) \leq 2$.
(3) If $X_1 \cap (X - X_1) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \cup \mathbb{P}^1$, $\rho(X_1^\nu) \leq 4$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let X be a slc proper surface with $-K_X$ ample, and $\mathcal{X}/T$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing with $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$.*
In the cases enumerated in Theorem 3.10, we have the following bounds for $\rho(X_i^\nu)$.

- **(A):** $\rho(X) = 1$.
- **(B):** *Either $\rho(X_1) = \rho(X_2) = 1$, or $\{\rho(X_1), \rho(X_2)\} = \{1, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.*
- **(C):** *$\rho(X_i) \leq 4$ for all i, and $\sum \rho(X_i) \leq 2V$, equal only if $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.*
- **(D):** *$\rho(X_i) \leq 3$ for X_i a middle component, $\rho(X_i^\nu) \leq 2$ for X_i an end component, and $\sum \rho(X_i^\nu) \leq 2V - 1$, equal only if $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.*

Remark 5.3. Case B* does not occur by Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 5.4. *Let X be a proper surface, normal crossing in codimension 1, and $\mathcal{X}/T$ a smoothing with $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$.*
(1) We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \frac{\oplus \mathbb{Z} X_i}{(\sum X_i = 0)} \to \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^2_\eta) \to 0.$$

(2) Assume in addition that X is projective. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to N^1(\mathcal{X})$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. (1) Certainly

$$\frac{\oplus \mathbb{Z} X_i}{(\sum X_i = 0)} \to \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^2_\eta) \to 0$$

is exact, so we just need to show the first map is injective. Suppose $\sum a_i X_i \sim 0$, then using $\sum X_i \sim 0$, we can replace this with a relation $\sum b_i X_i \sim 0$,

where, without loss of generality, $b_1 = 0$ and $b_i \geq 0$ for $i > 1$. Then $(\sum b_i X_i) \mid_{X_1}$ is effective and linearly equivalent to 0. So $(\sum b_i X_i) \mid_{X_1} = 0$ since X_1 is proper. Thus $b_i = 0$ for all i such that $X_1 \cap X_i \neq \emptyset$. Since X is connected, repeating the argument we obtain $b_i = 0$ for all i, as required.
(2) Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is a Cartier divisor which is numerically equivalent to 0. Then $\mathcal{D}_\eta \sim 0$, thus $\mathcal{D} \sim \sum a_i X_i$, some a_i. Now a similar argument to the above shows $\mathcal{D} \sim 0$ as required. □

Lemma 5.5. *Let X be a slc proper surface with $-K_X$ ample, and $\mathcal{X}/T$ a smoothing with $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$.*
(1) $N_1(X) \to N_1(\mathcal{X})$ and $N^1(\mathcal{X}) \to N^1(X)$ are isomorphisms.
(2) $N_1(\Delta) \to N_1(X^\nu) \to N_1(X) \to 0$ is exact.
Dually, $N^1(\Delta) \leftarrow N^1(X^\nu) \leftarrow N^1(X) \leftarrow 0$ is exact.
Here $N_1(\Delta) \to N_1(X^\nu)$ is defined as follows: if Γ is a component of Δ obtained by identifying two components $\Gamma_1 \subset X^\nu_j$ and $\Gamma_2 \subset X^\nu_k$ of Δ^ν, we have $[\Gamma] \mapsto (0, \dots, 0, [\Gamma_1], 0, \dots, 0, -[\Gamma_2], 0, \dots, 0)$, where we're using the decomposition $N_1(X^\nu) = \oplus_i N_1(X^\nu_i)$, and the nonzero entries are in the jth and kth positions. If Γ is a component of Δ obtained by folding a component of Δ^ν, then $[\Gamma] \mapsto 0$.

Proof. (1) First we show that $\operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Pic} X$ is an isomorphism. From the exponential sequence for X we obtain the long exact cohomology sequence

$$\cdots \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \to \operatorname{Pic} X \to H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \to \cdots$$

We have $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^0(K_X)$ by Serre duality. Thus $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ since $-K_X$ is ample. Now $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = 1$ gives $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. So we obtain that $\operatorname{Pic} X \to H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. Now, since $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, cohomology and base change ([Har], p. 290, Theorem 12.11) gives $R^1 f_\star \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} = R^2 f_\star \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} = 0$, thus $h^1(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}) = 0$ since T is affine. Using the exponential sequence for $\mathcal{X}$ we obtain that $\operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{X} \to H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. Finally, $H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism since X is a homotopy retract of $\mathcal{X}$, so $\operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Pic} X$ is an isomorphism as claimed.

Now, since $\operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Pic} X$ is surjective, $N^1(\mathcal{X}) \to N^1(X)$ is surjective and $N_1(X) \to N_1(\mathcal{X})$ is injective. But $N_1(X) \to N_1(\mathcal{X})$ is clearly surjective since X is the only closed fibre of $\mathcal{X}/T$. Hence $N_1(X) \to N_1(\mathcal{X})$ and $N^1(\mathcal{X}) \to N^1(X)$ are isomorphisms.

(2) First, we show that we have isomorphisms $N^1(X^\nu) \cong H^2(X^\nu, \mathbb{Q})$, $N^1(X) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and dually $N_1(X^\nu) \cong H_2(X^\nu, \mathbb{Q})$, $N_1(X) \cong H_2(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Well, first from the proof of (1) above we have $\operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{X} \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q} \overset{\cong}{\to} H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q})$, and by Lemma 5.4(2) we have $\operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{X} \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q} \overset{\cong}{\to} N^1(\mathcal{X})$, so we obtain $N^1(\mathcal{X}) \overset{\cong}{\to} H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q})$. This gives $N^1(X) \overset{\cong}{\to} H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$. For X^ν, let X^ν_i be a component, let $\alpha_i : \tilde{X}_i \to X^\nu_i$ be a resolution. We may assume that X is not normal, otherwise we are done by the above. Then X_i is rational by Theorem 4.3. Thus $\operatorname{Pic}(\tilde{X}_i) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q} \overset{\cong}{\to} N^1(\tilde{X}_i)$, it follows that $\operatorname{Pic}(X^\nu_i) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q} \overset{\cong}{\to} N^1(X^\nu_i)$. We have $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X^\nu_i}) = H^2(\mathcal{O}_{X^\nu_i}) = 0$. For $h^2(\mathcal{O}_{X^\nu_i}) = h^0(K_{X^\nu_i}) = 0$ since

$-(K_{X_i^\nu}+\Delta_i^\nu)$ is ample, and $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_i^\nu})=0$ since $\tilde{X}_i$ is rational (using Leray). So $\operatorname{Pic}(X_i^\nu)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}\overset{\cong}{\to}H^2(X_i^\nu,\mathbb{Q})$ using the exponential sequence. Thus $N^1(X^\nu)\overset{\cong}{\to}H^2(X^\nu,\mathbb{Q})$ as desired.

It remains to show that

$$H_2(\Delta)\to H_2(X^\nu)\to H_2(X)\to 0$$

is exact, where the map $H_2(\Delta)\to H_2(X^\nu)$ is as in the statement of the lemma, and we work with $\mathbb{Q}$ coefficients. We use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence inductively here, separating off one component at a time.

First we separate the double curves $\Gamma\subset\Delta$ where the two branches of X at Γ belong to the same component. In this case, X is homotopy equivalent to $X'\cup L$, where X' is X with the two branches at Γ separated, L is $\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))$, and X' and L are glued along $\Gamma^\nu\subset X'$, where $\Gamma^\nu\hookrightarrow L$ is obtained using the 2-to-1 map $\Gamma^\nu\to\Gamma$. Note that $\Gamma^\nu\to\Gamma$ is either (a) $\mathbb{P}^1\to\mathbb{P}^1$, 2-to-1, or (b) $\mathbb{P}^1\cup\mathbb{P}^1\to\mathbb{P}^1$ where the two components are joined at a node and each maps isomorphically onto Γ. Then

$$H_2(\Gamma^\nu)\to H_2(X')\oplus H_2(L)\to H_2(X)\to 0$$

is exact, using $H_1(\Gamma^\nu)=0$. In case (a) we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\mathbb{Q} & \to & H_2(X')\oplus\mathbb{Q} & \to & H_2(X) & \to & 0\\ 1 & \mapsto & ([\Gamma^\nu],-2) & & & & \end{array}$$

thus $H_2(X')\to H_2(X)$ is an isomorphism. In case (b), writing $\Gamma^\nu=\Gamma_1^\nu\cup\Gamma_2^\nu$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\mathbb{Q}^{\oplus 2} & \to & H_2(X')\oplus\mathbb{Q} & \to & H_2(X) & \to & 0\\ (1,0) & \mapsto & ([\Gamma_1^\nu],-1) & & & & \\ (0,1) & \mapsto & ([\Gamma_2^\nu],-1) & & & & \end{array}$$

thus

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\mathbb{Q} & \to & H_2(X') & \to & H_2(X) & \to & 0\\ 1 & \mapsto & ([\Gamma_1^\nu]-[\Gamma_2^\nu]) & & & & \end{array}$$

is exact. Next we separate the components of X. Let X_1 be a component (assumed normal), and write $X=X_1\cup X'$, $\Delta_1=X_1\cap X'$. Then

$$H_2(\Delta_1)\to H_2(X_1)\oplus H_2(X')\to H_2(X)\to 0$$

is exact, using $H_1(\Delta_1)=0$ (recall Δ_1 is either $\mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{P}^1\cup\mathbb{P}^1$). Now, using these steps repeatedly, we obtain our result.

□

Proof of Theorem 5.1. (1) We have

$$N_1(\Delta)\to N_1(X^\nu)\to N_1(X)\to 0$$

exact, where $[\Gamma]\in N_1(\Delta)$ maps to zero if $\Gamma\subset\Delta$ is obtained by folding $\Gamma^\nu\subset\Delta^\nu$. Now $N_1(X)\cong N^1(X)^\vee$,

$$N^1(X)\cong N^1(\mathcal{X})\cong\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}\hookrightarrow\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{X})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q},$$

and $\dim\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{X})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}=V$. Thus $\dim N_1(X)\le V$, with equality iff $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. So, using the exact sequence above, we obtain $\sum\rho(X_i^\nu)\le V+E$,

equal only if $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.
(2) The maps $N_1(X_1^\nu) \to N_1(\mathcal{X})$ and $N^1(\mathcal{X}) \to N^1(X_1^\nu)$ are dual. Let X_2 be the component of $X - X_1$ meeting X_1, let Γ denote the intersection. By the exact sequence of Lemma 5.5, (2), we find that $N_1(X_1^\nu) \to N_1(\mathcal{X})$ is injective (recall that $N_1(X) \overset{\cong}{\to} N_1(\mathcal{X})$). For, if β is in the kernel, $\beta = \lambda[\Gamma] \in N_1(X_1^\nu)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. Intersecting with a relatively ample divisor on $\mathcal{X}/T$, we find that $\lambda = 0$, so $\beta = 0$. Now using the description of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{X})$ in Lemma 5.4 we see that $\dim(\mathrm{im}(N^1(\mathcal{X}) \to N^1(X_1^\nu))) \leq 2$. For locally at X_1, $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{X})$ is generated by X_2 and $\mathcal{H}$ (where $\mathcal{H}$ is a divisor flat over T, of degree 1 on $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$). So we obtain $\rho(X_1^\nu) \leq 2$ as desired.
(3) Similarly, in this case we find that $\dim\ker[N_1(X_1^\nu) \to N_1(\mathcal{X})] \leq 1$, and $\dim\mathrm{im}[N^1(\mathcal{X}) \to N^1(X_1)] \leq 3$, so we obtain $\rho(X_1^\nu) \leq 4$.

□

Proof of corollary 5.2. All this follows from Theorem 5.1 except for the claim that $\rho(X_i) \leq 3$ for X_i a middle component of a surface of type D. To prove this, observe that in this case $N_1(X_i) \to N_1(\mathcal{X})$ is injective. For write $X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_k$, where X_i is glued to X_{i+1} by glueing Δ_i^2 to Δ_{i+1}^1. Suppose β is in the kernel of $N_1(X_i) \to N_1(\mathcal{X})$. By the exact sequence of Lemma 5.5, (2), we have

$$[(0, \ldots, \beta, 0, \ldots, 0)] = (\lambda_1[\Delta_1^1], -\lambda_1[\Delta_2^1] + \lambda_2[\Delta_2^2], \ldots, -\lambda_{k-1}[\Delta_k^1]) \in \oplus N_1(X_j^\nu),$$

for some $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thus $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{k-1} = 0$. Working inductively, we obtain $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_{i-1} = 0$ and $\lambda_{k-1} = \lambda_{k-2} = \cdots = \lambda_i = 0$, so $\beta = 0$ as claimed. We now conclude as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, (3). □

6. Ruling out the type B* surfaces

Theorem 6.1. *A surface of type B* (see Theorem 3.10) does not admit a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. Suppose X is a counter example, let $\mathcal{X}/T$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing with $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$. We have $\rho(X^\nu) = 1$ by Theorem 5.1, (1). We have $K_X^2 = K_{\mathbb{P}^2_\eta}^2 = 9$ since $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Thus $(K_{X^\nu} + \Delta^\nu)^2 = 9$, so $K_{X^\nu}^2 > 9$, using $-K_{X^\nu}$ ample and $\rho(X^\nu) = 1$. So $K_{X^\nu}^2 + \rho(X^\nu) > 10$.

Let $\tilde{X} \to X^\nu$ be the minimal resolution of X^ν. Then $\tilde{X}$ is rational by Theorem 4.3. Applying Noether's formula we obtain $K_{\tilde{X}}^2 + \rho(\tilde{X}) = 10$. So the resolution $\tilde{X} \to X^\nu$ has strictly decreased $K^2 + \rho$. However, we calculate below that the only possible singularities on X^ν will increase $K^2 + \rho$ when we take the minimal resolution, so we have a contradiction.

(X^ν, Δ^ν) has singularities of type $(\frac{1}{dn^2}(1, dna-1), 0)$ and $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a), \Delta)$, with the latter cases occurring in pairs $\frac{1}{r}(1,a)$ and $\frac{1}{r}(1,-a)$, by Theorem 3.12. Now, given a cyclic quotient singularity $\frac{1}{r}(1,a)$, let $\frac{r}{a} = [b_1, \ldots, b_k]$ be the expansion of $\frac{r}{a}$ as a Hirzebruch continued fraction. The geometric interpretation of this is that the minimal resolution of the singularity has exceptional locus a chain of smooth rational curves with self-intersections $-b_1, \ldots, -b_k$.

Then on taking the minimal resolution of the singularity, the change in $K^2 + \rho$ is given by

$$\beta = k + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k}(2 - b_i) - \frac{a + a' + 2}{r}$$

where a' denotes the inverse of a modulo r ([Ma], p. 111). We have $\beta = d - 1 \geq 0$ in the case $\frac{1}{dn^2}(1, dna - 1)$ ([Ma], p. 112). Finally, we calcuate $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 4(1 - \frac{1}{r}) \geq 0$ in the case of a pair of singularities $\frac{1}{r}(1, a)$, $\frac{1}{r}(-1, a)$. To see this, note that if we write $\frac{r}{a} = [b_1, \ldots, b_k]$ and $\frac{r}{r-a} = [c_1, \ldots, c_l]$, we have $\sum(b_i - 1) = \sum(c_j - 1) = k + l - 1$. ☐

7. CLASSIFICATION OF THE NORMAL SURFACES

Manetti has classified normal log terminal degenerations of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in [Ma] — we will refer to such surfaces as Manetti surfaces. We state the basic result below.

Theorem 7.1. *(compare* [Ma]*, p. 90, Main Theorem) Suppose X is a normal log terminal proper surface with $-K_X$ ample. Then X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$ iff*

1. *X has singularities of type $\frac{1}{n^2}(1, na - 1)$, $(a, n) = 1$.*
2. *$\rho(X) = 1$.*

We now classify the normal log canonical degenerations of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Theorem 7.2. *Let X be a normal log canonical proper surface with $-K_X$ ample. Then X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$ iff X is a Manetti surface or X is an elliptic cone of degree* 9.

Remark 7.3. If $\mathcal{X}/T$ is a smoothing of a normal proper surface X to $\mathbb{P}^2$, then $\mathcal{X}/T$ is projective and $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein, and $-K_{\mathcal{X}/T}$ is relatively ample. The projectivity is proved in [Ma], p. 95, Theorem 4, the rest follows since $\rho(\mathcal{X}/T) = 1$ (by Lemma 5.4).

Lemma 7.4. *Let X be an slc proper surface. Suppose X has a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then every normal log terminal singularity of X is a cyclic quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{n^2}(1, na - 1)$, where $(a, n) = 1$. Moreover $3 \nmid n$.*

Proof. First, we know that every normal log terminal singularity of X is of the form $\frac{1}{dn^2}(1, na - 1)$, since we assume there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing (compare Theorem 3.12(1)). We need to show that $d = 1$. We sketch the proof here, for details see [Ma], p. 103, Propn 13(i) and Remark 6. We compute that the Milnor fibre F of a smoothing of a singularity of type $\frac{1}{dn^2}(1, na - 1)$ has $b_2(F) = d - 1$ and negative definite intersection product. Now, since $\mathbb{P}^2$ has positive definite intersection product, it follows that $b_2(F) = 0$, so $d = 1$ as required.

Finally, we show $3 \not| n$. Let $\mathcal{X}/T$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of X to $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $(P \in X) \cong \frac{1}{n^2}(1, na-1)$. Then locally at $P \in \mathcal{X}$, we have $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, generated by $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ (see [KSB], p. 313, Propn 3.10, and [Ko2], p. 135, Propn 2.2.7). But $K_{\mathcal{X}} \sim -3\mathcal{H}$ locally at P, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a divisor, flat over T, that restricts to a hyperplane section on $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$. Thus $3 \not| n$. For another proof using the Milnor fibre, see [Ma], p. 105, Theorem 15(ii). $\square$

Notation 7.5. We call singularities of the form $\frac{1}{dn^2}(1, dna-1)$ singularities of class T. We call singularities of the form $\frac{1}{n^2}(1, na-1)$ singularities of class T_1.

Proof of Theorem 7.2. Suppose given a normal log canonical del Pezzo surface X which admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$. We may assume X is strictly log canonical, otherwise X is a Manetti surface. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the minimal resolution of X. If $\tilde{X}$ is not rational, then X is an elliptic cone by Theorem 4.3. Then $K_X^2 = K_{\mathbb{P}^2}^2 = 9$ gives that X is an elliptic cone of degree 9.

So we may assume $\tilde{X}$ is rational. The Leray spectral sequence gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \to H^0(R^1 f_\star \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Now $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^0(K_X) = 0$ since K_X is ample, and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ since $\tilde{X}$ is rational. So $H^0(R^1 f_\star \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$, X has rational singularities.

We can now use a result of Manetti ([Ma], p. 95, Theorem 4, and p. 100, Theorem 11): Let $\phi : \tilde{X} \to \bar{X} \cong \mathbb{F}_w$ be a birational morphism, with w maximal (so ϕ is an isomorphism over the negative section B of $\mathbb{F}_w$). Let $p \colon \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ denote the birational ruling so obtained. Then the exceptional locus of π is the strict transform B' of B together with the irreducible components of the degenerate fibres of p of self-intersection ≤ -2. In particular, $w \geq 2$. Moreover every degenerate fibre contains a unique -1 curve. We quickly sketch the proof of this. First, since X smoothes to $\mathbb{P}^2$, we have $h^0(-K_X) \geq h^0(-K_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = 10$, and $h^0(-K_{\tilde{X}}) = h^0(-K_X)$ since $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ is minimal. Manetti deduces there is no horizontal curve C on $\tilde{X}$ with $C^2 \leq -2$ except possibly B'. Now since $\rho(X) = 1$, it follows that the exceptional locus of π is B' together with all the components of the degenerate fibres of self intersection ≤ -2, and every degenerate fibre has a unique -1 curve.

There are two types of rational strictly log canonical surface singularities — namely a μ_2 quotient of a cusp and a quotient of a simple elliptic singularity. Consider the minimal resolutions of these singularities. In each case the exceptional locus is a union of smooth rational curves. For a μ_2 quotient of a cusp, the exceptional locus consists of a chain of curves with two -2 curves off each end component of the chain. For a quotient of a simple elliptic singularity, the exceptional locus consists of a curve with three chains of curves off it. We now analyse how these could possibly fit into the minimal resolution $\tilde{X}$ of X.

Consider the minimal model program yielding $\phi : \tilde{X} \to \bar{X} \cong \mathbb{F}_w$ in the neighbourhood of a given fibre of $p : \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$. At each stage we contract a -1 curve, meeting at most 2 components of the fibre, and disjoint from B' (since ϕ is an isomorphism over B). We know that

$$\operatorname{Exc}(\pi) = B' \cup \{\Gamma \subset \tilde{Y} \mid p_\star(\Gamma) = 0 \text{ and } \Gamma^2 \leq -2\}.$$

This set decomposes into the exceptional loci of the minimal resolutions of one log canonical rational singularity and some T_1 singularities.

First concentrate on the log canonical singularity; let E denote the exceptional locus of its minimal resolution. Then E contains a curve C which meets 3 other components of E — we call such a curve a *fork* of E. Suppose f is a degenerate fibre of p containing a fork C of E. Let A' denote the component meeting B' (this is the strict transform of the corresponding fibre A of $\bar{X} = \mathbb{F}_w$). Then we have a decomposition $f = P \cup \Gamma \cup Q \cup C \cup R \cup S$, where

(1) Γ is the unique -1 curve in f.

(2) P is a string of curves, with one end component meeting Γ, P contracts to a T_1 singularity (or is empty).

(3) Q,R and S are nonempty configurations of curves meeting Γ and C, C, and C and B' respectively.

Then in the MMP $\tilde{X} \to \cdots \to \bar{X}$, we contract Γ, $P \cup Q$, C and $R \cup S \backslash A'$ in that order. (Note: Q and R are nonempty because C is a fork. One might think S could be empty since E contains B', but in that case $C = A'$ and must be contracted before we can contract R, a contradiction).

Next suppose that f is a degenerate fibre of p that does not contain a fork of E. Then we have a decomposition $f = P \cup \Gamma \cup Q$, where

(1) Γ is the unique -1 curve in f.

(2) P is a string of curves, with one end component meeting Γ, P contracts to a T_1 singularity (or is empty).

(3) Q is a non-empty string of curves meeting Γ and with one end component meeting B'.

We now analyse these two cases for each of the two types of singularity. We call them fibre types I and II. First suppose X has a μ_2 quotient of a cusp singularity. So $E = F \cup G_1 \cup \cdots \cup G_4$ where $F = F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_k$ is a chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s, and G_1, G_2 (respectively G_3, G_4) are -2-curves meeting F_1 (respectively F_k).

Suppose F_1 is contained in a degenerate fibre f. Then as above we can write $f = P \cup \Gamma \cup Q \cup C \cup R \cup S$ where without loss of generality $Q = G_1$,

$C = F_1$, $R = G_2$ and $S = F_2 \cup \cdots \cup F_l$ for some $l < k$. Note that f cannot contain the other fork F_k of E, since then $F_k = A'$ contradicting the description above. We contract $P \cup \Gamma \cup Q$ first. We deduce that the curves in the string P have self-intersections $-3, -2, \ldots, -2$. Thus P contracts to a $\frac{1}{2r+1}(1, r)$ singularity, where r is the length of the string. But this is never a T_1 singularity (since $(r + 1, 2r + 1) = 1$), a contradiction. So P is empty. We can now calculate that the curves in the string S have self-intersections $-3, -2, \ldots, -2, -1$ if $l > 2$. Then $F_l^2 = -1$, a contradiction. Hence $l = 2$.

Next suppose F_1 is not contained in a degenerate fibre. Then F_1 is horizontal, hence $F_1 = B'$. Then it follows that we have a fibre of type II with $Q = G_1$, a -2 curve. We deduce that P is a single -2 curve. But then P contracts to a $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1)$ singularity, which is not T_1, a contradiction.

Combining, we deduce that $k = 5$, and we have two fibres of the form $\Gamma \cup G_1 \cup G_2 \cup F_1 \cup F_2$ as above, and $F_3 = B'$. There are no further degenerate fibres. It only remains to calculate w. We use $K_X^2 = 9$ to deduce $w = 11$.

I claim that the surface X constructed above does not admit a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing. Let $Y \to X$ be the index one cover of X at the singular point. Then Y has a cusp singularity and the exceptional locus of the minimal resolution $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ is a cycle of rational curves of self-intersections $-2, -2, -2, -11, -2, -2, -2, -11$. Suppose X has a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing, then, taking the canonical cover of the smoothing at the singular point we obtain a smoothing of Y. Let M denote the Milnor fibre of the smoothing of Y. Consider the intersection product on $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$, write $b_2(M) = \mu_0 + \mu_+ + \mu_-$, where μ_0, μ_+ and μ_- are the number of zero, positive and negative eigenvalues of the intersection form. Since Y is normal and Gorenstein, we have [St2]

$$\mu_- = 10h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}) + K_{\tilde{Y}}^2 + b_2(\tilde{Y}) - b_1(\tilde{Y}).$$

In our case we calculate $\mu_- = 10 - 18 + 8 - 1 = -1$, a contradiction. So Y is not smoothable, hence X does not have a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing (not even locally).

Now suppose X has a quotient of a simple elliptic singularity. So $E = F \cup G^1 \cup G^2 \cup G^3$ where $F = \mathbb{P}^1$, $G^i = G_1^i \cup \cdots \cup G_{k(i)}^i$ is a chain of smooth rational curves and G_1^i meets F, for $i = 1, 2$ and 3. We first give a partial classification of these singularities. We can contract the chains G^i to obtain a partial resolution $\hat{X} \to X$. Write $\hat{F}$ for the image of F under $\tilde{X} \to \hat{X}$. Then the chains G^i contract to singularities of type $(\frac{1}{r}(1, a), \Delta)$ on $(\hat{X}, \hat{F})$. Let r_1, r_2, r_3 be the indices of these singularities, then $\sum \frac{1}{r_i} = 1$ (because X is assumed to be strictly log canonical — the condition is equivalent to $K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{F} = \pi^\star K_X$). Thus $(r_1, r_2, r_3) = (2, 3, 6), (2, 4, 4)$ or $(3, 3, 3)$ after reordering. In particular, we see that each chain G^i is either a single $\mathbb{P}^1$ of self-intersection $-r_i$, or a chain of $r_i - 1$ $\mathbb{P}^1$'s of self-intersection -2.

We claim that the fork F of E cannot be contained in a fibre f. By the classification above, its enough to show that $w \neq 2$, since this then forces

$F = A'$, a contradiction. Write $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{C} = \pi^\star K_X$. Let $\bar{C} = \phi_\star \tilde{C}$. Then

$$(K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{C})^2 > (K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{C})^2 = K_X^2 = 9.$$

Since ϕ is an isomorphism over B, we have $(K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{C})B = (K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{C})B' = \pi^\star K_X B' = 0$ because B' is π-exceptional. So $K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{C} \sim \lambda(B + wA)$, writing A for a fibre of $\bar{X} \cong \mathbb{F}_w \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Here $\lambda = -2 + \mu$ where μ is the multiplicity of B' in $\tilde{C}$. Now $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ since X is log canonical and π is minimal. Hence

$$9 < (K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{C})^2 = \lambda^2 (B + wA)^2 = \lambda^2 w \leq 4w,$$

so $w > 2$ as required.

Thus F is horizontal, $F = B'$ and we have 3 degenerate fibres of type II. In each case Q is a single curve of self-intersection $-r_i$ or a string of $(r_i - 1)$ -2-curves. If the fibre f is a string, we deduce that P is a string of $(r_i - 1)$ -2-curves or a single curve of self-intersection $-r_i$ respectively. Now, since P contracts to a T_1 singularity, we deduce P is a single -4-curve and $r_i = -4$. If f is not a string, we find that Q is a string of three -2-curves, Γ meets the middle component, and P is empty, hence again $r_i = 4$. So $r_i = 4$ for all i, contradicting the classification above.

It remains to show that an elliptic cone of degree 9 admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$. We prove this in Lemma 7.6 below. $\square$

Lemma 7.6. *Let X be an elliptic cone of degree 9. Then X has a smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. Given an elliptic cone X of degree 9, write $\tilde{X} \to X$ for the minimal resolution of X. Then $\tilde{X}$ is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve E, i.e., $\tilde{X} = \underline{\mathbb{P}}_E(\mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{L}^\vee)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on E of degree 9. We claim that X is determined up to isomorphism by its section E. For $\operatorname{Aut} E$ acts transitively on E, and given a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ of degree 9 we have $\mathcal{L} \sim 9P$ for some $P \in E$ (c.f. [Har], p. 337, Exercise 4.6(b)), thus $\operatorname{Aut} E$ acts transitively on the line bundles of degree 9. Our claim follows.

Let T be the spectrum of a DVR, and write $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{P}^2_T$. Let $E \hookrightarrow Y = \mathbb{P}^2$ be an elliptic curve in the special fibre. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be the blowup of $\mathcal{Y}$ in E. Then the special fibre $\tilde{Y}$ consists of the strict transform Y' of Y together with a ruled surface F of degree 9 over the elliptic curve E. We contract Y' to obtain a family $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}/T$ which is a smoothing of an elliptic cone of degree 9 over E to $\mathbb{P}^2$. $\square$

Remark 7.7. Note that any smoothing of X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein since K_X is Cartier.

8. Push forward and base change and relative Weil divisors

The aim of this section is to define the notion of a relative Weil divisor and explain the conditions '$\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D})^{[i]}$ commute with base change' in the definition (2.14) of an allowable family of stable pairs. See also Section 10.

We first recall some of Kollár's theory of push forward and base change for open immersions. These results are proved in [Ko1] (unpublished), I at least provide the statements here.

Notation 8.1. Let $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to S$ be a morphism of schemes. Let $i\colon \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an open subscheme, $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{U}$, and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{U}$ a subscheme proper over S. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{U}$ which is flat over S. Given a morphism $g\colon S' \to S$, write $\mathcal{X}^g = \mathcal{X} \times_S S'$, $\mathcal{U}^g = \mathcal{U} \times_S S'$ etc., $g_{\mathcal{X}}\colon \mathcal{X}^g \to \mathcal{X}$ for the induced morphism, $\mathcal{F}^g = g_{\mathcal{X}}^{\star}\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 8.2. We say that the push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with $g\colon S' \to S$ if the natural map $g_{\mathcal{X}}^{\star} i_{\star}\mathcal{F} \to i_{\star}^{g}\mathcal{F}^g$ is an isomorphism in a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{Z}$. We say that the push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with arbitrary base change if the push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with any $g\colon S' \to S$.

Remark 8.3. In our applications, $\mathcal{Z}$ contains all closed points of $\mathcal{W}$, so an isomorphism in a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{Z}$ is a global isomorphism. More specifically, we are only interested in the following special case: $\mathcal{F}$ is an invertible sheaf, $\mathcal{X}/S$ is a family of CM reduced surfaces, $\mathcal{X}_s - \mathcal{U}_s$ is finite for every $s \in S$, and either $\mathcal{X}/S$ is proper and $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{U}$ or $P \in \mathcal{X}$ is local and $\mathcal{Z} = P$. Then $i_{\star}\mathcal{F}$ is a 'divisorial sheaf' as defined below. We write '$i_{\star}\mathcal{F}$ commutes with base change' to mean the push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with arbitrary base change in this case (since the choice of $\mathcal{U}$ is immaterial by Lemma 8.16(1)).

Lemma 8.4. *Notation as above.*

1. *The push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with any flat $S' \to S$*
2. *Let $h\colon T \to S$ be faithfully flat. Then the push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with arbitrary base change iff the push forward of $\mathcal{F}^h$ commutes with arbitrary base change.*
3. *Assume that the push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with arbitrary base change and let $h\colon T \to S$ be a morphism, then the push forward of $\mathcal{F}^h$ commutes with arbitrary base change.*

Lemma 8.5. *The following are equivalent:*

1. *The push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with arbitrary base change.*
2. *The push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with every $j\colon s \hookrightarrow S$ where $s \in S$ is a closed point.*
3. *The natural morphism $j_{\mathcal{X}}^{\star} i_{\star}\mathcal{F} \to i_{\star}^{j}\mathcal{F}^j$ is surjective for every $j\colon s \hookrightarrow S$ where $s \in S$ is a closed point.*

Lemma 8.6. *If the push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with arbitrary base change then $i_{\star}\mathcal{F}$ is flat over S.*

Lemma 8.7. *Notation as above. Assume that $0 \in S$ is local, $\mathcal{F}^0$ satisfies Serre's condition S_2, and that $i_{\star}^{0}(\mathcal{F}^0)$ is coherent. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *The push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with arbitrary base change.*
(2) *The push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with $j\colon 0 \hookrightarrow S$.*
(3) *For every local Artinian subscheme $h\colon A \hookrightarrow S$ the push forward of $\mathcal{F}^h$ commutes with $j\colon 0 \hookrightarrow A$.*

Theorem 8.8. *Notation as above. Assume that S is Noetherian, $\mathcal{X}/S$ is projective, and that $\mathcal{F}^s$ is S_2 and $i^s_\star\mathcal{F}^s$ is coherent for all $s \in S$. Then there exists a locally closed stratification $\amalg S_i \to S$, such that if T is a reduced scheme and $h\colon T \to S$ is morphism, then the push forward of $\mathcal{F}^h$ commutes with arbitrary base change iff h factors through $\amalg S_i \to S$.*

Remark 8.9. The assumption that $\mathcal{X}/S$ is projective is necessary for general S. However, if we assume that S is the spectrum of a complete local ring, then the conclusion holds for arbitrary $\mathcal{X}/S$.

Remark 8.10. We would like to remove the requirement that T is a *reduced* scheme — we do not know if this is possible.

We now define the notion of a relative Weil divisor for a family of CM reduced surfaces $\mathcal{X}/S$ over an arbitrary base $S \in \underline{Sch}$. This is a generalisation of Mumford's notion of a relative Cartier divisor ([Mu], Lecture 10).

Definition 8.11. Let $\mathcal{X}/S$ be a family of CM reduced surfaces. We say a codimension 1 closed subscheme $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is a *relative effective Weil divisor* if there exists an open subscheme $i\colon \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and an effective Cartier divisor $\mathcal{D}^0$ on $\mathcal{U}/S$, flat over S, such that

(1) $\mathcal{X}_s - \mathcal{U}_s$ is finite for each $s \in S$.
(2) $\mathcal{D} = \overline{\mathcal{D}^0}$, the scheme theoretic closure of $\mathcal{D}^0$ in $\mathcal{X}$.

We define a *relative Weil divisor* to be a formal difference $\mathcal{D}^+ - \mathcal{D}^-$ of relative effective Weil divisors. Given a relative Weil divisor $\mathcal{D}$, we define an associated sheaf $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{D}) = i_\star\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}|_\mathcal{U})$, where $i\colon \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the open subscheme where $\mathcal{D}^+$ and $\mathcal{D}^-$ are Cartier, and $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}|_\mathcal{U})$ is the invertible sheaf corresponding to the Cartier divisor $\mathcal{D}|_\mathcal{U}$ as usual. We say that $\mathcal{D}$ is *Cartier* if $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{D})$ is invertible. Given $T \to S$, we define the pullback $\mathcal{D}_{(T)}$ of a relative effective Weil divisor $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{X}_T = \mathcal{X} \times_S T$ via $\mathcal{D}_{(T)} = \overline{\mathcal{D}^0 \times_S T}$. We define the pullback of a general relative Weil divisor by linearity.

We say a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}/S$ is a *divisorial sheaf* if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{D})$ for some relative Weil divisor $\mathcal{D}$. Equivalently, there exists an open subscheme $i\colon \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{F}\,|_\mathcal{U}$ is invertible, $\mathcal{X}_s - \mathcal{U}_s$ is finite for each $s \in S$ and $\mathcal{F} = i_\star i^\star\mathcal{F}$.

Given a divisorial sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{F}^{[N]}$ denote the sheaf $i_\star((i^\star\mathcal{F})^{\otimes N})$ (corresponds to multiplication of the divisor by N).

Remark 8.12. The assumption that $\mathcal{D}^0$ is flat over S is equivalent to the following: for all $s \in S$, $\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{D}$ does not contain any component of $\mathcal{X}_s$ ([Mu], Lecture 10, p. 72).

Remark 8.13. Given a relative Weil divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{X}/S$, the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D})$ is coherent (using Remark 8.17 below).

Remark 8.14. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a divisorial sheaf and $j : \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is *any* open subscheme such that $\mathcal{X}_s - \mathcal{U}_s$ is finite for each s then $j_\star j^\star \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ (using Lemma 8.16(1)).

Remark 8.15. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{(T)}$ is *not* the same as $\mathcal{D}_T = \mathcal{D} \times_S T$ in general, and moreover $\mathcal{D}$ is not necessarily flat over S. See Lemma 8.19 and Example 8.20 below.

Our next result is a technical lemma which, given a family $\mathcal{X}/S$ and a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, flat over S, relates the S_2 property for the fibres $\mathcal{F}_s$ of $\mathcal{F}$ to a relative S_2-type property for $\mathcal{F}$. Note that it is *not* true that if every fibre $\mathcal{F}_s$ is S_2 then the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is S_2 — we can easily construct a counter example where the base S is not S_2.

Lemma 8.16. *Let $\mathcal{X}/S$ be a family of CM reduced surfaces and $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ which is flat over S.*

(1) *Suppose that for each closed point $s \in S$ the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_s$ on $\mathcal{X}_s$ satisfies Serre's condition S_2. Let $i \colon \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an open subscheme such that the set $\mathcal{X}_s - \mathcal{U}_s$ is finite for each $s \in S$. Then the natural map $\mathcal{F} \to i_\star i^\star \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism.*

(2) *Suppose that for each closed point $s \in S$ the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_s$ on $\mathcal{X}_s$ is invertible in codimension 1. Then there exists $i \colon \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that the set $\mathcal{X}_s - \mathcal{U}_s$ is finite for each $s \in S$ and $i^\star \mathcal{F}$ is invertible.*

In particular, if $\mathcal{F}_s$ is invertible in codimension 1 and S_2 for each closed point $s \in S$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is a divisorial sheaf.

Proof. (1) Write $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{U}$. We work locally at a closed point $P \in \mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$, say $P \mapsto s \in S$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_s \subset \mathcal{X}_s$ is a closed subscheme with support P. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}_s$ is S_2 by assumption, so there exists a regular sequence $x_s, y_s \in m_{\mathcal{X}_s,P}$ for $\mathcal{F}_s$ at P. Replacing x_s, y_s by x_s^k, y_s^k if necessary, we may assume that $x_s, y_s \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_s}$. Now lift x_s, y_s to $x, y \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}$, then x, y is a regular sequence for $\mathcal{F}$ at P ([Mat], p. 177, Corollary of Theorem 22.5). Equivalently, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \overset{(y,-x)}{\to} \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \overset{(x,y)}{\to} \mathcal{F}.$$

Consider the natural map $\mathcal{F} \to i_\star i^\star \mathcal{F}$, write K for the kernel and C for the cokernel. K and C have support contained in the set $\mathcal{Z}$, so any given element of K or C is annihilated by some power of $\mathcal{I}_Z$. So, if $K \neq 0$, there exists $0 \neq g \in K$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}} g = 0$, so in particular $xg = yg = 0$, contradicting the exact sequence above. Similiarly if $C \neq 0$, there exists $g \in i_\star i^\star \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}} g \subset \mathcal{F}$. Again using the exact sequence above, since $(yg, -xg) \mapsto 0$ we obtain $(yg, -xg) = (yg', -xg')$ for some $g' \in \mathcal{F}$, it follows that $g = g'$, a contradiction. Thus $K = C = 0$, so the map $\mathcal{F} \to i_\star i^\star \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism as claimed.

(2) If $\mathcal{F}_s$ is invertible at $P \in \mathcal{X}_s$ then, working locally at P, lifting an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_s} \to \mathcal{F}_s$ we obtain a surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{F}$, by Nakayama's Lemma. Now, by flatness of $\mathcal{F}$, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism, so $\mathcal{F}$ is invertible at $P \in \mathcal{X}$. $\square$

Remark 8.17. In particular, if $\mathcal{X}/S$ is a family of CM reduced surfaces, and $i \colon \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an open inclusion such that $\mathcal{X}_s - \mathcal{U}_s$ is finite for each $s \in S$, then $i_\star i^\star \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Example 8.18. The sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is divisorial for a family $\mathcal{X}/S$ of slc surfaces. For $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is flat over S, and, for each $s \in S$, the natural map $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} \otimes k(s) \to \omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ is an isomorphism and $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ is invertible in codimension 1 and S_2. We write $K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ for a relative Weil divisor such that $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(K_{\mathcal{X}/S})$.

Lemma 8.19. *Let $\mathcal{X}/S$ be a family of CM reduced surfaces, $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ a relative effective Weil divisor. Then $\mathcal{D}_{(T)} = \mathcal{D}_T$ for all $T \to S$ iff $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D})$ commutes with base change. Moreover, in this case $\mathcal{D}$ is flat over S.*

Proof. We may assume that S and T are affine, write $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$, $T = \operatorname{Spec} B$. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{T}or_1^A(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}, B) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D}) \otimes_A B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_B} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_B} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
& 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_B}(-\mathcal{D}_{(B)}) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_B} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_{(B)}} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}_B = \mathcal{D}_{(B)}$ iff $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D}) \otimes_A B \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_B}(-\mathcal{D}_{(B)})$ is surjective, by the snake lemma. This proves the first part using Lemma 8.5(3). Moreover, we see that in this case $\mathcal{T}or_1^A(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}, B) = 0$ for all $A \to B$, hence $\mathcal{D}$ is flat over S as required. $\square$

Example 8.20. Let $\mathcal{X}/T$ be a family of surfaces over the spectrum of a DVR T with generic fibre $\mathbb{F}_0/k(\eta)$ and special fibre $\mathbb{F}_4/k$ (this can be realised as a family of scrolls in a projective space). We can contract the negative section B of $\mathbb{F}_4$ to obtain a family $\bar{\mathcal{X}}/T$. Let $P \in \bar{\mathcal{X}}$ be the image of B, then $K_{\bar{\mathcal{X}}}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier at P. However the special fibre $\bar{X} = \mathbb{P}(1,1,4)$ has $2K_{\bar{X}}$ Cartier. Let $\mathcal{D} \in |-2K_{\bar{\mathcal{X}}}|$ be a relative Weil divisor, then necessarily $P \in \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{D}$, let D be the restriction of the divisor $\mathcal{D}$ to the special fibre. Then the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D}) \otimes k \to \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ is not surjective. For otherwise $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D})$ is invertible by Nakayama's Lemma, a contradiction. Thus the scheme theoretic fibre $\mathcal{D} \otimes k$ has an embedded point at P, in particular $\mathcal{D} \otimes k \neq D$.

Example 8.21. Let $\mathcal{X}/S$ be a family of CM reduced surfaces and $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}/S$ a codimension 1 closed subscheme, flat over S. Then for $s \in S$ we can define the restriction $\mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ to the fibre $\mathcal{X}_s$ (we take the double dual of the ideal sheaf of the fibre $\mathcal{D}_s$ as the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{D}_{(s)}$). Consider the locus S' of $s \in S$ such that $\mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ (equivalently $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D}) \otimes k(s)$ is S_2). Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D})$ is flat over S, this locus is open. Finally, let S'' be the open locus of points $s \in S'$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ is Cartier in codimension 1. Then $\mathcal{D}'' = \mathcal{D} \times_S S''$ is a relative Weil divisor on $\mathcal{X}'' = \mathcal{X} \times_S S''/S''$ by Lemma 8.16.

We prove below some foundational results concerning $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier relative Weil divisors.

Lemma 8.22. *Let $\mathcal{X}/S$ be a family of CM reduced surfaces, with $0 \in S$ local. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a relative Weil divisor. Suppose that ND is Cartier. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(N\mathcal{D})$ commutes with base change if and only if $N\mathcal{D}$ is Cartier.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(N\mathcal{D})$ commutes with base change then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(N\mathcal{D}) \otimes k \to \mathcal{O}_X(ND)$ is an isomorphism, and $\mathcal{O}_X(ND)$ is invertible by assumption. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(N\mathcal{D})$ is coherent, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(N\mathcal{D})$ is invertible by Nakayama's Lemma and the flatness of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(N\mathcal{D})$ (using Lemma 8.6).

Conversely, suppose $N\mathcal{D}$ is Cartier. By Lemma 8.5(2), we need only show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(N\mathcal{D}) \otimes k \to \mathcal{O}_X(ND)$ is an isomorphism. Both sides are invertible by assumption and the map is an isomorphism in codimension 1, thus it is an isomorphism since X is S_2. ☐

Proposition–Definition 8.23. *Let $\mathcal{X}/S$ be a family of CM reduced surfaces with $P \in \mathcal{X}$ local, and $\mathcal{D}$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier relative Weil divisor on $\mathcal{X}/S$ of index N. We define a μ_N quotient $\pi \colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ as follows:*

$$\mathcal{Z} = \underline{\operatorname{Spec}}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}((N-1)\mathcal{D}))$$

where the multiplication is given by fixing an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(N\mathcal{D}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $i \colon \mathcal{X}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the locus where $\mathcal{D}$ is Cartier and $j \colon \mathcal{Z}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ the inverse image in $\mathcal{Z}$. We have

1. *$\pi^0 \colon \mathcal{Z}^0 \to \mathcal{X}^0$ is etale.*
2. *The relative Weil divisor $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \pi^\star \mathcal{D}$ is Cartier.*
3. *$j_\star j^\star \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$.*

Conversely, any μ_N quotient $\pi \colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ satisfying these criteria is of the form above. We refer to such a cover as a cyclic cover of $\mathcal{X}/S$ defined by $\mathcal{D}$, or, in the case $\mathcal{D} = K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$, an index one cover of $\mathcal{X}/S$.

The construction is unique up to the choice of an element of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^\times)/H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^\times)^N$. In particular if $P \in \mathcal{X}$ is a local analytic germ, the construction is unique.

Proof. This is a straightforward generalisation of the usual cyclic covering trick [YPG]. ☐

Remark 8.24. Note that $\pi^{-1}P$ is a single point (using $\operatorname{index} \mathcal{D} = N$).

Lemma 8.25. *Let $\mathcal{X}/S$ be a family of CM reduced surfaces, with $0 \in S$ local, and $\mathcal{D}$ a relative Weil divisor on $\mathcal{X}$. Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Then* $\operatorname{index}(\mathcal{D}) = \operatorname{index}(D)$.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{index} \mathcal{D} = N$, $\operatorname{index} D = M$, then $N\mathcal{D}$ Cartier gives $ND = N\mathcal{D}\,|_X$ Cartier, so $M \mid N$. We claim that $M = N$. We may work locally at $P \in \mathcal{X}$.

First suppose that $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ where A is a local Artinian k-algebra. Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a cyclic cover defined by $\mathcal{D}$. Let $i\colon \mathcal{X}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the locus where $\mathcal{D}$ is Cartier (then $\mathcal{X}^0$ is the open subscheme of $\mathcal{X}$ with underlying space $X - P$) and let $j\colon \mathcal{Z}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be the inverse image in $\mathcal{Z}$. We have $j_\star \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}^0} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}$ connected implies $\mathcal{Z}^0$ is connected. Hence Z^0 is connected, since Z^0 and $\mathcal{Z}^0$ have the same underlying space. But

$$Z^0 = \underline{\operatorname{Spec}}_{X^0}(\mathcal{O}_{X^0} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X^0}(-D^0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X^0}(-(N-1)D^0)),$$

thus the cover

$$Z' = \underline{\operatorname{Spec}}_X(i_\star \pi^0_\star \mathcal{O}_{Z^0}) = \underline{\operatorname{Spec}}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-(N-1)D))$$

of X is connected, it follows that the index M of D is equal to N.

The general case now follows using Lemma 8.22 and Lemma 8.7 — we have $M\mathcal{D}_{(A)}$ Cartier for every Artinian subscheme $A \hookrightarrow S$, hence $M\mathcal{D}$ is Cartier, so $M = N$. ☐

9. A REVIEW OF VERSAL DEFORMATIONS ACCORDING TO ARTIN

The aim of this section is to give necessary conditions for a groupoid F over $\underline{C}$ to admit algebraic, everywhere versal deformations (Theorem 9.12). This theory was developed by Artin in [Ar1], starting from the work of Schlessinger [Sch]. In Section 11 we use our result to prove that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is an algebraic stack.

Notation 9.1. Let $\underline{C}$ be the category of noetherian $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. We say a morphism $A' \to A$ in $\underline{C}$ is an *extension* if it is surjective, we say it is an *infinitesimal extension* if it has nilpotent kernel.

Notation 9.2. Let F be a groupoid over $\underline{C}$. We write $F(A)$ for the fibre over $A \in \underline{C}$. Given $A \to B$ in $\underline{C}$ and $b \in F(B)$, we write $F_b(A)$ for the groupoid of maps $a \to b$ in F lying over $A \to B$. We write $\bar{F}(A)$, $\bar{F}_b(A)$ for the isomorphism classes of $F(A)$, $F_b(A)$, these define functors

$$\bar{F}\colon \underline{C} \to (\mathit{Sets}),$$

$$\bar{F}_b\colon \underline{C}\backslash B \to (\mathit{Sets}).$$

Definition 9.3. Let F be a groupoid over $\underline{C}$. We say that F is *limit preserving* if the natural functor

$$\overset{\lim}{\to} F(A_i) \to F(\overset{\lim}{\to} A_i)$$

is an equivalence of categories for every direct system $\{A_i\}$ in $\underline{C}$ such that $\overset{\lim}{\to} A_i \in \underline{C}$.

Notation 9.4. Let $A_0 \in \underline{C}$, let $A \to A_0$ be an extension, and $A' \to A$ an infinitesimal extension with kernel M, where M is a finite A_0-module (i.e., writing N for the kernel of $A' \to A_0$, we have $MN = 0$ in A'). We write $A + M$ for the trivial extension of A by M, namely the A-module $A \oplus M$ with multiplication given by $M^2 = 0$.

We define conditions (S1)(a), (S1)(b) and (S2) for a groupoid F over $\underline{C}$ as follows:

Condition (S1)(a). *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd} & B \arrow[d] \\ A' \arrow[r] & A \end{tikzcd}$$

be a diagram in $\underline{C}$*, where* $A' \to A$ *is as in Notation 9.4. Assume that the composed map* $B \to A_0$ *is surjective. Let* $a \in F(A)$*. Then the canonical map*

$$\bar{F}_a(A' \times_A B) \to \bar{F}_a(A') \times \bar{F}_a(B)$$

is surjective.

Condition (S1)(b). *Let* $B \to A_0$ *be surjective, and let* M *be a finite* A_0*-module. Let* $b \in F(B)$ *have direct image* $a_0 \in F(A_0)$*. Then the canonical map*

$$\bar{F}_b(B + M) \to \bar{F}_{a_0}(A_0 + M)$$

is bijective.

Remark 9.5. If F satisfies (S1)(b), $\bar{F}_{a_0}(A_0 + M)$ has a natural A_0-module structure, and the underlying additive group acts on $\bar{F}_a(A')$ [Sch].

Notation 9.6. Write $D_{a_0}(M) = \bar{F}_{a_0}(A_0 + M)$.

Condition (S2). $D_{a_0}(M)$ *is a finite* A_0*-module.*

Definition 9.7. By an obstruction theory $\mathcal{O}$ for F we mean the following data:

(1) For each infinitesimal extension $A \to A_0$ and element $a \in F(A)$, a functor

$$\mathcal{O}_a \colon (\text{ finite } A_0 - \text{modules }) \to (\text{ finite } A_0 - \text{modules }).$$

(2) For each $A' \to A$ as in Notation 9.4 and $a \in F(A)$, an element $o_a(A') \in \mathcal{O}_a(M)$ which is zero iff $\bar{F}_a(A') \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 9.8. The data is required to be functorial. That is, given a morphism of extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & A' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\theta"] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] & B' \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

where $A \to B \to A_0$ is surjective and M, N are finite A_0-modules, and given $a \in F(A)$ with direct image $b \in F(B)$, we have a natural map $\mathcal{O}_a(M) \to \mathcal{O}_b(N)$ determined by θ and ϕ such that $o_a(A') \mapsto o_b(B')$.

We also require that the data is linear in (A_0, M), i.e., given M and N finite A_0-modules, the map

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A_0}(M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A_0}(\mathcal{O}_a(M), \mathcal{O}_a(N)),\ \phi \mapsto \mathcal{O}_a(\phi)$$

is an A_0-module homomorphism.

Definition 9.9. We say $A \in \underline{C}$ is *algebraic* if it is of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. We say $a \in F(A)$ is *algebraic* if A is algebraic.

We state some further conditions we require for D and $\mathcal{O}$.

Condition 9.10. (1) *D and $\mathcal{O}$ are compatible with etale localisation, i.e., given $p\colon A \to B$ etale, $a \in F(A)$ with direct image $b \in F(B)$ etc., we have*

$$D_{b_0}(M \otimes B_0) \cong D_{a_0}(M) \otimes B_0,$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_b(M \otimes B_0) \cong \mathcal{O}_a(M) \otimes B_0.$$

(2) *D is compatible with completions, i.e., for m a maximal ideal of A_0, we have*

$$D_{a_0}(M) \otimes \hat{A}_0 \cong \overset{\lim}{\leftarrow} D_{a_0}(M/m^n M).$$

(3) *Constructibility: For A_0 reduced, there is an open dense set of points $p \in \operatorname{Spec} A_0$ such that*

$$D_{a_0}(M) \otimes k(p) \cong D_{a_0}(M \otimes k(p)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_a(M) \otimes k(p) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_a(M \otimes k(p)).$$

Definition 9.11. The *lifting property* for $v \in \operatorname{Ob}(F)$ is the following: Given $\theta\colon v \to a$ and $\phi\colon a' \to a$ in F such that the direct image $A' \to A$ of ϕ in $\underline{C}$ is surjective, there exists $\theta'\colon v \to a'$ such that $\phi \circ \theta' = \theta$.

An element $v \in F(R)$ is *formally versal* at $p \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ if the lifting property holds whenever A' is a finite length extension of the residue field $k(p)$. We say $v \in F(R)$ is *formally smooth* over F if the lifting property holds whenever $A' \to A$ is an infinitesimal extension.

Theorem 9.12. *Let F be a limit preserving groupoid over $\underline{C}$. Assume that we are given an obstruction theory $\mathcal{O}$ for F. Suppose that F satisfies (S1)(a),(b) and (S2) and that D and $\mathcal{O}$ satisfy the conditions of 9.10 for algebraic A, $B \in \underline{C}$. Suppose also that, if $\hat{A}$ is a complete local ring in $\underline{C}$, the map*

$$\bar{F}(\hat{A}) \to \overset{\lim}{\leftarrow} \bar{F}(\hat{A}/m^n)$$

has dense image. Then, given $u \in F(\mathbb{C})$ there exists an algebraic ring R with a closed point $0 \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $v \in F(R)$, formally smooth over F, such that $v_0 = u$.

Proof. This follows from [Ar1], p. 170, Corollary 3.2 and p. 175, Theorem 4.4. ☐

10. $\mathbb{Q}$-GORENSTEIN DEFORMATION THEORY

We define a workable theory of $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations of slc surfaces as suggested in [Ko6] — we say $\mathcal{X}/S$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein if $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ commutes with base change for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Definition 10.10). In particular, for $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S \in \mathcal{M}_d(S)$, the family $\mathcal{X}/S$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein by definition. This theory is used in Section 11 to show that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is an algebraic stack using the methods of Artin. We first review some standard deformation theory.

Notation 10.1. We consider families of schemes $\mathcal{X}/A$, where $A \in \underline{C}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is a noetherian scheme, flat over A, which is either of finite type over A or affine, and is separated for the m_P-adic topology for each $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. Given an infinitesimal extension $A' \to A$ in $\underline{C}$, write $\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A')$ for the set of deformations of $\mathcal{X}/A$ over A'. Given a family $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ extending $\mathcal{X}/A$, write $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$ for the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ over A' which restrict to the identity on $\mathcal{X}/A$. Given $A \to B$ in $\underline{C}$, we also write $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(B)$ for $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(\mathcal{X} \otimes_A B/B)$.

Remark 10.2. We want to allow the fibres to be *local*, in particular we cannot assume that $\mathcal{X}/A$ is of finite type. We need to insist that $\mathcal{X}/A$ is separated for the m_P-adic topology for each $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ to ensure that, e.g., there are no empty fibres of $\mathcal{X}/A$ (c.f. [Ko4], p. 21).

Definition 10.3. Let $A \in \underline{C}$ and let $\mathcal{X}/A$ be a family of schemes over A as in Notation 10.1. Let $\mathcal{L}.$ be a cotangent complex for $\mathcal{X}/A$, in the derived category of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ ([LS], p. 44, Definition 2.1.3). Given a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, define $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})^{\cdot} = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{L}., \mathcal{F})$. Define $\mathcal{T}^i(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})^{\cdot})$ and $T^i(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{H}^i(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})^{\cdot})$. Here, given a complex of sheaves, we use $\mathcal{H}$ to denote the cohomology sheaves and $\mathbb{H}$ to denote the hypercohomology groups.

Remark 10.4. If $\mathcal{X}/A$ is of finite type we may assume that the sheaves $\mathcal{L}_j$ are coherent, so in particular the $\mathcal{T}^i(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$ are coherent.

Remark 10.5. We only need the cases $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_A B$ for some finite A-module B.

Remark 10.6. There is a local-to-global spectral sequence relating the $\mathcal{T}^i$ and the T^i :

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}^q(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow T^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}).$$

This is the usual hypercohomology spectral sequence, see [GH], p. 445.

Theorem 10.7. *Let $\mathcal{X}/A$ be a family of schemes and $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$. Then*

1. $\mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{X}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/A}, \mathcal{F})$.
2. $\mathcal{T}^1(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$ *is supported on the locus where* $\mathcal{X}/A$ *is not a smooth morphism.*
3. $\mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$ *is supported on the locus where* $\mathcal{X}/A$ *is not an lci morphism.*

Proof. See [LS], 2.3, Theorem 3.1.5 and Corollary 3.2.2. ☐

Theorem 10.8. *Notation as in 9.4. Let $\mathcal{X}_0/A_0$ be a family of schemes and $\mathcal{X}/A$ a family extending $\mathcal{X}_0/A_0$.*

1. *There exists a canonical element* $o_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') \in T^2(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ *such that* $\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') \neq \emptyset$ *iff* $o_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') = 0$.

2. *If* $o_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') = 0$, $\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A')$ *is a principal homogeneous space under* $T^1(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$.

3. *Given* $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ *extending* $\mathcal{X}/A$, $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$ *is naturally isomorphic to* $T^0(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$.

Proof. For the local case, we use [LS], p. 66, Theorem 4.3.3, and p. 50, 2.3.2 (which shows that $T^i(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0/A_0} \otimes_{A_0} M) \cong T^i(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0/A_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ for each i). The global case follows formally. ☐

We now develop a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation theory for slc surfaces. We first state the most obvious definition of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation (we refer to this as 'weakly $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein'), and then refine our definition.

Definition 10.9. Let $\mathcal{X}/A$ be a family of slc surfaces. We say $\mathcal{X}/A$ is *weakly $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein* if the relative Weil divisor $K_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

This is the definition suggested in [Ko2], p. 185, Remark 6.27 and is equivalent to the definition used in [KSB]. However, it is not well understood over an Artinian base, in particular there is no known obstruction theory for weakly $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations. To remedy this we make the following definition.

Definition 10.10. Let $\mathcal{X}/A$ be a family of slc surfaces. We say $\mathcal{X}/A$ is *$\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein* if $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/A}^{[i]}$ commutes with base change for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces $\mathcal{X}/A$ and an infinitesimal extension $A' \to A$ in $\underline{C}$, write $\operatorname{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A')$ for the set of $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations of $\mathcal{X}/A$ over A'.

Remark 10.11. Note that $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein implies weakly $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein by Lemma 8.22.

Remark 10.12. Equivalently, we require that $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ commutes with base change for $1 \leq i \leq N$, where NK_X is Cartier for each geometric fibre X. For, by Lemma 8.22, if $\omega^{[N]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ commutes with base change then $\omega^{[N]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ invertible, thus locally on $\mathcal{X}$ we have $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/A} \cong \omega^{[i \mod N]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$.

This definition was given in [Ko6], p. 260, Definition 5.2. Geometrically, the $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations of a local slc surface X are precisely those deformations which lift to deformations of the index one cover $Z \to X$. This is made more precise in Proposition 10.13 below. This description enables us to prove an analogous result to Theorem 10.8 for $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations (Theorem 10.19). In particular, we define an obstruction theory for $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations. An outline of this theory was given in a preprint of Hassett (c.f. [Has]). We also show that, for a smoothing $\mathcal{X}/T$ of an slc surface over the spectrum of a DVR, $\mathcal{X}/T$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein iff it is weakly $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein.

Proposition 10.13. *Given $\mathcal{X}/A$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces, with $\mathcal{X}$ and A local, fix an index one cover $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}/A$. This is a μ_N quotient, where $N = \operatorname{index} \mathcal{X}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}/A$ is flat, and for any $A \to B$ in $\underline{C}$, $\mathcal{Z} \otimes_A B$ is an index one cover of $\mathcal{X} \otimes_A B$.*

Let $A' \to A$ be a local extension in $\underline{C}$, and consider the set of families of slc surfaces $\mathcal{Z}'/A'$ extending $\mathcal{Z}/A$. We have an action of μ_N on this set coming from the μ_N action on $\mathcal{Z}/A$, let $\mathcal{Z}'/A'$ be an invariant element. Then $\mathcal{X}' = (\mathcal{Z}'/\mu_N)/A'$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces extending $\mathcal{X}/A$ and $\mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{X}'/A'$ is an index one cover.

In particular, if $A' \to A$ is an infinitesimal extension, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{Z}/A}(A')^{\mu_N} \to \operatorname{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A')$$
$$[\mathcal{Z}'/A'] \mapsto [(\mathcal{Z}'/\mu_N)/A']$$

with inverse $[\mathcal{X}'/A'] \mapsto [\mathcal{Z}'/A']$ where $\mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{X}'/A'$ is the unique index one cover extending $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}/A$.

Proof. We are given a family $\mathcal{X}/A$ such that $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ commutes with base change for all i. Then in particular $K_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and $\operatorname{index} K_{\mathcal{X}/A} = N$ by Lemma 8.25. Let $\pi \colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ be an index one cover. Then $\mathcal{Z}$ is flat over A, because

$$\pi_\star \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \oplus \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega^{[N-1]}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$$

and $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ is flat over A for each i by Lemma 8.6. Given $A \to B$ in $\underline{C}$, the isomorphisms $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/A} \otimes_A B \cong \omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}\otimes_A B/B}$ show that $\mathcal{Z} \otimes_A B$ is an index one cover of $\mathcal{X} \otimes_A B$.

Given $\mathcal{Z}'/A'$ a μ_N invariant extension of $\mathcal{Z}/A$ we write $\mathcal{X}' = (\mathcal{Z}'/\mu_N)/A'$, then $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ is a flat family extending $\mathcal{X}/A$. We claim that the quotient $\pi' \colon \mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{X}'$ is an index one cover of $\mathcal{X}'$. First, $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}/A}$ is invertible, and $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}'/A'}$

commutes with base change, thus $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}'/A'}$ is invertible. Thus $\pi^\star K_{\mathcal{X}'/A'} = K_{\mathcal{Z}'/A'}$ is Cartier. In particular $K_{\mathcal{X}'/A'}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, so $\operatorname{index} K_{\mathcal{X}'/A'} = N$ by Lemma 8.25. Let $i : \mathcal{X}'^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ denote the open subscheme of $\mathcal{X}'$ where $\omega_{\mathcal{X}'/A'}$ is invertible, and write $j : \mathcal{Z}'^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}'$ for the corresponding open subscheme of $\mathcal{Z}'$. Then $\pi^0 \colon \mathcal{Z}'^0 \to \mathcal{X}'^0$ is an etale μ_N quotient (because the map is etale over A). Finally $j_\star j^\star \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}'} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}'}$ by Lemma 8.16(1). So $\mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{X}'$ is an index one cover, by Proposition 8.23. Moreover, we claim $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}'/A'}$ commutes with base change for all i. First $\omega^{[N]}_{\mathcal{X}'/A'}$ commutes with base change since it is invertible, using Lemma 8.22. Also, by the above, $\mathcal{Z}'$ is an index one cover of $\mathcal{X}'$, and $\mathcal{Z}' \otimes_{A'} A$ is an index one cover $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ by assumption. It follows that $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}'/A'} \otimes_{A'} A \to \omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ is an isomorphism for $1 \le i \le N-1$. Now $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ commutes with base change for all i by assumption, thus $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}'/A'}$ commutes with base change for $1 \le i \le N-1$ using Lemma 8.5(2). So $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}'/A'}$ commutes with base change for all i by Remark 10.12.

Finally, if $A' \to A$ is a infinitesimal extension, there is a unique index one cover of $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ extending $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}/A$ — for such an index one cover $\mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{X}'/A$ is determined by the choice of an isomorphism $\omega^{[N]}_{\mathcal{X}'/A'} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}$ extending a given isomorphism $\omega^{[N]}_{\mathcal{X}/A} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and thus by a unit $u \in K = \ker(H^0(\mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathcal{X}'}) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathcal{X}}))$. Moreover multiplying u by v^N for some $v \in K$ does not change the isomorphism type of the extension $\mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{X}'/A'$ of $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}/A$. But we can always take Nth roots in K since $A' \to A$ is infinitesimal, thus $\mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{X}'/A'$ is uniquely determined as claimed. The last part of the Proposition follows. ☐

Proposition 10.14. *Let T be the spectrum of a DVR with generic point η. Let $\mathcal{X}/T$ be a weakly $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces such that $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ is canonical. Then $\mathcal{X}/T$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein.*

Proof. We may work locally at $P \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $\pi \colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ be an index one cover. It is enough to show that the map $\pi_0 \colon Z \to X$ of the special fibres is an index one cover of X, by Proposition 10.13. Now $Z - \pi^{-1}(P) \to X - P$ extends to an index one cover $Z' \to X$, we need to show that Z is S_2 to deduce $Z \cong Z'$. First, X slc and $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ canonical implies that $\mathcal{X}$ is canonical. For there exists a finite base change $T' \to T$ such that $\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X} \times_T T'$ admits a semistable resolution, then $\mathcal{X}'$ is canonical by Lemma 2.25. Using [KSB], p. 310, Lemma 3.3 we deduce that $\mathcal{X}$ is canonical. Now $\mathcal{X}$ canonical implies that $\mathcal{Z}$ is canonical, and canonical singularities are rational so in particular CM. Hence Z is CM since $Z = (t = 0) \subset \mathcal{Z}$ (where t is a uniformising parameter). This completes the proof. ☐

Definition 10.15. Let $A \in \underline{C}$ and let $\mathcal{X}/A$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$. We define a complex $\mathcal{M}_{QG}(\mathcal{F})^{\cdot}$

in the derived category of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ as follows : Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a local index one cover of $\mathcal{X}$, a μ_N quotient, where N is the local index of $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\mathcal{L}.$ be a cotangent complex for $\mathcal{Z}/A$. Define $\mathcal{M}_{QG}(\mathcal{F})^{\cdot} = (\pi_\star \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(\mathcal{L}., \pi^\star \mathcal{F}))^{\mu_N}$ locally. Now define $\mathcal{T}^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{M}_{QG}(\mathcal{F})^{\cdot})$ and $T^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{H}^i(\mathcal{M}_{QG}(\mathcal{F})^{\cdot})$.

Remark 10.16. Note that, since the functor $\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \text{ modules}\} \to \{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \text{ modules}\}$, $\mathcal{F} \mapsto (\pi_\star \mathcal{F})^{\mu_N}$ is exact, we have

$$\mathcal{T}^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = (\pi_\star \mathcal{T}^i(\mathcal{Z}/A, \pi^\star \mathcal{F}))^{\mu_N}$$

locally.

Remark 10.17. We have a local-to-global spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}^q_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow T^{p+q}_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$$

as above.

Lemma 10.18. *Let $A \in \underline{C}$, let $\mathcal{X}/A$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces, and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_A M$, some finite A-module M. Then $\mathcal{T}^0_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. Let $i\colon \mathcal{X}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the inclusion of the locus where $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ is invertible. Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a local index one cover, a μ_N quotient say, and write $j\colon \mathcal{Z}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ for the inverse image of $\mathcal{X}^0$, then $\mathcal{Z}^0 \to \mathcal{X}^0$ is etale. We have $\mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/A}, \mathcal{F})$ and $i_\star i^\star \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ using Lemma 8.16, thus $i_\star i^\star \mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$. Similiarly, $j_\star j^\star \mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{Z}/A, \pi^\star \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{Z}/A, \pi^\star \mathcal{F})$, so, using $\mathcal{T}^0_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = (\pi_\star \mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{Z}/A, \pi^\star \mathcal{F}))^{\mu_N}$, we find $i_\star i^\star \mathcal{T}^0_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{T}^0_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$. But $\mathcal{T}^0_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{T}^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$ agree on $\mathcal{X}^0$, so we obtain our result. ☐

Theorem 10.19. *Notation as in 9.4. Let $\mathcal{X}_0/A_0$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces and $\mathcal{X}/A$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces extending $\mathcal{X}_0/A_0$.*

1. *There exists a canonical element $o^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') \in T^2_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ such that $\mathrm{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') \neq \emptyset$ iff $o^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') \neq 0$.*
2. *If $o^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') = 0$, $\mathrm{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A')$ is a principal homogeneous space under $T^1_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$.*
3. *Given a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ extending $\mathcal{X}/A$, $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$ is naturally isomorphic to $T^0(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$.*

Proof. For the local case we use Theorem 10.8 together with Proposition 10.13. We may assume A_0 is a local ring. Given a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces $\mathcal{X}/A$ extending $\mathcal{X}_0/A_0$, take an index one cover $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}/A$ (a μ_N

quotient, say). This is flat over A and restricts to an index one cover $\mathcal{Z}_0 \to \mathcal{X}_0/A_0$ by Proposition 10.13. Now, by Theorem 10.8(1), there is a canonical element $o_{\mathcal{Z}/A}(A') \in T^2(\mathcal{Z}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ such that $o_{\mathcal{Z}/A}(A') = 0$ iff $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{Z}/A}(A') \neq \emptyset$. By functoriality of the obstruction map, we have $o_{\mathcal{Z}/A}(A') \in T^2(\mathcal{Z}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)^{\mu_N} = T^2_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ using Remark 10.16. We define $o^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') = o_{\mathcal{Z}/A}(A') \in T^2_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$. In order to show property (1) holds, we just need to verify that if $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{Z}/A}(A') \neq \emptyset$ then $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{Z}/A}(A')^{\mu_N} \neq \emptyset$, for by Proposition 10.13 we have a bijection $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{Z}/A}(A')^{\mu_N} \to \mathrm{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A')$ — we use Lemma 10.20 below. Property (2) follows immediately from Proposition 10.13 and Theorem 10.8(2) since $T^1_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M) = T^1(\mathcal{Z}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)^{\mu_N}$. Finally, (3) is a special case of Theorem 10.8(3).

The global case now follows formally exactly as for ordinary deformation theory using the spectral sequence of Remark 10.17 (we use Lemma 10.18 to identify $\mathcal{T}^0_{QG}$ with $\mathcal{T}^0$, the sheaf of infinitesimal automorphisms). $\square$

Lemma 10.20. *Let $A' \to A$ be an infinitesimal extension in $\underline{C}$ with A local and $M^2 = 0$, where $M = \ker(A' \to A)$. Let $\mathcal{X}/A$ be a family of local schemes, $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ a family extending $\mathcal{X}/A$, and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}/A$ a family of closed subschemes. Suppose given a μ_N action on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z})/A$ which extends to a μ_N action on $\mathcal{X}'/A'$. Then, if there exists an extension $\mathcal{Z}' \subset \mathcal{X}'/A'$ of $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}/A$, there exists a μ_N-invariant extension.*

Proof. Write $\mathcal{X} = \operatorname{Spec} P$, $\mathcal{X}' = \operatorname{Spec} P'$, and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}} = J = (F_1, \dots, F_N) \subset P$. Let $J' \subset P'$ be an ideal defining a closed subscheme $\mathcal{Z}' \subset \mathcal{X}'/A'$ (not necessarily flat over A') extending $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}/A$. Then $\mathcal{Z}'/A'$ is flat iff $J' = (F_1', \dots, F_N')$ for some liftings $F_i' \in P'$ of $F_i \in P$ and every relation $\sum R_i F_i = 0$, $R_1, \dots, R_N \in P$, between the F_i lifts to a relation $\sum R_i' F_i' = 0$, $R_1', \dots, R_N' \in P'$, between the F_i' (c.f. [Ar2]).

In our case, pick generators $F_1, \dots, F_N$ of J which are μ_N eigenfunctions (using [KM], p. 219, Lemma 7.29). Pick μ_N eigenfunctions $F_1', \dots, F_N' \in P'$ lifting $F_1, \dots, F_N$. Let

$$0 \to R \to P^N \overset{(F_1,\dots,F_N)}{\to} J \to 0,$$

be exact. We define a μ_N action on P^N (in the obvious way) such that $P^N \to J$ is μ_N equivariant, and hence obtain a μ_N action on the module of relations $R \subset P^N$. We have a well defined map

$$\phi \colon R \to M \otimes_A P/J$$

$$(R_1, \dots, R_N) \mapsto \sum R_i' F_i',$$

where $R_i' \in P'$ are *some* lifts of the R_i. Here we first regard $\sum R_i' F_i'$ as an element of $M \otimes_A P = \ker(P' \to P)$ and then take its image in $M \otimes_A P/J$. Then $\phi = 0$ iff $\mathcal{Z}' = (F_1', \dots, F_N' = 0) \subset \mathcal{X}'/A'$ is flat over A', by the criterion above. Thus there exists a flat extension $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}' \subset \mathcal{X}'/A'$ of $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}/A$ iff

$$\phi \in \operatorname{im}(\operatorname{Hom}(P^N, M \otimes_A P/J) \to \operatorname{Hom}(R, M \otimes_A P/J))$$

— for this is the condition that we can change the F_i' so that ϕ becomes the zero map. Now, by construction, ϕ is a μ_N invariant element of $\operatorname{Hom}(R, M \otimes_A P/J)$, so equivalently we require that

$$\phi \in \operatorname{im}(\operatorname{Hom}(P^N, M \otimes_A P/J)^{\mu_N} \to \operatorname{Hom}(R, M \otimes_A P/J)^{\mu_N}).$$

In this case we can replace the F_i' by μ_N eigenfunctions $\tilde{F}_i'$ to obtain a μ_N invariant flat extension $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}' \subset \mathcal{X}'/A'$. This completes the proof. □

11. CONSTRUCTION OF THE STACK $\mathcal{M}_d$

The aim of this section is to prove that the groupoid $\mathcal{M}_d$ defined in Section 2 is an algebraic stack. We use the theory of Artin [Ar1] reviewed in Section 9. We do not work directly with $\mathcal{M}_d$, instead we define a related groupoid F_d which (roughly) has the same definition as $\mathcal{M}_d$ except that we drop the smoothability assumption. We show that F_d is an algebraic stack (not necessarily proper in general) and then obtain $\mathcal{M}_d$ as a closed substack.

We first verify that F_d satisfies the conditions of Theorem 9.12, and hence obtain local patches of the stack. The bulk of the work is giving a concrete description of D and constructing an obstruction theory $\mathcal{O}$ (Theorem 11.14). Given the local patches it's easy to show that F_d is an algebraic stack (we just need to show that F_d is 'relatively representable').

One might think that we could construct $\mathcal{M}_d$ as a quotient of some locally closed subscheme of a Hilbert scheme of pairs (X, D). However, we do not know that the base change conditions for $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S \in \mathcal{M}_d(S)$ are locally closed (c.f. Theorem 8.8 and Remark 8.10). Thus we cannot obtain $\mathcal{M}_d$ in this way.

Definition 11.1. Let X be a proper connected surface. Let D be an effective Weil divisor on X. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 4$. (X, D) is a *quasistable pair of degree d* if

(1) There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is slc and ample.

(2) $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$, and moreover $\frac{d}{3}K_X + D \sim 0$ if $3 \mid d$.

(3) $3 \not| \operatorname{index} D$ if $3 \not| d$, $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$, and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$.

Lemma 11.2. *A stable pair is quasistable.*

Proof. We just need to show that the smoothability property for a stable pair (X, D) of degree d gives $3 \not| \operatorname{index} D$ if $3 \not| d$, $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$, and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$. First note that trivially $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = 1$ if X admits a smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$.

If $3 \not| d$ the only possible singularities of X are of local analytic types $\frac{1}{n^2}(1, na-1)$ where $3 \not| n$ and $(xy = 0) \subset \frac{1}{r}(1, -1, a)$ (using Theorem 13.1, Theorem 3.12 and Lemma 7.4). In the second case $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$ shows $3 \not| r$. Thus $3 \not| \operatorname{index} D$.

We now prove $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$. First note that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - D))$ by Serre duality, and $-(K_X - D)$ is ample. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - D) \to \mathcal{O}_{X^\nu}(\nu^\star(K_X - D)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\Delta}}(\lfloor K_X - D|_{\hat{\Delta}} \rfloor)$$

where $\nu \colon X^\nu \to X$ is the normalisation of X, and $\hat{\Delta} \to \Delta$ is the normalisation of the double curve Δ of X. We obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - D) \to \mathcal{O}_{X^\nu}(\nu^\star(K_X - D)) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

where $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\Delta}}(\lfloor K_X - D|_{\hat{\Delta}} \rfloor)$. In particular, since $-(K_X - D)$ is ample, we have $H^0(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. Thus the long exact sequence of cohomology associated to the short exact sequence above gives $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - D)) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X^\nu}(\nu^\star(K_X - D)))$. If X^ν is klt, then $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X^\nu}(\nu^\star(K_X - D))) = 0$ by Kodaira vanishing. Otherwise, X is an elliptic cone by Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 7.2, and $3 \mid d$, $D \sim -\frac{d}{3}K_X$. An easy calculation shows that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$ in this case. □

Definition 11.3. We say that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ is a family of quasistable pairs of degree d over S if $\mathcal{X}$ is a flat family over S, $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a relative Weil divisor over S, and for every geometric point s of S, the fibre $(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_{(s)})$ is a quasistable pair of degree d. We say that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ is an *allowable family* if $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(i\mathcal{D})$ commute with base change for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 11.4. We define a groupoid F_d over $\underline{Sch}$ as follows: For $S \in \underline{Sch}$ let

$$F_d(S) = \{\text{ Allowable families of quasistable pairs of degree } d \text{ over } S\}.$$

Remark 11.5. We also regard F_d as a groupoid over the category $\underline{C}$ of noetherian $\mathbb{C}$-algebras without further comment.

Lemma 11.6. *$\mathcal{M}_d$ is a subgroupoid of F_d*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 11.2 — $\mathcal{M}_d \subset F_d$ is the subgroupoid of smoothable families. □

In what follows, we suppress the degree d to simplify our notation.

Proposition 11.7. *Let T be the spectrum of a DVR with generic point η. A family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T$ of quasistable pairs such that $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ is canonical is allowable iff $K_{\mathcal{X}/T}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.*

Proof. The 'only if' part follows from Lemma 8.22. So, suppose given a family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T$ of quasistable pairs such that $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ is canonical and $K_{\mathcal{X}/T}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Then $\mathcal{X}/T$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family by Proposition 10.14. Let $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ be an index one cover, with special fibre $Z \to X$ an index one cover of X. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, and $D_Z = \pi_0^\star D$ is Cartier (using $dK_X +$

$3D \sim 0$ and $3 \not| \operatorname{index} D$), we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \pi^\star \mathcal{D}$ Cartier, using Lemma 8.25. It follows that $\mathcal{D} \sim jK_{\mathcal{X}/T}$ locally, some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(i\mathcal{D})$ commutes with base change for all i. Thus $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T$ is allowable as required. □

Lemma 11.8. *For $A \in \underline{C}$, $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A \in F(A)$ iff the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *$\mathcal{X}/A$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces.*
2. *$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a codimension 1 closed subscheme, flat over A.*
3. *$(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{D}_{(s)})$ is a quasistable pair of degree d for every geometric point s of S.*
4. *$\mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ for every geometric point s of S.*

Here $\mathcal{D}_s$ is the scheme theoretic fibre of $\mathcal{D}$ over s, and $\mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$ to the fibre $\mathcal{X}_s$ defined by taking the double dual of the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{D}_s$.

Proof. Given $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A \in F(A)$, $\mathcal{D}$ is flat over A and $\mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ for all s by Lemma 8.19. The other conditions are satisfied by the definition of F.

Conversely let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A$ satisfy the conditions (1) to (4). We claim that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A \in F(A)$. Note that $\mathcal{D}$ is a relative Weil divisor (c.f. Example 8.21). It is enough to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(i\mathcal{D})$ commutes with base change for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 8.7, we may assume that A is a local Artinian ring. So, by induction, it is enough to show the following: Let A be a local ring with residue field k and $A' \to A$ a small extension (i.e., the kernel J of $A' \to A$ is annihilated by the maximal ideal of A). Suppose given $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A \in F(A)$ and $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')/A'$ extending $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A$ which satisfies conditions (1) to (4). Then $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')/A' \in F(A')$.

We work locally on $\mathcal{X}'$. Let $\pi' \colon \mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{X}'$ be an index one cover (a μ_N quotient, say), $\pi \colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ the index one cover obtained by restriction to A, and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \pi^\star \mathcal{D}$. Given an μ_N invariant extension $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}'} \subset \mathcal{Z}'$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ we obtain an extension $\mathcal{D}' = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}'}/\mu_N) \subset \mathcal{X}'$ of $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$. We claim that every extension $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{X}'$ of $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ occurs in this way. Let $\pi_0 \colon (Z, D_Z) \to (X, D)$ be the special fibre of $\pi \colon (\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \to (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$. Then $Z \to X$ is an index one cover, and since $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$ and $3 \not| \operatorname{index} D$ we have D_Z Cartier. Thus D_Z has unobstructed embedded deformations (locally) and the extensions $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}'} \subset \mathcal{Z}'$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ form a prinicipal homogeneous space under $\operatorname{Hom}_Z(\mathcal{I}_{D_Z}, \mathcal{O}_{D_Z}) \otimes_k J$ (using Theorem 11.11). There exists a μ_N invariant extension by Lemma 10.20, hence also D has unobstructed embedded deformations (locally) and the extensions $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{X}'$ of $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ form a prinicipal homogeneous space under $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_D) \otimes_k J$. To prove our claim, we just need to identify the sheaves $(\pi_{0\star} \mathcal{H}om_Z(\mathcal{I}_{D_Z}, \mathcal{O}_{D_Z}))^{\mu_N}$ and $\mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_D)$. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_D \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_D \to 0,$$

applying the functor $\mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \cdot)$ we obtain

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to \mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_D) \to \mathcal{E}xt^1_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{I}_D) \to \cdots .$$

Now $\mathcal{E}xt^1_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{I}_D) = \mathcal{E}xt^1_X(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, since $\mathcal{I}_D$ is invertible in codimension 1 and S_2. Hence we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to \mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_D) \to 0,$$

and similiarly

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to \mathcal{O}_Z(D_Z) \to \mathcal{H}om_Z(\mathcal{I}_{D_Z}, \mathcal{O}_{D_Z}) \to 0.$$

Applying the exact functor $(\pi_{0\star}(\cdot))^{\mu_N}$ to the last exact sequence we obtain

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to (\pi_{0\star}\mathcal{H}om_Z(\mathcal{I}_{D_Z}, \mathcal{O}_{D_Z}))^{\mu_N} \to 0,$$

thus $(\pi_{0\star}\mathcal{H}om_Z(\mathcal{I}_{D_Z}, \mathcal{O}_{D_Z}))^{\mu_N} = \mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_D)$ as required. So, given an extension $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{X}'$ of $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$, it is obtained from an extension $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}'} \subset \mathcal{Z}'$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ by taking the μ_N-quotient. In particular, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Z}'} = \pi'^{\star}\mathcal{D}'$ is Cartier. Thus locally $\mathcal{D}' \sim jK_{\mathcal{X}'/A'}$ for some j, hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}(i\mathcal{D}')$ commutes with base change for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ as required. □

Theorem 11.9. *Let $A \in \underline{C}$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A \in F(A)$. The forgetful map of functors (of infinitesimal extensions of A)*

$$\bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A} \to \mathrm{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$$

is smooth.

Proof. Given an infinitesimal extension $A' \to A$ in $\underline{C}$ and a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ extending $\mathcal{X}/A$, we need to show that there exists an extension $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{X}'$ of $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ (using Lemma 11.8). By the proof of Lemma 11.8 there are no local obstructions. We may assume that A is local and $A' \to A$ is a small extension. Let A have residue field k and write $(X, D)/k$ for the special fibre of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A$. Then the obstruction to extending $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ to some $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{X}'$ lies in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X)$ by Theorem 11.11(1). Moreover, since there are no local obstructions, using the local-to-global spectral sequence for Ext we see that the obstruction lies in $H^1(\mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X))$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(D) \to \mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_D) \to 0$$

(see the proof of Lemma 11.8). Now $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$ by assumption, and $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^0(K_X) = 0$ since $-K_X$ is ample, thus $H^1(\mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{I}_D, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 0$. So the obstruction is zero, and there is an extension $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{X}'$ of $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ as required. □

Notation 11.10. Let $A' \to A$ be an infinitesimal extension in $\underline{C}$, $\mathcal{X}/A$ a family of schemes over A, $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}/A$ a family of closed subschemes, and $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ a family extending $\mathcal{X}/A$. Write $\mathrm{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$ for the set of embedded deformations of $\mathcal{Z}/A$ over A' inside $\mathcal{X}'/A'$. Write $\overline{\mathrm{Emb}}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$ for the quotient of $\mathrm{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$ by the action of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$. Given $\mathcal{Z}' \subset \mathcal{X}'/A'$ extending $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}/A$, write $\mathrm{Aut}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}((\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Z}')/A')$ for the group of automorphisms of $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Z}')/A'$ over A' which restrict to the identity on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z})/A$. Given $A \to B$ in $\underline{C}$, we also write $\mathrm{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(B)$ for $\mathrm{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(\mathcal{X} \otimes_A B/B)$, similiarly for $\overline{\mathrm{Emb}}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}$.

Theorem 11.11. *Notation as in 9.4. Let $\mathcal{X}_0/A_0$ be a family of schemes, $\mathcal{X}/A$ a family extending $\mathcal{X}_0/A_0$, and $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ a family extending $\mathcal{X}/A$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}_0/A_0$ be a family of closed subschemes, and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}/A$ a family of closed subschemes extending $\mathcal{Z}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}_0/A_0$.*

(1) *There is a canonical element $o_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(A') \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ such that $o_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(A') = 0$ iff $\mathrm{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A') \neq \emptyset$.*

(2) *If $o_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(A') = 0$, $\mathrm{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$ is a principal homogeneous space under $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$.*

(3) *We have a natural map*

$$\phi\colon \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}_0/A}(A_0 + M) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$$

which identifies the action of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}_0/A}(A_0+M)$ on $\mathrm{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{Z}_0)/A_0}(A_0 + M)$ in terms of the action of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$.

If $\overline{\mathrm{Emb}}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A') \neq \emptyset$, it is a principal homogeneous space under $\operatorname{coker} \phi$. Given a family of closed subschemes $\mathcal{Z}' \subset \mathcal{X}'/A'$ extending $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}/A$, $\mathrm{Aut}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}((\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Z}')/A')$ is naturally isomorphic to $\ker \phi$.

Proof. For (1) and (2) see [Ko4], p. 28, Proposition 2.5 (in fact only the case $A_0 = k$, A local is treated in [Ko4], but the same argument proves the general case). We now prove (3). If $\mathrm{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(A') \neq \emptyset$, it is a principal homogeneous space under $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ by (2). Now $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$ acts on $\mathrm{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z})/A}(A')$, so we obtain a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M).$$

In the case $A = A_0$, $A' = A_0 + M$, $\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X} \otimes_A A'$ we obtain the map ϕ above. We have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A') \cong \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}_0/A_0}(A_0 + M)$ by Theorem 10.8(3), which is compatible with the maps to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$. The result now follows. ☐

Definition 11.12. Given $A \in \underline{C}$, M a finite A-module and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A \in F(A)$, define

$$T^0((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_A M) = \mathrm{Aut}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A + M),$$

and

$$T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_A M) = \bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A + M).$$

Lemma 11.13. *Notation as Definition 11.12. The sets* $T^0((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_A M)$ *and* $T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_A M)$ *have natural* A*-module structures, and, writing* $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_A M$*, we have an exact sequence of* A*-modules*

$$0 \to T^0((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{F}) \to T^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_A M) \to$$

$$\to T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{F}) \to T^1_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) \to 0.$$

Proof. We have $T^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A+M)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes M) = \operatorname{Emb}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A+M)$, so we have a natural map

$$\phi\colon T^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes M)$$

with kernel $T^0((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{F}) = \operatorname{Aut}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A+M)$ and cokernel $\overline{\operatorname{Emb}}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A+M)$ (compare Theorem 11.11(3)). In particular, $T^0((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{F})$ is an A-module, moreover $T^1((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{F}) = \bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A+M)$ is an A-module since the functor $\bar{F}$ satisfies Artin's criterion S1(b). Now $T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{F}) = \bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A+M)$ and $T^1_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = \operatorname{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A+M)$ so we have a natural map

$$\psi\colon T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{F}) \to T^1_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}),$$

with kernel $\overline{\operatorname{Emb}}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A+M)$ by Lemma 11.8. Finally, ψ is surjective by Theorem 11.9. ☐

Theorem 11.14. *Notation as in 9.4. Let* $(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0 \in F(A_0)$ *and* $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A \in F_{(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(A)$*.*

1. *We have* $F_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A') \neq \emptyset$ *iff* $o^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') \neq 0$*, where* $o^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') \in T^2_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ *is the canonical element constructed above.*
2. *If* $o^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') = 0$*,* $\bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A')$ *is a principal homogeneous space under* $T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$*.*
3. *Given* $(\mathcal{X}',\mathcal{D}')/A' \in F_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A')$*,* $\operatorname{Aut}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}((\mathcal{X}',\mathcal{D}')/A')$ *is naturally isomorphic to* $T^0((\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$*.*

Proof. Property (1) is immediate from Theorem 10.19 and Theorem 11.9 — because the forgetful map $\bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A} \to \operatorname{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ is smooth, the obstruction theory for Def^{QG} gives an obstruction theory for F.

We have a natural map $\psi\colon \bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A') \to \operatorname{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A')$ which is surjective by Theorem 11.9. Assuming $o^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') = 0$, $\operatorname{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A')$ is a principal homogeneous space under $T^1_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ by Theorem 10.19(2). Moreover, given $[\mathcal{X}'/A'] \in \operatorname{Def}^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A')$, $\psi^{-1}([\mathcal{X}'/A'])$ is the set $\overline{\operatorname{Emb}}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(\mathcal{X}'/A')$. This is a principal homogeneous space under $\operatorname{coker}\phi$, where

$$\phi\colon T^0(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}_0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_0})$$

as in Theorem 11.11(3). Now, the set $\bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A')$ has a natural action of $\bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(A_0+M) = T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}\otimes_{A_0} M)$, since the functor $\bar{F}$ satisfies Artin's criterion S1(b). This action is compatible with the actions just described via the exact sequence of Lemma 11.13, it follows that $\bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A')$ is a principal homogeneous space under $T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}\otimes_{A_0} M)$ as required. Finally, property (3) is a special case of Theorem 11.11(3). ☐

We can now identify the functor D and an obstruction theory $\mathcal{O}$ for our groupoid F. Given $(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0 \in F(A_0)$ and a finite A_0-module M we have

$$D_{(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(M) = \bar{F}_{(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(A_0+M) = T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}\otimes_{A_0} M)$$

using Theorem 11.14(2). Next, given an extension $A \to A_0$ in $\underline{C}$, $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A \in F(A)$ and a finite A_0-module M, define

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(M) = T^2_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}\otimes_{A_0} M).$$

Given an extension $A' \to A$ of A by M, define

$$o_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(A') = o^{QG}_{\mathcal{X}/A}(A') \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(M).$$

Then, by Theorem 11.14(1), these data give an obstruction theory for F. We are now ready to prove the existence of (algebraic) formally smooth deformations of F — roughly, these provide the local patches of an algebraic stack.

Theorem 11.15. *Given $(X,D) \in F(\mathbb{C})$, there exists an algebraic ring R with a closed point $0 \in Spec(R)$ and $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/R \in F(R)$, formally smooth over F, such that $(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0) = (X,D)$.*

Proof. The theorem is obtained by applying Theorem 9.12 to our functor F. We verify the conditions of the theorem in Lemmas 11.20, 11.21, 11.22, and 11.23 below. ☐

Lemma 11.16. *Let $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A \in F(A)$, $A \in \underline{C}$ then $\omega^{[-N]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ defines a projective embedding $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^M_A/A$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large and divisible.*

Proof. We have that $-K_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and relatively ample using Lemma 8.22 and the base change property for $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, taking a sufficiently large and divisible multiple of $-K_{\mathcal{X}/A}$, we obtain a projective embedding of $\mathcal{X}/A$. ☐

Remark 11.17. Note that it is *not* necessarily true that there exists N such that for *every* $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A \in F$, the sheaf $\omega^{[-N]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ defines a projective embedding of $\mathcal{X}/S$. The point is that F defines a stack which is only *locally* of finite type, i.e., we may require infinitely many patches. However, if we restrict ourselves to smoothable pairs, i.e., if we consider the stack $\mathcal{M}_d \subset F_d$, we can show that there is such an N (using the bound on the index provided by Theorem 2.11), and deduce that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is of finite type (Theorem 11.25).

Lemma 11.18. *Given* $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A$ *and* $(\mathcal{X}',\mathcal{D}')/A \in F(A)$, $A \in \underline{C}$, *the functor*

$$\operatorname{Isom}_A((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),(\mathcal{X}',\mathcal{D}'))\colon \underline{C}\backslash A \to (Sets)$$
$$B \mapsto \{\ \textit{Isomorphisms } \phi\colon (\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})\otimes_A B \to (\mathcal{X}',\mathcal{D}')\otimes_A B\}$$

is represented by a quasiprojective scheme $\underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_A((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),(\mathcal{X}',\mathcal{D}'))/A$.

Proof. We have a canonical polarisations $\omega^{[-N]}_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ and $\omega^{[-N]}_{\mathcal{X}'/A'}$ on $\mathcal{X}/A$ and $\mathcal{X}'/A'$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and so by [Gr] we know that the functor

$$\operatorname{Isom}_A(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}')\colon \underline{C}\backslash A \to (Sets)$$
$$B \mapsto \{\ \text{Isomorphisms } \phi\colon \mathcal{X}\otimes_A B \to \mathcal{X}'\otimes_A B\}$$

is represented by a quasiprojective scheme $\underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_A(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}')/A$. It is then easy to construct $\underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_A((\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D}),(\mathcal{X}',\mathcal{D}'))/A$ as a locally closed subscheme of $\underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_A(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}')/A$. ☐

Lemma 11.19. *(Open loci results)*

1. *Let* $\mathcal{X}/S$ *be a projective family of surfaces and* $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathcal{X}$ *a codimension* 1 *closed subscheme, flat over* S. *Let* $S'\subset S$ *be the locus of points* $s\in S$ *such that*
   - (a) $\mathcal{X}_s$ *is CM, reduced and Gorenstein in codimension* 1.
   - (b) $\mathcal{D}_s=\mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ *and* $\mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ *is Cartier in codimension* 1

   Then $S'\subset S$ *is open,* $\mathcal{D}'=\mathcal{D}\times_S S'$ *is a relative Weil divisor on* $\mathcal{X}'=\mathcal{X}\times_S S'/S'$, *and* $\omega_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}$ *corresponds to a relative Weil divisor* $K_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}$ *on* $\mathcal{X}'/S'$.
2. *Let* $\mathcal{X}/S$ *be a projective family of CM reduced surfaces, Gorenstein in codimension* 1, *and* $\mathcal{D}$ *a relative effective Weil divisor on* $\mathcal{X}$. *Suppose that* $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(i\mathcal{D})$ *commute with base change for all* $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. *Then the locus* $S'\subset S$ *where the geometric fibres of* $\mathcal{X}/S$ *are quasistable of degree* d *is open.*

Proof. (1) The locus where the fibres $\mathcal{X}_s$ are CM is open by [Mat], p. 177, Corollary to Theorem 22.5. $\mathcal{X}_s$ is reduced iff it is regular in codimension 0 — this is an open condition. Since $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ commutes with base change, the requirement that $\mathcal{X}_s$ is Gorenstein in codimension 1 is open. The condition $\mathcal{D}_s=\mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ is equivalent to requiring that the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D})\otimes k(s)$ is S_2, which is an open condition, again by [Mat] (note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D})$ is flat over S). Assuming this is satisfied, the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D})\otimes k(s)\to\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{D}_{(s)})$ is an isomorphism, thus the condition $\mathcal{D}_{(s)}$ Cartier in codimension 1 is open. Hence $S'\subset S$ is open as required. Using Lemma 8.16 we deduce that $\mathcal{D}'$ and $K_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}$ are relative Weil divisors.

(2) It is enough to show the following: Let T be the spectrum of a DVR with generic point η and closed point $0=\operatorname{Spec}(k)$. Let $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/T$ be a family of pairs such that $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/T}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(i\mathcal{D})$ commute with base change for all $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, and such that the special fibre $(X,D)/k$ is quasistable of degree d. Then

$(\mathcal{X}_\eta, \mathcal{D}_\eta)/\eta$ is quasistable of degree d. Clearly $K_{\mathcal{X}_\eta} + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)\mathcal{D}_\eta$ is ample, and it is also slc by Lemma 2.25. We are given $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$, we claim that this implies $dK_{\mathcal{X}_\eta} + 3\mathcal{D}_\eta \sim 0$ — the essential point here is that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, so $\operatorname{Pic} X$ is discrete. To prove the claim, observe that $dK_{\mathcal{X}} + 3\mathcal{D}$ is Cartier by Lemma 8.22 and Lemma 8.25, and the restriction map $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is an isomorphism (c.f. Proof of Lemma 5.5(1)). Thus $dK_{\mathcal{X}} + 3\mathcal{D} \sim 0$, and restricting to the generic fibre we obtain our result. We similiarly obtain $\frac{d}{3}K_{\mathcal{X}_\eta} + \mathcal{D}_\eta \sim 0$ in the case $3 \mid d$. If $3 \not| d$ then we are given $3 \not| \operatorname{index} D$, now $\operatorname{index} \mathcal{D}_\eta \mid \operatorname{index} \mathcal{D} = \operatorname{index} D$ using Lemma 8.25, it follows that $3 \not| \operatorname{index} \mathcal{D}_\eta$. Given $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$, it follows that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}(\mathcal{D}_\eta)) = 0$ by semicontinuity (using the base change property for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D})$, note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D})$ is flat over T by Lemma 8.6). Finally, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ is trivially an open condition. This completes the proof. ☐

Lemma 11.20. *F is limit preserving.*

Proof. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a direct system in $\underline{C}$ such that the limit $A = \overset{\lim}{\rightarrow} A_i$ lies in $\underline{C}$. Suppose given $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A \in F(A)$, we need to show that this is obtained from some $(\mathcal{X}^i, \mathcal{D}^i)/A_i \in F(A_i)$ by pullback.

We know that $\mathcal{X}/A$ is projective, fix an embedding $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N_A$. Then $\mathcal{X}/A$ is obtained by pullback from some projective flat family $\mathcal{X}^i/A_i$ for some $i \in I$ (since $\operatorname{Hilb}_P(\mathbb{P}^N/\mathbb{C})$ is of finite type, where P denotes the Hilbert polynomial of the fibres of $\mathcal{X}/A$). By Lemma 11.8 we have that $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a codimension 1 closed subscheme which is flat over A. It follows (since $\operatorname{Hilb}_Q(\mathcal{X}^i/A_i)$ is of finite type, where Q denotes the Hilbert polynomial of the fibres of $\mathcal{D}$) that there exists $j \in I$ such that $\operatorname{Spec} A_j \to \operatorname{Spec} A_i$, and $(\mathcal{X}^j, \mathcal{D}^j)/A_j \in \mathcal{H}ilb_Q(\mathcal{X}^i/A_i)(A_j)$, such that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A$ is obtained from $(\mathcal{X}^j, \mathcal{D}^j)/A_j$ by pullback to A. By Lemma 11.19(1), we may also assume that each fibre $\mathcal{X}^j_s$ is CM, reduced and Gorenstein in codimension 1, and that $\mathcal{D}^j$ and $K_{\mathcal{X}^j/A_j}$ are relative Weil divisors.

We now analyse the push forward and base change conditions. Let $\mathcal{F}^j$ be a coherent sheaf on an open subset $i^j \colon \mathcal{U}^j \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}^j/A_j$, flat over A_j, and $\mathcal{F}$, $i \colon \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}/A$ the corresponding objects obtained by pullback to A. We are interested in the cases

(1) $\mathcal{F}^j = \omega^{[n]}_{\mathcal{U}^j/A_j}$ where $\mathcal{U}^j \subset \mathcal{X}^j$ is the locus where $\omega_{\mathcal{X}^j/A_j}$ is Cartier.

(2) $\mathcal{F}^j = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}^j}(n\mathcal{D}^j)$, where $\mathcal{U}^j \subset \mathcal{X}^j$ is the locus where $\mathcal{D}^j$ is Cartier.

Assume that the push forward of $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with base change, and that $i^P_\star \mathcal{F}^P$ is coherent for each $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. We work locally at $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, say $P \mapsto Q \in \operatorname{Spec} A_j$. The natural map $i_\star \mathcal{F} \to i^P_\star \mathcal{F}^P$ is surjective, so pick a finite set of elements of $\mathcal{F}$ which generate $i^P_\star \mathcal{F}^P$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_P}$ (recall $i^P_\star \mathcal{F}^P$ is assumed to be coherent). Since $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^j \otimes_{A_j} A$, these are defined over some A_k where $\operatorname{Spec} A_k \to \operatorname{Spec} A_j$. Write $\mathcal{F}^k$, $i^k \colon \mathcal{U}^k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}^k/A$ for the objects obtained by pullback from A_j to A_k, and say $P \mapsto R \in \operatorname{Spec} A_k$. Then, by construction, we have that $i^k_\star \mathcal{F}^k \to i^R_\star F^R$ is surjective, hence by

Lemma 8.5(3) the push forward of $\mathcal{F}^k$ commutes with base change in a neighbourhood of R, which we may assume is $\operatorname{Spec} A_k$.

As in Remark 10.12, we only need to consider a finite number of sheaves $\mathcal{F}$. Thus there exists $k \in I$ such that $\operatorname{Spec} A_k \to \operatorname{Spec} A_j$ and, writing $(\mathcal{X}^k, \mathcal{D}^k)/A_k$ for the pullback of $(\mathcal{X}^j, \mathcal{D}^j)/A_j$, the sheaves $\omega^{[n]}_{\mathcal{X}^k/A_k}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^k}(n\mathcal{D}^k)$ commute with base change for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, by Lemma 11.19(2) we may assume that every geometric fibre of $(\mathcal{X}^k, \mathcal{D}^k)/A_k$ is quasistable. Then $(\mathcal{X}^k, \mathcal{D}^k)/A_k$ is an element of $F(A_k)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 11.21. *F satisfies conditions (S1)(a),(b) and (S2).*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} & B \arrow[d] \\ A' \arrow[r] & A \end{tikzcd}$$

be a diagram in $\underline{C}$ as in the statement of condition (S1)(a). Write $B' = A' \times_A B$, then B' is an infinitesimal extension of B. We need to show that $\bar{F}_a(B') \to \bar{F}_a(A') \times \bar{F}_a(B)$ is surjective for $a \in F(A)$. So, let $(\mathcal{X}_{A'}, \mathcal{D}_{A'})/A' \in F(A')$ and $(\mathcal{X}_B, \mathcal{D}_B)/B \in F(B)$ be families which extend some $(\mathcal{X}_A, \mathcal{D}_A)/A \in F(A)$. Define $(\mathcal{X}_{B'}, \mathcal{D}_{B'})$ as follows: let $\operatorname{sp}(\mathcal{X}_{B'}, \mathcal{D}_{B'}) = \operatorname{sp}(\mathcal{X}_B, \mathcal{D}_B)$ (where sp denotes the underlying topological spaces), and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{B'}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{A'}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_A}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_B}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_{B'}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_{A'}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_A}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_B}$. Then $(\mathcal{X}_{B'}, \mathcal{D}_{B'})/B'$ is a flat family of pairs extending $(\mathcal{X}_{A'}, \mathcal{D}_{A'})/A'$ and $(\mathcal{X}_B, \mathcal{D}_B)/B$ (to prove flatness, use [Sch] p. 216 Lemma 3.4). Thus, by Lemma 11.8, we only need to verify that $\mathcal{X}_{B'}/B'$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family to obtain $(\mathcal{X}_{B'}, \mathcal{D}_{B'})/B' \in F(B')$ as required. To see this, take an index one cover $\mathcal{Z}_B \to \mathcal{X}_B$, this gives an index one cover $\mathcal{Z}_A \to \mathcal{X}_A$ on restriction to A by Proposition 10.13, extend this to an index one cover $\mathcal{Z}_{A'} \to \mathcal{X}_{A'}$. Define $\mathcal{Z}_{B'}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{B'}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{A'}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_A}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_B}$ as above, then $\mathcal{Z}_{B'} \to \mathcal{X}_{B'}$ is an index one cover extending $\mathcal{Z}_B \to \mathcal{X}_B$ and thus $\mathcal{X}_{B'}/B'$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family by Proposition 10.13 as required.

Suppose given $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{D}_0)/A_0 \in F(A_0)$, an extension $A \to A_0$ in $\underline{C}$, a finite A_0-module M, and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A \in F_{(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(A)$. The condition (S1)(b) states that the natural map

$$D_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A}(M) \to D_{(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(M)$$

is an isomorphism. By Theorem 11.14(2), each side is naturally identified with $T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{D}_0)/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$, so the map is an isomorphism as required. Finally, the finiteness condition (S2) for $D_{(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(M)$ is obvious from the construction of the module $T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{D}_0)/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ using the properness of $\mathcal{X}_0/A_0$. □

Lemma 11.22. *For $\hat{A}$ a complete local ring in $\underline{C}$, the map*

$$\bar{F}(\hat{A}) \to \varprojlim \bar{F}(\hat{A}/m^n)$$

is bijective.

Proof. Write $A_n = \hat{A}/m^n$. An element of $\varprojlim \bar{F}(A_n)$ is a sequence of compatible families $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)/A_n \in F(A_n)$. This defines a pair of formal schemes $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})/\operatorname{Spf}\hat{A}$, where Spf denotes the formal spectrum. We have a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathfrak{X}$ such that the restriction to the special fibre is ample, e.g. $\mathcal{L} = \varprojlim \omega^{[-N]}_{\mathcal{X}_n/A_n}$ where $N = \operatorname{index}\mathcal{X}_0$. By Grothendieck's Existence Theorem ([EGA], III.5.4.5), $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ is the completion of a proper pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/\hat{A}$ along the fibre $\mathcal{X}_0$. We claim that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/\hat{A} \in F(\hat{A})$. First observe that $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{X}/\hat{A}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D})^{[i]}$ commute with base change for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 8.7(3). Then, by Lemma 11.19, the set of points

$$\{P \in \operatorname{Spec}\hat{A} \mid \text{ The geometric fibre of } (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/\hat{A} \text{ over } P \text{ is quasistable }\}$$

is open. But it contains the closed point by assumption, hence it is the whole of $\operatorname{Spec}\hat{A}$. Thus $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/\hat{A} \in F(\hat{A})$ as claimed, so the map $\bar{F}(\hat{A}) \to \varprojlim \bar{F}(\hat{A}/m^n)$ is surjective.

Now suppose given $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/\hat{A}$ and $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')/\hat{A} \in F(\hat{A})$ which give the same element of $\varprojlim \bar{F}(\hat{A}/m^n)$, i.e., we have compatible isomorphisms

$$\phi_n \colon (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \otimes_{\hat{A}} A_n \to (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \otimes_{\hat{A}} A_n$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Equivalently, using Lemma 11.18, we have compatible maps

$$\operatorname{Spec} A_n \to \underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_{\hat{A}}((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')),$$

and thus a map

$$\operatorname{Spec}\hat{A} \to \underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_{\hat{A}}((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')),$$

so there is an isomorphism $\phi \colon (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \to (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')$ over $\hat{A}$ extending the ϕ_n. Thus the map $\bar{F}(\hat{A}) \to \varprojlim \bar{F}(\hat{A}/m^n)$ is injective. ☐

Lemma 11.23. *D and $\mathcal{O}$ satisfy the conditions 9.10 for algebraic $A, B \in \underline{C}$.*

Proof. (1) Let $A \to B$ in $\underline{C}$ be etale (in fact we only require $A \to B$ flat). Given a flat family of schemes $\mathcal{Z}/A$ and a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{Z}$ we have natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{T}^i(\mathcal{Z}/A, \mathcal{G}) \otimes_A B \cong \mathcal{T}^i(\mathcal{Z} \otimes_A B/B, \mathcal{G} \otimes_A B)$$

by [LS], p. 50, 2.3.2, using $A \to B$ flat. Given a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family of slc surfaces $\mathcal{X}/A$ and a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, let $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}/A$ be a local index one cover (a μ_N quotient, say), then

$$\mathcal{T}^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = (\pi_\star \mathcal{T}^i(\mathcal{Z}/A, \pi^\star \mathcal{F}))^{\mu_N}$$

by Remark 10.16. Thus we obtain

$$\mathcal{T}^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B \cong \mathcal{T}^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X} \otimes_A B/B, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B)$$

by applying $(\pi_\star\cdot)^{\mu_N}$ to the natural isomorphism above with $\mathcal{G}=\pi^\star\mathcal{F}$. By [Har], p. 255, Proposition 9.3 we have natural isomorphisms

$$H^j(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{H})\otimes_A B\cong H^j(\mathcal{X}\otimes_A B,\mathcal{H}\otimes_A B)$$

for any quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{H}$ since $A\to B$ is flat. Thus

$$\text{(a)}\qquad T^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A,\mathcal{F})\otimes_A B\cong T^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X}\otimes_A B/B,\mathcal{F}\otimes_A B)$$

for each i, using the spectral sequence of Remark 10.17 and flatness of $A\to B$. Also, given coherent sheaves $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, we have

$$\text{(b)}\qquad \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})\otimes_A B\cong\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}\otimes_A B}(\mathcal{F}\otimes_A B,\mathcal{G}\otimes_A B)$$

since $A\to B$ is flat ([Mat], p. 52, Theorem 7.11).

Now suppose given $A\to A_0$ an extension in $\underline{C}$, $(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0\in F(A_0)$, $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A\in F_{(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(A)$ and M a finite A_0-module. Since

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/A}(M)=T^2_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0,\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}\otimes_{A_0}M),$$

we see that $\mathcal{O}$ commutes with etale localisation by (a) above. Using the exact sequence of Lemma 11.13 together with (a) and (b) above we find that $D_{(\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(M)=T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}_0,\mathcal{D}_0)/A_0,\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}\otimes_{A_0}M)$ commutes with etale localisation.

(2) We need to show that, given $a_0\in F(A_0)$, M a finite A_0-module, and $m\subset A$ a maximal ideal, we have

$$D_{a_0}(M)\otimes\hat{A}_0\cong\overset{\lim}{\leftarrow}D_{a_0}(M/m^nM).$$

By (1), we may assume that $A_0=\hat{A}_0$, then we need to show

$$D_{a_0}(M)\cong\overset{\lim}{\leftarrow}D_{a_0}(M/m^nM),$$

that is,

$$\bar{F}_{a_0}(A_0+M)\cong\overset{\lim}{\leftarrow}\bar{F}_{a_0}(A_0+M/m^nM).$$

This is a result in the style of Lemma 11.22, and is proved in the same way.

(3) We may assume that A_0 is an integral domain, since we are given that A_0 is reduced, and D and $\mathcal{O}$ commute with etale localisation.

We first show that, for A integral, and $\mathcal{X}/A$ a family of schemes over A, there is an open affine subset $\operatorname{Spec}\tilde{A}\subset\operatorname{Spec}A$ such that if $A\to B$ is a morphism in $\underline{C}$ which factors through $A\to\tilde{A}$, then the natural maps

$$T^i(\mathcal{X}/A,\mathcal{F})\otimes_A B\to T^i(\mathcal{X}\otimes_A B/B,\mathcal{F}\otimes_A B)$$

are isomorphisms. Recall the construction of the T^i:

$$\mathcal{T}^i(\mathcal{X}/A,\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}.,\mathcal{F})),$$

where $\mathcal{L}.$ is a cotangent complex for $\mathcal{X}/A$, and T^i is obtained via the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq}=H^p(\mathcal{T}^q)\Rightarrow T^{p+q}.$$

If $\mathcal{L}.$ is a cotangent complex for $\mathcal{X}/A$, then given $A\to B$, $\mathcal{L}\otimes_A B$ is a cotangent complex for $\mathcal{X}\otimes_A B/B$ ([LS], p. 47, 2.2.1(c)). Recall that, for a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}/A$ (of finite type), where A integral, there is a non-empty open affine subset $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{A}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ such that $\mathcal{F} \otimes_A \tilde{A}$ is flat over $\tilde{A}$ ([Mu], Lecture 8, p. 57). It follows that, given coherent sheaves $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{X}/A$, there exists an open affine subset $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{A} \subset \operatorname{Spec} A$ such that the natural map

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes_A B \to \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F} \otimes_A B, \mathcal{G} \otimes_A B)$$

is an isomorphism whenever $A \to B$ factors through $A \to \tilde{A}$ (compare the proof of [Mat], p. 52, Theorem 7.11). Thus, in our case, there is an open affine subset $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{A} \subset \operatorname{Spec} A$ such that the natural maps

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}_j, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B \to \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}_j \otimes_A B, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B)$$

are isomorphisms for all j and all $A \to \tilde{A} \to B$. Similiarly, shrinking $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{A}$ if necessary, we may assume the natural maps

$$\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}., \mathcal{F})) \otimes_A B \to \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}., \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B)$$

are isomorphisms for all q and all $A \to \tilde{A} \to B$. So combining, we have isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{T}^q(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B \to \mathcal{T}^q(\mathcal{X} \otimes_A B/B, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B)$$

for all q and all $A \to \tilde{A} \to B$. Next, we may assume that the natural maps

$$H^p(\mathcal{T}^q) \otimes_A B \to H^p(\mathcal{T}^q \otimes_A B)$$

are isomorphisms for all p, q and $A \to \tilde{A} \to B$, by the theory of cohomology and base change for projective morphisms (see e.g. [Har], III.12, in particular p. 288, Corollary 12.9), thus

$$H^p(\mathcal{T}^q(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})) \otimes_A B \cong H^p(\mathcal{T}^q(\mathcal{X} \otimes_A B/B, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B)).$$

Finally, we may assume that we obtain

$$T^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B \cong T^{p+q}(\mathcal{X} \otimes_A B/B, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B)$$

using the local-to-global spectral sequence, by imposing certain flatness requirements.

The same argument shows that the $T^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$ commute with base changes $A \to \tilde{A} \to B$ for some $\tilde{A}$, where $\mathcal{X}/A$ is a family of slc surfaces. We use the local identification

$$\mathcal{T}^i_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F}) = (\pi_\star \mathcal{T}^i(\mathcal{Z}/A, \pi^\star \mathcal{F}))^{\mu_N}$$

where $\pi \colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a local index one cover which is a μ_N quotient.

We use the exact sequence of Lemma 11.13 to show that $T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{F})$ commutes with base changes $A \to \tilde{A} \to B$ for some $\tilde{A}$. We may assume that the modules $T^0(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{D} \otimes_A M)$ and $T^1_{QG}(\mathcal{X}/A, \mathcal{F})$ commute with base changes $A \to B$ factoring through some $A \to \tilde{A}$ by the above, and if we assume some flatness conditions we obtain that $T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A, \mathcal{F})$ commutes with base changes $A \to \tilde{A} \to B$ using the 5-lemma.

Thus, given A_0 integral, M a finite A_0-module, and $A \to A_0$ an extension, $D_{(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{D}_0)/A_0}(M) = T^1_{QG}((\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{D}_0)/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/A}(M) =$

$T^2_{QG}(\mathcal{X}_0/A_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \otimes_{A_0} M)$ commute with base changes $A_0 \to \tilde{A}_0 \to B_0$ for some open affine subset $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{A}_0 \subset \operatorname{Spec} A_0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 11.24. *F is a Deligne-Mumford algebraic stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. First, it is clear that F defines a stack. The only non-trivial point is that etale descent data for F are effective — this follows since for each $S \in \underline{Sch}$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S \in F(S)$ we have a canonical polarisation $\omega^{[-N]}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Theorem 11.15, we can construct a scheme V locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ and $v \in F(V)$ such that the morphism of stacks $\underline{V} \to F$ is smooth and surjective. The construction is as follows: For every $(X, D) \in F(\mathbb{C})$, let R be an algebraic ring with a closed point $0 \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/R \in F(R)$, formally smooth over F, such that $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{D}_0) = (X, D)$. Let V be the disjoint union of the schemes $\operatorname{Spec} R$, and $v \in F(V)$ the union of the families $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/R$.

We have that the diagonal $F \to F \times F$ is representable, quasicompact and separated, i.e., for every S and every pair of elements $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$, $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')/S \in F(S)$, the functor

$$\operatorname{Isom}_S((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')) \colon (\mathit{Schemes}/S) \to (\mathit{Sets})$$

$$T \mapsto \{ \text{ Isomorphisms } \phi \colon (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \times_S T \to (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \times_S T \}$$

is represented by a scheme which is quasicompact and separated over S. For $\operatorname{Isom}_S((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}'))$ is represented by a scheme $\underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_S((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}'))/S$, quasiprojective over S, by Lemma 11.18. Thus F is an algebraic stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.

Finally, we claim that F is a Deligne-Mumford algebraic stack, i.e., there exists a scheme V locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ and $v \in F(V)$ such that the morphism of stacks $\underline{V} \to F$ is *etale* and surjective. By [DM], p. 104, Theorem 4.21, it is enough to show that the diagonal map $F \to F \times F$ is unramified, i.e., for every S and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$, $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')/S \in F(S)$, the scheme $\underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_S((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}'))/S$ is unramified over S. We may assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$, k algebraically closed. We know that $\operatorname{Aut}((X, D)/k)$ is finite for $(X, D)/k \in F(k)$ by [Ii], since $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is slc and ample. It follows that $(X, D)/k$ has no infinitesimal automorphisms (since $\operatorname{char} k = 0$) and hence $F \to F \times F$ is unramified as required. $\square$

Theorem 11.25. *$\mathcal{M}_d$ is a Deligne-Mumford algebraic stack, of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. It is immediate that $\mathcal{M}_d \subset F_d$ is a Deligne-Mumford algebraic stack, locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ by Theorem 11.24 and Definition 2.16. It remains to show that it is of finite type. By Theorem 2.11 there exists $N(d) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N(d)K_X$ is Cartier for every stable pair $(X, D)/k$ of degree d. By smoothability, the Hilbert polynomials of X and D with respect to the polarisation $-N(d)K_X$ are the same as the Hilbert polynomials of $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ a curve of degree d with respect to the polarisation $-N(d)K_{\mathbb{P}^2}$. Also, by [Ko5], Theorem 2.1.2, there exists $M(d) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $-M(d)K_X$ is very ample and has no higher cohomology for all (X, D). Thus there is a Hilbert scheme H of pairs $(Y, B) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^K$ such that every stable pair of degree d occurs in the universal family. Now, let S be a local affine patch of $\mathcal{M}_d$ with universal family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$. Pick an projective embedding $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^K_S$ defined by $-M(d)K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$. We obtain a map $S \to H$, and moreover taking all possible such embeddings we obtain a map

$$\phi\colon \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}(\mathbb{P}^K) \times S = PGL(K+1) \times S \to H.$$

We perform this construction everywhere locally on $\mathcal{M}_d$. The (set-theoretic) image of the maps ϕ is the set I of points $[(Y, B) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^K]$ of H such that (Y, B) is a stable pair of degree d embedded by $-M(d)K_Y$. We claim that I is a locally closed subset of H and moreover that the images of the maps ϕ give an open cover of I. Assuming this for the moment, we deduce that there exists a finite open subcover of I, and hence a finite affine open cover of $\mathcal{M}_d$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_d$ is of finite type as required.

We now prove our claim above. We first apply Lemma 11.19(1) to deduce that there exists an open locus $I^1 \subset H$ containing I such that for all $[(Y, B) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^K] \in I^1$, Y is CM, reduced and Gorenstein in codimension 1, and B is Cartier in codimension 1. Moreover, writing $(\mathcal{Y}^1, \mathcal{B}^1)/I^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^K_{I^1}$ for the universal family, $K_{\mathcal{Y}^1/I^1}$ and $\mathcal{B}^1$ are relative Weil divisors on $\mathcal{Y}^1/I^1$. Next, we apply Theorem 8.8, to deduce that there exists a locally closed subset $I^2 \subset I^1$ containing I such that, writing $(\mathcal{Y}^2, \mathcal{B}^2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^K_{I^2}$ for the universal family, $\omega^{[i]}_{\mathcal{Y}^2/I^2}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^2}(i\mathcal{B})$ commute with base changes $T \to I^2$ with T reduced, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. There now exists an open subset $I^3 \subset I^2$ containing I such that (Y, B) is quasistable for all $[(Y, B) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^K] \in I^3$ by Lemma 11.19(2). Let I^4 be the closure in I^3 of the locus where $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, then I^4 contains I and (Y, B) is a stable pair of degree d for all $[(Y, B) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^K] \in I^4$. Finally, $I \subset I^4$ is the locus where $(Y, B) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^K$ is defined by $-M(d)K_Y$ — this is an locally closed condition. Thus I is a locally closed subset of H. Now let S be a local patch of $\mathcal{M}_d$ with universal family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$ and $\phi\colon \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}(\mathbb{P}^K) \times S \to H$ a map as constructed above, we claim that the image of ϕ is an open subset of I. Let T be the spectrum of a DVR with closed point 0 and generic point η, and $h\colon T \to I$ a morphism (where $I \subset H$ is given its reduced structure) such that $h(0)$ lies in the image of ϕ. It is enough to show that $h(\eta)$ also lies in the image of ϕ. Writing $(\mathcal{Y}_T, \mathcal{B}_T)/T$ for the pullback of the universal family of H to T, we have $(\mathcal{Y}_T, \mathcal{B}_T)/T \in \mathcal{M}_d(T)$ (note that the base change conditions are satisfied by construction). We deduce our result by the versality of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/S$. □

12. Classification of the type B surfaces

We provide a characterisation of the slc del Pezzo surfaces of type B which have a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Theorem 12.1. *Suppose X is a surface of type B. Then X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$ iff*

1. *X has singularities of types $\frac{1}{n^2}(1, na-1)$, $(a,n)=1$, and $(xy=0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,a)$, $(a,r)=1$. Moreover there are at most two non-normal singularities with index $r>1$.*
2. *$K_X^2 = 9$.*
3. *$\rho(X_1)=\rho(X_2)=1$ or $\{\rho(X_1), \rho(X_2)\} = \{1,2\}$.*

We use the $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation theory developed in Section 10. Write $A_1 = k[t]/(t^2)$. We use the short hand $\mathcal{T}^i_X = \mathcal{T}^i(X/k, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k A_1)$, and similiarly T^i_X, $\mathcal{T}^i_{QG,X}$ and $T^i_{QG,X}$. The following theorem describes how to calculate the $\mathcal{T}^i_X$.

Theorem 12.2. *Let X be a scheme over k. We have*

1. *$\mathcal{T}^0_X = \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = T_X$, the tangent sheaf of X.*
2. *$\mathcal{T}^1_X$ can be calculated locally from an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ of X in an affine space via the exact sequence $T_{\mathbb{A}}|_X \to \mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{A}} \to \mathcal{T}^1_X \to 0$. In particular $\mathcal{T}^1_X = 0$ if X is smooth.*
3. *$\mathcal{T}^2_X = 0$ if X is a local complete intersection.*

Proof. All this follows from Theorem 10.7 apart from the exact sequence of (2) — see [LS], p. 51, 2.3.6. ☐

We also recall the following results from Section 10 — if $\pi\colon Z \to X$ is a local index one cover of X, a μ_N quotient say, then

$$\mathcal{T}^i_{QG,X} = (\pi_\star \mathcal{T}^i_Z)^{\mu_N}$$

and moreover $\mathcal{T}^0_{QG,X} = \mathcal{T}^0_X$.

Lemma 12.3. *Let X be a surface with two normal irreducible components X_1, X_2 meeting in a smooth double curve Δ. Suppose X has only singularities of the form $(xy=0) \subset \frac{1}{r}(1,-1,a)$ at Δ. Then, in a neighbourhood of Δ,*

$$\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X} \cong \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta_1|_\Delta + \Delta_2|_\Delta).$$

Here Δ_i is the double curve on X_i, and we calculate $\Delta_i|_\Delta$ by moving Δ_i on X_i, and restricting to Δ — we obtain a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on Δ which is well defined modulo linear equivalence. We have that $\Delta_1|_\Delta + \Delta_2|_\Delta$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor on Δ. In particular, $\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}$ is a line bundle on Δ of degree $\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2$.

Proof. Let $P \in \Delta$, we first work locally analytically at P. Suppose that X is normal crossing at P, i.e.,

$$X \cong (xy=0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}.$$

Then, using the exact sequence $T_{\mathbb{A}^3}|_X \to \mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{A}^3} \to \mathcal{T}^1_X \to 0$, we deduce that $\mathcal{T}^1_X$ is a line bundle on Δ. Moreover we obtain a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{T}^1_X \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}(\Delta_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}(\Delta_2)$ — for we have

$$\mathcal{T}^1_X \cong \mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{A}^3}|_\Delta \cong \mathcal{O}_\Delta(X_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Delta(X_1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}(\Delta_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}(\Delta_2).$$

Suppose now that X has a singularity $(xy = 0) \subset \frac{1}{r}(1, -1, a)$ at P. Let $\pi\colon Z \to X$ be the canonical cover, then Z is normal crossing. Write Z_1, Z_2 for the inverse images of X_1, X_2, and Δ', Δ'_1, Δ'_2 for the inverse images of Δ, Δ_1, Δ_2 on Z, Z_1, Z_2. We have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{T}^1_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Delta'_1}(\Delta'_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta'_2}(\Delta'_2)$, and $\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X} = (\pi_\star \mathcal{T}^1_Z)^{\mu_r}$.

Patching together this local information, we obtain that globally $\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X} \cong \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta_1|_\Delta + \Delta_2|_\Delta)$, where $\Delta_i|_\Delta$ is defined as detailed above. ☐

Lemma 12.4. *Suppose X is a surface of type B which satisfies the conditions (1),(2),(3) of Theorem 12.1. Then we have $\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2 = 3 - (\rho(X_1) + \rho(X_2))$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{X}_i \to X_i$ for $i = 1$ and 2 be the minimal resolutions of the components of X. Then

$$K^2_{\tilde{X}_i} + \rho(\tilde{X}_i) = 10$$

for $i = 1$ and 2 by Noether's formula, and

$$K^2_{\tilde{X}_1} + K^2_{\tilde{X}_2} + \rho(\tilde{X}_1) + \rho(\tilde{X}_2) = K^2_{X_1} + K^2_{X_2} + \rho(X_1) + \rho(X_2) + 4\sum(1 - \frac{1}{r_j}),$$

where the r_j are the indices of the non-Gorenstein singularities of X at Δ (see the proof of Theorem 6.1). Thus

$$K^2_{X_1} + K^2_{X_2} = 20 - (\rho(X_1) + \rho(X_2)) - 4\sum(1 - \frac{1}{r_j}).$$

We also have

$$(K_{X_1} + \Delta_1)^2 + (K_{X_2} + \Delta_2)^2 = 9$$

and

$$K_{X_i}\Delta_i + \Delta_i^2 = -2 + \sum(1 - \frac{1}{r_j})$$

for $i = 1$ and 2. Solving for $\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2$ we obtain $\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2 = 3 - (\rho(X_1) + \rho(X_2))$. ☐

Lemma 12.5. *Suppose X is a surface of type B which satisfies the conditions (1),(2),(3) of Theorem 12.1. Then $H^2(T_X) = 0$.*

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-\Delta_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(-\Delta_2) \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_\Delta \to 0.$$

Apply the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X, \cdot)$ — we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{X_1}(-\Delta_1) \oplus T_{X_2}(-\Delta_2) \to T_X \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_\Delta}(\Omega_X|_\Delta, \mathcal{O}_\Delta).$$

Thus we have an inclusion $T_{X_1}(-\Delta_1) \oplus T_{X_2}(-\Delta_2) \hookrightarrow T_X$ with cokernel supported on Δ. It follows that $H^2(T_{X_1}(-\Delta_1)) \oplus H^2(T_{X_2}(-\Delta_2)) \to H^2(T_X)$ is surjective. So it is enough to show that $H^2(T_{X_i}(-\Delta_i)) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Write (Y, C) for a component (X_i, Δ_i). By Serre duality,

$$H^2(T_Y(-C)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(T_Y(-C), \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y))^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}(T_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + C))^\vee.$$

We claim that $\mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y - C)$ has a nonzero global section. Assuming this, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(T_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + C)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^0(\Omega_Y^{\vee\vee}).$$

Now, letting $\pi : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ be the minimal resolution, we have $\Omega_Y^{\vee\vee} = \pi_\star \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}$ since Y has only quotient singularities ([St1], Lemma 1.11). Thus $h^0(\Omega_Y^{\vee\vee}) = h^0(\Omega_{\tilde{Y}}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}) = 0$, since $\tilde{Y}$ is rational by Theorem 4.3. So $H^2(T_Y(-C)) = 0$ as required.

It remains to show that $\mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y - C)$ has a nonzero global section. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y - 2C) \to \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y - C) \to \mathcal{O}_C(-K_Y - C) \to 0.$$

Now $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y - 2C)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(2K_Y + 2C)) = 0$ by Serre duality and Kodaira vanishing (recall that Y is log terminal and $-(K_Y + C)$ is ample). So we are done if $\mathcal{O}_C(-K_Y - C)$ has a nonzero global section. A local calculation shows that $\mathcal{O}_C(-K_Y - C) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(-K_C - S)$, where S is the sum of the singular points of Y lying on C. Now C is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$, and there are at most 2 singular points of Y on C by assumption, thus $\deg(-K_C - S) \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{O}_C(-K_Y - C)$ has a nonzero global section as required. □

Lemma 12.6. *Let X be a surface of type B which admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then there are at most two non-normal points of X of index greater than* 1.

Proof. For a smoothable surface X of type B, the non-Gorenstein singularities at Δ are of type $(xy = 0) \subset \frac{1}{r}(1, -1, a)$. Moreover, if we have k such singularities with indices $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ then $\sum(1 - \frac{1}{r_i}) < 2$, in particular $k \leq 3$. We show that if X smoothes to $\mathbb{P}^2$, then $k \leq 2$. We assume $k = 3$ and obtain a contradiction. Let $\mathcal{X}/T$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of X over the spectrum T of a DVR with generic point η, such that $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$. Write $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, then there are two cases :

(1) $\rho(X_1) = 1$ and $\rho(X_2) = 2$, $\rho(\mathcal{X}/T) = 2$, $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.
(2) $\rho(X_1) = \rho(X_2) = 1$, $\rho(\mathcal{X}/T) = 1$, $\mathcal{X}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

Here we use Corollary 5.2, also $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$ by Lemma 5.4(1) and we can calculate $\rho(\mathcal{X}/T)$ using Lemma 5.5(2).

Suppose first X is of type (1). We claim that we can contract the divisor $X_1 \subset \mathcal{X}$ to obtain a relative minimal model $\bar{\mathcal{X}}/T$. We have $\mathcal{X}$ canonical by Lemma 2.25, so we can use the relative MMP theory. It's enough to show that the double curve Δ of X generates an extremal ray in $\overline{NE}(\mathcal{X}/T)$

(note that $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is relatively ample so certainly $K_{\mathcal{X}}\Delta < 0$). Let $\mathcal{X}$ have singularity $((xy = t^n) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{x,y,z,t}) \cong \frac{1}{n}(1,-1) \times \mathbb{A}^1_z$ generically along Δ. Then

$$\Delta^2_{X_1} + \Delta^2_{X_2} = nX_1X_2(X_2 + X_1) = 0.$$

Now $\Delta^2_{X_1} > 0$ since $\rho(X_1) = 1$, thus $\Delta^2_{X_2} < 0$ and Δ generates an extremal ray on X_2. By the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}\Delta \to N_1(X_1) \oplus N_1(X_2) \to N_1(\mathcal{X}/T) \to 0$$

(c.f. Lemma 5.5), we see that Δ generates an extremal ray on $\mathcal{X}$. Hence we can contract X_1 to obtain a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial family of surfaces $\bar{\mathcal{X}}/T$ with generic fibre $\mathbb{P}^2_\eta$. The special fibre $\bar{X}$ is obtained from X_2 by contracting the double curve Δ. Thus $\bar{X}$ has a log-terminal singularity whose minimal resolution has exceptional locus a tree of rational curves with one fork. But this singularity is not smoothable, a contradiction.

Now suppose that X is of type (2). Then $\mathcal{X}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. Thus we have a point $P \in \Delta$ such that, locally analytically at P,

$$\mathcal{X} \cong (xy + t^n g(z^r,t) = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{x,y,z,t}/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,a,0),$$

where $t \not| g(z^r,t)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Working locally at $P \in \mathcal{X}$, we construct a small partial resolution $\pi \colon \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$. Assume first that $r = 1$. Let $\pi \colon \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the blowup of $(x = g = 0) \subset \mathcal{X}$. Writing $u = \frac{g}{x}$ and $v = \frac{x}{g}$, we have two affine pieces of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ as follows:

$$(vy + t^n = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{v,y,z,t}$$

$$((y + t^n u = 0, xu = g) \subset \mathbb{A}^5_{x,y,z,t,u}) \cong ((xu = g) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{x,u,z,t})$$

Thus $\tilde{X}$ is normal crossing at the strict transform $\tilde{\Delta}$ of Δ and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ has a $\frac{1}{n}(1,-1) \times \mathbb{A}^1_z$ singularity along $\tilde{\Delta}$. The only possible singularity of $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ away from Δ is a cA singularity at $(x,u,z,t) = 0$. Write $X_1 = (x = 0)$, $X_2 = (y = 0)$, and $\tilde{X}_1$, $\tilde{X}_2$ for the strict transforms. Then $\tilde{X}_1 \to X_1$ is an isomorphism, and $\tilde{X}_2 \to X_2$ contracts a $\mathbb{P}^1$ to the smooth point $P \in X_1$. In the case $r > 1$ we obtain $\pi \colon \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ as the μ_r quotient of the construction above.

Now work globally on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Note that $\tilde{X}$ is slt, $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is canonical, and $K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} = \pi^\star K_{\mathcal{X}}$. We first claim that $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/T$ is projective. For it is easy to see that the special fibre $\tilde{X}$ is projective, and the restriction map $\operatorname{Pic} \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \operatorname{Pic} \tilde{X}$ is an isomorphism (using $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ nef and big, c.f. proof of Lemma 5.5(1)). Moreover $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ factorial (c.f. Corollary 5.2). We now obtain a contradiction as for case (1) above. ☐

Proof of Theorem 12.1. First suppose that X is a surface of type B that admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$. The condition (1) on the singularities of X is satisified by Theorem 3.12, Lemma 7.4 and Lemma 12.6. Let $\mathcal{X}/T$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of X/k, where T is the spectrum of a DVR with generic point η, such that $\mathcal{X}_\eta \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\eta$. We have $K^2_X = K^2_{\mathcal{X}_\eta} = 9$ since $K_{\mathcal{X}}$

is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, so (2) holds. Finally, (3) is satisfied by Corollary 5.2. Thus the conditions are necessary.

Now let X be a surface of type B which satisfies the conditions (1),(2) and (3). We first construct a first order $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation $\mathcal{X}^1/A_1$ of X/k, where $A_1 = k[t]/(t^2)$. We then show that this extends to a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of X over a DVR. Recall that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Def}^{QG}_{X/k}(A_1) \cong T^1_X$. Now, by the local-to-global spectral sequence, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(\mathcal{T}^0_X) \to T^1_{QG,X} \to H^0(\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}) \to H^2(\mathcal{T}^0_X).$$

By Lemma 12.5 we have $H^2(\mathcal{T}^0_X) = H^2(T_X) = 0$, so

$$0 \to H^1(\mathcal{T}^0_X) \to T^1_{QG,X} \to H^0(\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}) \to 0$$

is exact. We next specify an element of $H^0(\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X})$, and describe the local first order deformations of X it determines. We can then lift this to an element of $T^1_{QG,X}$ defining a global $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein first order deformation $\mathcal{X}^1/A_1$ of X/k.

The sheaf $\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}$ is supported on the singular locus of X. So, to define a global section of $\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}$, we have to define a section in a neighbourhood of each connected component of the singular locus of X. An isolated singularity P of X is of the form $\frac{1}{n^2}(1, na-1)$ by assumption. Write $Z \to X$ for the local index one cover of X, then

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[d] & \cong & (xy + z^n = 0) \arrow[d] & \subset & \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z} \arrow[d] \\
X & \cong & (xy + z^n = 0) & \subset & \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\frac{1}{n}(1, -1, a).
\end{tikzcd}$$

We define a local first order $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation of X as follows:

$$(xy + z^n + t = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\frac{1}{n}(1, -1, a) \times \operatorname{Spec} A_1.$$

This corresponds to a local section of $\mathcal{T}^{1\prime}_X$. Now consider Δ, the locus of non-isolated singularities of X. In a neighbourhood of Δ, $\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}$ is a line bundle on Δ of degree $\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2$ by Lemma 7.4. Moreover $\Delta \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2 = 3 - (\rho(X_1) + \rho(X_2)) \geq 0$ by Lemma 12.4. So there exists a section s of $\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}$ at Δ, with reduced divisor of zeroes missing the non-Gorenstein points of X, i.e.,

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_\Delta \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X} \to \oplus_{i=1}^k k(P_i) \to 0$$

where $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ are distinct points of index one on X. Then s defines local first order deformations of X at the points P of Δ of the following forms. If $P \neq P_1, \ldots, P_k$ and $X \cong (xy = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\frac{1}{r}(1, -1, a)$ at P, some $r \geq 1$, then we have

$$(xy + tu = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\frac{1}{r}(1, -1, a) \times \operatorname{Spec} A_1,$$

for some unit $u \in k[[z^r]]$. If $P = P_i$ for some i then $X \cong (xy = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}$ at P and we have

$$(xy + tzu = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z} \times \operatorname{Spec} A_1,$$

for some unit $u \in k[[z]]$.

Observe that X has unobstructed $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations. For the local index one covers of X are local complete intersections, so $\mathcal{T}^2_{QG,X} = 0$ (thus X has unobstructed $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations locally). Also, we have $H^1(\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}) = 0$, since $\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}$ is an invertible sheaf on $\Delta \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ of non-negative degree, and $H^2(T_X) = 0$ by Lemma 12.5. Thus $T^2_{QG,X} = 0$ by the local-to-global spectral sequence, so X has unobstructed $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations as claimed. Hence we can extend the deformation $\mathcal{X}^1/A_1$ to a sequence of compatible $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations $\mathcal{X}^n/A_n$, where $A_n = k[t]/(t^{n+1})$. They determine a formal scheme $\hat{\mathcal{X}}/\operatorname{Spf} \hat{A}$, where $A = k[t]_{(t)}$, $\hat{A}$ is the completion of A, and Spf denotes the formal spectrum. By the Grothendieck Existence Theorem, $\hat{\mathcal{X}}/\operatorname{Spf} \hat{A}$ is the completion of a projective $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family $\mathcal{X}/\operatorname{Spec} \hat{A}$ (c.f. Proof of Lemma 11.22). We claim that this is a smoothing of X. For, from the explicit descriptions of the local first order deformations above, we see that $\mathcal{X}$ has only singularities of types $\frac{1}{n}(1,-1,a)$ and $(xy + zt = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{x,y,z,t}$, hence $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth over the generic point η of $\operatorname{Spec} \hat{A}$ as required. The geometric generic fibre $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface with $K^2 = 9$, hence $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}} \cong \mathbb{P}^2_{\bar{\eta}}$. Thus $\mathcal{X}'/\operatorname{Spec} \hat{A}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of X to $\mathbb{P}^2$ as required. □

We include below an important result we have proved along the way.

Theorem 12.7. *Let X be an slt del Pezzo surface that admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then X has unobstructed $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations.*

Proof. X is either a Manetti surface or of type B. We proved the result in the type B case in the proof of Theorem 12.1. If X is a Manetti surface then the local index one covers of X are local complete intersections so $\mathcal{T}^2_{QG,X} = 0$, $H^1(\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}) = 0$ since $\mathcal{T}^1_{QG,X}$ has 0 dimensional support, and $H^2(\mathcal{T}^0_X) = 0$ by [Ma], p. 113, Proof of Theorem 21. Thus $T^2_{QG,X} = 0$, so X has unobstructed $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations as required. □

13. SIMPLIFICATIONS IN THE CASE $3 \not| d$

We state and prove two major simplifications we obtain in the case $3 \not| d$. First, if (X, D) is a stable pair of degree d then X is slt. Second, the stack $\mathcal{M}_d$ is smooth.

Theorem 13.1. *Let (X, D) be a stable pair of degree d. Suppose $3 \not| d$. Then X is slt. So X is either a Manetti surface (that is, normal, with log terminal singularities) or of type B. In particular X has 1 or 2 components.*

Proof. Write (Y, C) for a component of (X^ν, Δ^ν), and let D_Y denote $D\,|_Y$. We need to show that (Y, C) is log terminal. First we show that there are no singularities of types $(\frac{1}{r}(1, a), 2\Delta)$ or (D, Δ). For suppose Γ is a component of C which passes through such a point. Then there is at most one other singularity of (Y, C) on Γ, of type $(\frac{1}{s}(1, b), \Delta)$. We calculate $(K_Y + C)\Gamma = -2 + 1 + (1 - \frac{1}{s}) = -\frac{1}{s}$ (we allow $s = 1$ — this is the case where there are no other singularities on C). Now $K_Y + C + \frac{3}{d}D_Y \equiv 0$, so $D_Y\Gamma = \frac{d}{3}\frac{1}{s}$. But D_Y misses the strictly log canonical point of (Y, C), since $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is slc, thus sD_Y is Cartier near Γ. So $D_Y\Gamma \in \frac{1}{s}\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction.

It only remains to show that no normal strictly log canonical singularities can occur. Otherwise, X is a normal surface, so is an elliptic cone by Theorem 7.2. Let l be a ruling, $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ the minimal resolution of X and E the exceptional curve. Thus $\tilde{X}$ is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve, E is the negative section, and the strict transform l' of l is a ruling. We calculate $K_X l = \pi^\star K_X l' = (K_{\tilde{X}} + E)l' = -2 + 1 = -1$. Now $K_X + \frac{3}{d}D \equiv 0$ implies $Dl = \frac{d}{3}$. But D misses the singularity of X since $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ is log canonical, so D is Cartier. Thus $Dl \in \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. □

Remark 13.2. In particular we have a classification of the surfaces X occurring by Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 12.1.

Remark 13.3. If $3 \mid d$ the situation is much more complicated. For example in the case $d = 6$ the maximum number of components of a surface X is 18. See Example 16.5.

Theorem 13.4. *$\mathcal{M}_d$ is smooth for $3 \not| d$.*

Proof. First, by Theorem 12.7 and Theorem 13.1 above, for $(X, D)/k \in \mathcal{M}_d(k)$, X/k has unobstructed $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformations. Second, by Theorem 11.9, the map of functors $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{d,(X,D)/k} \to \mathrm{Def}^{QG}_{X/k}$ is smooth. Note that $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{d,(X,D)/k} = \bar{F}_{d,(X,D)/k}$ in this case, i.e., every $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein deformation of $(X, D)/k$ is smoothable. Thus $\mathcal{M}_d$ is smooth as required. □

Remark 13.5. $\mathcal{M}_d$ is not smooth if $3 \mid d$ — see Example 16.6.

14. CLASSIFICATION OF STABLE PAIRS FOR $d = 4, 5$ AND 6

We set out below the complete classification of stable pairs of degrees 4 and 5. In degree 6 we give a complete list of candidates for the surfaces that occur, however we have yet to establish which surfaces of types C and D are smoothable. I explain how the classification was obtained in Section 15.

Notation 14.1. (1) Given a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}$, let H denote a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. We also write $\sim kH$ to denote a general section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(k)$.

(2) Given a rational ruled surface $\mathbb{F}_n$, let A and B denote a fibre and the negative section respectively.

When we refer to the singularities of (X, D) below, we mean the points of X where (X, D) is not normal crossing, i.e., where we do not have X normal crossing and $D^\nu + \Delta^\nu \subset X^\nu$ normal crossing.

14.1. $d = 4$. Surfaces X:

Surface	Double curve	Singularities
$\mathbb{P}^2$		
$\mathbb{P}(1,1,4)$		$\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$
$\mathbb{P}(1,1,2) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$	H, H	$(xy=0) \subset \frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$

Singularities of (X, D):

X	D
$\mathbb{A}^2_{x,y}$	$(y^2 + x^3 = 0)$
$\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$	0
$(xy=0) \subset \frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$	0

14.2. $d = 5$. Surfaces X:

Surface	Double curve	Singularities
$\mathbb{P}^2$		
$\mathbb{P}(1,1,4)$		$\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$
$X_{26} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,13,25)$		$\frac{1}{25}(1,4)$
$\mathbb{P}(1,4,25)$		$\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$, $\frac{1}{25}(1,4)$
$\mathbb{P}(1,1,2) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$	H, H	$(xy=0) \subset \frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$
$\mathbb{P}(1,1,5) \cup (X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5))$	$H, \sim 2H$	$(xy=0) \subset \frac{1}{5}(1,-1,1)$
$\mathbb{P}(1,1,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,4,5)$	$H, \sim 4H$	$\frac{1}{4}(1,1), (xy=0) \subset \frac{1}{5}(1,-1,1)$

Singularities of (X, D):

X	D
$\mathbb{A}^2_{x,y}$	$(y^2 + x^n = 0)$ for $3 \leq n \leq 9$
$\mathbb{A}^2_{x,y}$	$(x(y^2 + x^n) = 0)$ for $n = 2, 3$
$\mathbb{A}^2_{x,y}/\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$	$(y^2 + x^n = 0)$ for $n = 2, 6$
$(xy = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}/\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$	$(z = 0)$
$\frac{1}{25}(1,4)$	0
$(xy = 0) \subset \frac{1}{5}(1,-1,1)$	0

14.3. $d = 6$. We have the following cases for the surfaces X:

14.3.1. *Types A and B.*

Surface	Double curve	Singularities
$\mathbb{P}^2$		
$\mathbb{P}(1,1,4)$		$\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$
Elliptic cone, degree 9		simple elliptic singularity
$\mathbb{P}^2 \cup \mathbb{F}_1$	H, B	
$\mathbb{P}^2 \cup \mathbb{F}_4$	$\sim 2H, B$	
$\mathbb{P}(1,1,4) \cup \mathbb{F}_4$	$\sim 4H, B$	$\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$
$\mathbb{P}(1,1,2) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$	H, H	$(xy = 0) \subset \frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$

14.3.2. *Types C and D.* In this case we only have a partial solution – we give a complete list of candidates, but we have yet to establish which are smoothable.

We give below a list of possible components (Y, C) of the surfaces X, i.e., Y is a component of the normalisation of X and C is the inverse image of the double curve. We then glue these together, following the instructions in Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.12, to recover X. We have the following constraints:

(1) $K_X^2 = 9$. Equivalently, $\sum(K_Y + C)^2 = 9$, where (Y, C) runs over the components of X.
(2) $\sum \rho(Y) \leq 2V$ in case C, $\sum \rho(Y) \leq 2V - 1$ in case D, where V is the number of components of X — see Corollary 5.2.

Recall that the components (Y, C) are of two types III and IV. We tabulate these separately.

Notation 14.2. For a singularity of type (D, Δ), the exceptional locus of the minimal resolution consists of a chain $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ of curves together with two -2 curves meeting F_k. The strict transform of Δ meets F_1. We use the sequence $-F_1^2, \ldots, -F_k^2$ of self-intersections to describe the (D, Δ) singularities occurring below.

Type III:

Case	Parameter	$\rho(Y)$	$(K_Y + C)^2$	Singularities		
				Number of $(\frac{1}{2}(1,1),\Delta)$'s	$(\frac{1}{r}(1,a),2\Delta)$ sing. r	a
1.	$n \geq 1$	1	n		n	1
2.	$n \geq 1$	1	$n - \frac{1}{2}$	1	$2n - 1$	2
3.	$n \geq 2$	1	$n - 1$	2	$4(n-1)$	$2n - 1$
4.	$n \geq 0$	2	$n + 2$			
5.	$n \geq 1$	2	$n + \frac{3}{2}$	1	2	1
6.	$n \geq 2$	2	$n - \frac{1}{2}$	1	n	1
7.	$n \geq 2$	2	$n - 1$	2	$2n - 1$	2
8.	2	2	$\frac{7}{2}$	1		
9.	2	2	4	2		
10.	2	2	3	2	3	1
11.	$n \geq 1$	3	n	2	2	1
12.	$n \geq 2$	3	$n + \frac{1}{2}$	1		
13.	$n \geq 2$	3	$n + \frac{3}{2}$	1		
14.	$n \geq 5$	3	$n - 1$	2	n	1

Type IV:

Case	Parameter	$\rho(Y)$	$(K_Y+C)^2$	Singularities	
				Number of $(\frac{1}{2}(1,1),\Delta)$'s	(D,Δ) sing. $-F_1^2,\dots,-F_k^2$
1.	$n \geq 2$	1	$n-1$	0	$n,2,2$
2.	$n \geq 2$	1	$n-\frac{3}{2}$	1	$2,n,2,2$
3.	$n \geq 2$	2	$n+1$	0	$2,2$
4.	$n \geq 2$	2	$n-\frac{3}{2}$	1	$n,2,2$
5.	$n \geq 2$	2	$n-1$	0	$n,2$
6.	$n \geq 3$	2	$n-\frac{3}{2}$	1	$2,n,2$
7.	2	2	$\frac{7}{2}$	1	2
8.	2	2	$\frac{5}{2}$	1	$3,2$

Remark 14.3. In almost all cases the parameter is just the *weight* of the surface Y, namely the maximal w such that there exists a birational morphism $\tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{F}_w$, where $\tilde{Y}$ is the minimal resolution of Y. This is only false when $n = 1$ in type III cases 1,2,10 and 12 – then the weight is undefined in case 1 (because $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$) and equals 2 in the other cases.

We describe the components of type III concretely below, using toric language. Note that we can also give an explicit description of the type IV cases — we describe Y by expressing its minimal resolution $\tilde{Y}$ as a blowup of $\mathbb{F}_n$. This is omitted here.

Notation 14.4. Let $\mathrm{Bl}_{(m,n)} S \to S$ denote the weighted blowup of a smooth point of a surface S with weights (m,n) with respect to some local analytic coordinates. Unless otherwise stated, we assume that the point and choice of coordinates is general. We write E for the exceptional curve and use primes to denote strict transforms of curves. If we refer to C', it is assumed that C passes through the centre P of the blowup, e.g. if $S = \mathbb{P}$, H' denotes the strict transform of a general section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ through P.

Let $\mathbb{F}_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ $(n \geq 1)$ denote the surface obtained from $\mathbb{F}_n$ in the following way: First perform a sequence of two blowups away from the negative section to obtain a degenerate fibre which is a chain of curves of self intersections $-2, -1, -2$. Then contract the two -2 curves. Thus $\mathbb{F}_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ has one double fibre with two $\frac{1}{2}(1,1)$ singularities on it, and a negative section with square $-(n-\frac{1}{2})$. We let A and B denote the negative section and fibre as usual. We write $\frac{1}{2}A$ for the double fibre with its reduced structure.

Type III (toric descriptions):

Case	Surface component	Double curve
1.	$\mathbb{P}(1,1,n)$	$H_1 + H_2$
2.	$\mathbb{P}(1,2,2n-1)$	$H + \sim 2H$
3.	$\mathbb{P}(1,1,2(n-1))/\mu_2$	$H_1/\mu_2 + H_2/\mu_2$
4.	$\mathbb{F}_n$	$A + B$
5.	$\mathbb{F}_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{1}{2}A + B$
6.	$\operatorname{Bl}_{(1,2)} \mathbb{P}(1,1,n)$	$H_1' + H_2$
7.	$\operatorname{Bl}_{(1,2)} \mathbb{P}(1,2,2n-1)$	$H + (\sim 2H)'$
8.	$\operatorname{Bl} \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$	$H' + E$
9.	$\operatorname{Bl}_{(1,2)} \mathbb{P}(1,1,2), \operatorname{wt}(H) = 2$	$H' + E$
10.	$\operatorname{Bl}_{(2,3)} \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$	$H' + E$
$11, n > 1.$	$\operatorname{Bl}_{(1,2)} \mathbb{F}_{n-\frac{3}{2}}, \operatorname{wt}(B) = 1$	$\frac{1}{2}A + B'$
$11, n = 1.$	$\operatorname{Bl}_{(1,2)} \mathbb{F}_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{1}{2}A + (\sim B + \frac{1}{2}A)'$
12.	$\operatorname{Bl}_{(1,2)} \mathbb{F}_{n-1}, \operatorname{wt}(B) = 1$	$A + B'$
13.	$\operatorname{Bl}_{(1,2)} \mathbb{F}_n$	$A' + B$
14.	$\operatorname{Bl}^2_{(1,2)} \mathbb{P}(1,1,n)$	$H_1' + H_2'$

15. DERIVATION OF THE CLASSIFICATION FOR $d = 4, 5$ AND 6

We aim to classify the surfaces X for $d = 4, 5, 6$. We provide a brief overview of our method below. We first obtain a list of candidates satisfying certain combinatorial conditions. We then check which of these candidates actually occur — the main point is to establish the smoothability of X. This last step is incomplete in the case $d = 6$.

Notation 15.1. Let (X, D) be a stable pair of degree d and (Y, C) a component of (X^ν, Δ^ν). Let $\pi : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ be the minimal resolution of Y and define a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\tilde{C}$ on $\tilde{Y}$ by $K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C} = \pi^\star(K_Y + C)$, $\pi_\star \tilde{C} = C$ (as in Notation 4.1).

We first give a list of candidates for the pairs (Y, C). We then glue these together as instructed in Theorem 3.10 to obtain our list of candidates for X. We do not work directly with the pairs (Y, C) — instead we consider the pairs $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C})$. Note that it is immediate to recover (Y, C) from $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C})$ — we just contract the components of $\tilde{C}$ where $-(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C})$ is zero. For $-(K_Y + C)$ is ample and $-(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C}) = -\pi^\star(K_Y + C)$ by definition, moreover

$\mathrm{Exc}(\pi) \subset \mathrm{Supp}\,\tilde{C}$ by Lemma 15.3 below. Note that we may assume $\tilde{Y}$ is rational, since otherwise Y is an elliptic cone and $X = Y$.

We begin our classification of the (Y, C) by giving a list of possible singularities. We deduce the possible configurations of rational curves making up the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\tilde{C}$, together with multiplicities. We obtain a finite list of possible slt singularities on (Y, C) by using the conditions $dK_X + 3D \sim 0$ and $K_X + (\frac{3}{d} + \epsilon)D$ slc to bound the index of X (c.f. the proof of Theorem 2.22). We are content to note that the strictly log canonical singularities of (Y, C) are of two types $(\frac{1}{r}(1, a), 2\Delta)$ and (D, Δ). The corresponding configurations of components of $\tilde{C}$ are easily understood — working locally over the singular point $P \in Y$ we have:

(1) $(\frac{1}{r}(1, a), 2\Delta) : \tilde{C} = C'_1 + E_1 + \cdots + E_k + C'_2$, where $E_1, \ldots, E_k$ is a chain of rational curves, and C'_1 and C'_2 intersect E_1 and E_k respectively.

(2) $(D, \Delta) : \tilde{C} = C' + F_1 + \cdots + F_l + \frac{1}{2}G_1 + \frac{1}{2}G_2$, where $F_1, \ldots, F_l$ is a chain of rational curves, G_1 and G_2 are -2-curves meeting F_l, and C' intersects F_1.

Note that, if $3 \not| d$, (Y, C) is log terminal by Theorem 13.1, so these cases do not occur.

Notation 15.2. Assume Y is rational and $Y \not\cong \mathbb{P}^2$, then there exists a birational morphism $\tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{F}_w$, where $w \geq 0$ — fix one such morphism ϕ, with w maximal. Then ϕ is an isomorphism over the negative section B of $\mathbb{F}_w$. Let p denote the composite $\tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{F}_w \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

We next analyse all the ways that we can fit the possible $\tilde{C}$ configurations into a surface $\tilde{Y}$ with a birational ruling $\tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{F}_w \to \mathbb{P}^1$ as above. We require that $-(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C})$ is nef and big, and positive outside $\tilde{C}$ — this imposes strong restrictions on curves in degenerate fibres which are not contained in $\mathrm{Supp}\,\tilde{C}$ (see Lemma 15.7). Also, we have $B' \subset \mathrm{Supp}\,\tilde{C}$ if $w \geq 2$, where B' denotes the strict transform of $B \subset \mathbb{F}_w$ under $\phi \colon \tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{F}_w$. We obtain the candidates for $d = 4$ and 5 in this way in the Proof of Theorem 15.10. We omit the derivation of the list of candidates for $d = 6$. It is rather different in style, since (Y, C) may have strictly log canonical singularities. In particular we do *not* obtain a finite list of possible singularities as our first step. We begin by giving a list of possible degenerate fibres of $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C})$. Then, with some work, we obtain a complete list of candidates. In each case $\mathrm{Supp}\,\tilde{C}$ is a collection of components of fibres together with B' and at most one other horizontal component.

Lemma 15.3. *With the notation as above, the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\tilde{C}$ is effective and* $\mathrm{Supp}(\tilde{C}) = C' \cup \mathrm{Exc}(\pi)$.

Proof. We have $K_{\tilde{Y}} + C' = \pi^\star(K_Y + C) + \sum a_i E_i$ with $a_i \leq 0$, and $\tilde{C} = C' + \sum(-a_i)E_i$ by definition (compare the proof of Proposition 4.2). We need to show $a_i < 0$ for all i. If $a_j = 0$ for some j, then $a_k = 0$ for all E_k in the same connected component of $\mathrm{Exc}(\pi)$ using $K_{\tilde{Y}} + \tilde{C}$ π-nef. It follows that this connected component contracts to a canonical singularity of (Y, C). This must be a Du Val singularity of Y with $C = 0$ locally. But then we have a normal log-terminal singularity on X which is not of type T_1, a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 15.4. *Let (X, D) be a stable pair of degree $d = 4, 5$ or 6. For $P \in X$ we have*

(1) *d=4 :* $\operatorname{index}_P K_X = 1, 2$ *or* 4.
(2) *d=5 :* $\operatorname{index}_P K_X = 1, 2$ *or* 5.
(3) *d=6 :* $\operatorname{index}_P K_X = 1$ *or* 2.

Proof. See the Proof of Theorem 2.22 — a finer analysis in the cases $d = 4, 5$ and 6 gives our result. $\square$

Lemma 15.5. *Let $d = 4$ or 5. Then the connected components of $\tilde{C}$ are chains of smooth rational curves. We have the following possibilities for the multiplicities and self-intersections of the components:*

(1) $d = 4$

Case	*Multiplicities*	*Self-Intersections*	*Image in Y*
(1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	-4	$\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$
(2)	$1, \frac{1}{2}$	$?, -2$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni \frac{1}{2}(1,1)$
(3)	$\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$	$-6, -2, -2$	$\frac{1}{16}(1,3)$
(4)	$\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{4}$	$-2, ?, -4$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni \frac{1}{2}(1,1), \frac{1}{4}(1,1)$
(5)	$\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$	$-2, ?, -2, -2, -2$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni \frac{1}{2}(1,1), \frac{1}{4}(1,3)$

(2) $d = 5$

Case	*Multiplicities*	*Self-Intersections*	*Image in Y*
(1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	-4	$\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$
(2)	$1, \frac{1}{2}$	$?, -2$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni \frac{1}{2}(1,1)$
(3)	$\frac{4}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}$	$-7, -2, -2, -2$	$\frac{1}{25}(1,4)$
(4)	$\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{2}{5}$	$-3, -5, -2$	$\frac{1}{25}(1,9)$
(5)	$1, \frac{4}{5}$	$?, -5$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni \frac{1}{5}(1,1)$
(6)	$1, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{3}{5}$	$?, -2, -3$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni \frac{1}{5}(1,2)$
(7)	$1, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{2}{5}$	$?, -3, -2$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni \frac{1}{5}(1,3)$
(8)	$1, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}$	$?, -2, -2, -2, -2$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni \frac{1}{5}(1,4)$

Here we write $\mathbb{P}^1 \ni \frac{1}{r}(1,a)$ to denote a smooth rational curve C on Y passing through a singularity of type $\frac{1}{r}(1,a)$, such that locally analytically $(Y,C) \cong (\mathbb{A}^2_{x,y}/\frac{1}{r}(1,a),(x=0))$.

Proof. X is slt for $3 \not| d$ by Theorem 13.1, thus (Y,C) is log terminal. Recall that the log terminal singularities of (Y,C) are of types $(\frac{1}{n^2}(1,na-1),0)$ and $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a),\Delta)$. Lemma 15.4 states which values of the indices n and r are possible. It only remains to determine what combinations of singularities can lie on $C \subset Y$ for $C \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $C \neq \emptyset$, and let (Y,C) have singularities of indices $r_1,\dots,r_k$ at C. Then $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $-(K_Y+C)C = 2 - \sum(1-\frac{1}{r_i}) > 0$ (compare Theorem 3.5(II)). Moreover, $-(K_Y+C)C$ is 3-divisible by Lemma 15.6 below. Using the restrictions on the indices in Lemma 15.4, we obtain the solutions $k=1$, $r_1=2$, or $k=2$, $r_1=2$, $r_2=4$ for $d=4$, and $k=1$, $r_1=2$, or $k=1$, $r_1=5$ for $d=5$. Combining our results we obtain the lists of possible connected components of $\tilde{C}$ above. ☐

Lemma 15.6. *Suppose $3 \not| d$. Let $\Gamma \subset Y$ be a curve. Then $-(K_Y+C)\Gamma$ is divisible by 3 (i.e. writing $-(K_Y+C)\Gamma = \frac{a}{b}$, $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a,b)=1$, we have $3 \mid a$). Equivalently, let $\Gamma' \subset \tilde{Y}$ be a curve that is not π-exceptional, then $-(K_{\tilde{Y}}+\tilde{C})\Gamma'$ is divisible by 3.*

Proof. We use the relation $d(K_Y+C)+3D_Y \sim 0$. Thus $-(K_Y+C)\Gamma = \frac{3}{d}D_Y\Gamma$, so it's enough to show that the index of D_Y is not 3-divisible. See the proof of Lemma 11.2. ☐

Lemma 15.7. *Suppose Γ is a curve contained in a degenerate fibre of p which is not a component of $\tilde{C}$. Then Γ is a -1 curve and $\tilde{C}\Gamma < 1$.*

Proof. We know Γ is not π-exceptional by Lemma 15.3, hence $(K_{\tilde{Y}}+\tilde{C})\Gamma < 0$. But $(K_{\tilde{Y}}+\tilde{C})\Gamma = -2-\Gamma^2+\tilde{C}\Gamma \geq -2-\Gamma^2$. Hence $\Gamma^2=-1$ and $\tilde{C}\Gamma < 1$ as claimed. ☐

Notation 15.8. We refer to such a curve Γ as a γ-curve

Corollary 15.9. *Suppose Γ is a γ-curve. We list the possible intersections of Γ with $\tilde{C}$.*

1. $d=4$: *Γ intersects one component of $\tilde{C}$ of multiplicity $\frac{1}{4}$.*
2. $d=5$: *Γ intersects one component of $\tilde{C}$ of multiplicity $\frac{2}{5}$, or two components of multiplicity $\frac{1}{5}$, or two components of multiplicities $\frac{1}{5}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$.*
3. $d=6$: *Γ intersects one component of $\tilde{C}$ of multiplicity $\frac{1}{2}$.*

All intersections are transverse and at a single point, except possibly the $\frac{1}{5},\frac{1}{5}$ case for $d=5$ — here the two components of $\tilde{C}$ may coincide, and then further the two points of intersection may coincide to yield a point of contact of order 2.

Proof. This is immediate from the index calculations of Lemma 15.4 together with Lemma 15.6 and Lemma 15.7. $\square$

Theorem 15.10. *The stable pairs of degrees* 4 *and* 5 *are as described in Section 14.*

Proof. Let (X, D) be a stable pair of degree d, where $d = 4$ or 5. Let (Y, C) be a component of (X^ν, Δ^ν). Then Y is rational since $3 \not| d$. If $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ then $C = 0$, since $-(K_Y + C)$ is ample and 3-divisible (again using $3 \not| d$). So assume $(Y, C) \not\cong (\mathbb{P}^2, 0)$, and choose $\phi : \tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{F}_w$ as in Notation 15.2. We classify the pairs $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C})$, and hence the pairs (Y, C), and finally glue these together to form the surfaces X.

Each degenerate fibre of the birational ruling $p : \tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ consists of some irreducible components of $\tilde{C}$ and some γ-curves. We have a list of possible connected components of $\tilde{C}$ in Lemma 15.5, and Corollary 15.9 describes how γ-curves and irreducible components of $\tilde{C}$ may intersect. Note also that two γ-curves Γ_1, Γ_2 in a degenerate fibre f_0 do not intersect unless $f_0 = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. We classify the $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C})$ by calculating all the ways we can piece together connected components of $\tilde{C}$ and γ-curves to form a net of curves which is a union of fibres and horizontal curves on some surface $\tilde{Y}$ with a birational ruling $p : \tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

So, suppose given some $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C})$, and assume $\tilde{C} \neq 0$ (otherwise $X = Y$ is smooth, so $X = \mathbb{P}^2$). Let F be a connected component of $\tilde{C}$. We consider the cases of Lemma 15.5.

We first treat the case $d = 4$. In case (4) F cannot intersect a γ-curve. Thus if F intersects a degenerate fibre, it must contain the whole degenerate fibre. But by inspection F cannot contain a degenerate fibre, so F does not intersect any degenerate fibre. It follows that there are no degenerate fibres. But we know there are at least two curves of negative self-intersection, a contradiction. So case (4) does not occur. It now follows that case (5) cannot occur. For a (Y, C) with singularities at C corresponding to case (5) must be glued to a (Y', C') with singularities at C' corresponding to case (4) in order to form a smoothable surface X.

In case (3), let E_1, E_2, E_3 be the components of F, ordered as in Lemma 15.5. Only E_3 can intersect a γ-curve and F cannot contain a degenerate fibre. Suppose that a component of F is contained in a degenerate fibre f_0. Then f_0 consists of E_3 together with some γ-curves meeting E_3, and possibly E_1 or E_2. The only possibility is $f_0 = E_3 \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ where Γ_1, Γ_2 are γ-curves. Then E_1 and E_2 must be horizontal, but E_1 cannot intersect f_0, a contradiction. So every component of F is horizontal. In particular there can be no degenerate fibres (because E_1 cannot intersect a γ-curve), a contradiction. Thus case (3) does not occur.

In case (1) F cannot intersect a γ-curve. So there are no degenerate fibres (compare (4) above). Thus $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}) = (\mathbb{F}_4, \frac{1}{2}B)$, so $(Y, C) = (\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4), 0)$. In case (2) again F cannot intersect a γ-curve. Thus $\tilde{Y}$ is ruled, $\tilde{Y} = \mathbb{F}_2$, and so $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$. Finally $(Y, C) = (\mathbb{P}(1,1,2), H)$, since $-(K_Y + C)$ is ample and 3-divisible. This completes the classification of the (Y, C) for $d = 4$.

Now let $d = 5$. In case (6) F cannot intersect a γ-curve. We obtain a contradiction as for $d = 4$,(4). So (6) does not occur. It follows that case (7) cannot occur, as for $d = 4$,(5). For a (Y, C) with singularities at C corresponding to case (7) must be glued to a (Y', C') with singularities at C' corresponding to case (6) to form a smoothable surface X.

In case (4), let E_1, E_2, E_3 be the components of F, ordered as above. Only E_3 can intersect a γ-curve, and a γ-curve intersecting E_3 does not intersect any other irreducible components of $\widetilde{C}$. We proceed as in $d = 4$,(3) to obtain a contradiction, thus (4) does not occur.

In case (5), F cannot intersect a γ-curve. Thus $\tilde{Y}$ is ruled, $\tilde{Y} = \mathbb{F}_5$ and $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,1,5)$. The curve C' cannot be horizontal using $-(K_Y + C)$ ample and 3-divisible, thus C' is a fibre and $(Y, C) = (\mathbb{P}(1,1,5), H)$.

In case (3), write E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4 for the components of F, ordered as above. Then only E_3 and E_4 can intersect a γ-curve. Now F cannot contain a fibre, thus we see that F has components in at most one fibre, and then this fibre contains E_3 or E_4. If every component of F were horizontal, then E_1 would intersect a γ-curve in a degenerate fibre, a contradiction. Thus F has components in a unique degenerate fibre f_0.

We classify the possible fibres f_0 above. We have $E_2 \subset f_0$ because E_1 intersects f_0, it follows that $E_3 \subset f_0$. If $E_4 \not\subset f_0$, then f_0 consists of E_2, E_3, some γ-curves (meeting E_3) and possibly E_1 — but such a configuration is never a fibre, a contradiction. Hence $E_2, E_3, E_4 \subset f_0$. If there is a γ-curve Γ meeting E_3, then $f_0 = E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4 \cup \Gamma$. Otherwise, we have some γ-curves meeting E_4. If Γ_1, Γ_2 are two such curves then $f_0 = E_4 \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, a contradiction. Thus there is exactly one γ-curve, Γ say, meeting E_4. Then Γ intersects another irreducible component E of $\widetilde{C}$ which has multiplicity $\frac{1}{5}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ (by Corollary 15.9) and hence self-intersection -2 or -4 by Lemma 15.5. We have $E \subset f_0$. If $E^2 = -2$ we find $f_0 = E_4 \cup \Gamma \cup E$, a contradiction. Thus $E^2 = -4$, we deduce $f_0 = E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4 \cup \Gamma \cup E$. Note that E is a *connected* component of $\widetilde{C}$ of type (1).

So, we have two possible types of degenerate fibre f_0 — either $f_0 = E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4 \cup \Gamma$ where Γ meets E_3, or $f_0 = E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4 \cup \Gamma \cup E$, a chain of curves, where $E^2 = -4$. In each case E_1 is horizontal — it follows that there are no more degenerate fibres, since E_1 cannot intersect a γ-curve. We see that $\tilde{Y}$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}_7$ by a sequence of blowups, and $E_1 = B'$. Also $C = 0$ so $X = Y$. A graded ring calculation shows that $X = X_{26} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,13,25)$ in the first case. In the second case we see $X = \mathbb{P}(1,4,25)$ by toric methods.

Case (8) is very similiar: writing $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_4$ for the components of F, we obtain the same possible degenerate fibres f_0 as above, E_1 horizontal, and E_0 a fibre. $\tilde{Y}$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}_2$ by a sequence of blowups, and $E_1 = B'$. A graded ring calculation shows that $Y = X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1,2,3,5)$ in the first case, where $C \sim 2H$. In the second case we have $Y = \mathbb{P}(1,4,5)$, where $C \sim 4H$.

Finally, for cases (1) and (2), we may assume that $-(K_Y+C)$ has index 2, because I have already classified the (Y,C) with an index 5 singularity above. Then the same calculation as for $d=4$ gives $(Y,C)=(\mathbb{P}(1,1,4),0)$ or $(\mathbb{P}(1,1,2),H)$. This completes the classification of the (Y,C) for $d=5$. We calculate that each surface Y has $\rho(Y)=1$, and (Y,C) is a log del Pezzo surface.

We now glue the (Y,C) together to obtain the surfaces X. The normal surfaces X are the surfaces Y with $C=0$. The non-normal surfaces X are obtained by glueing components (Y_1,C_1), (Y_2,C_2) along C_1,C_2 so that each $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a),\Delta)$ singularity on (Y_1,C_1) is glued to a $(\frac{1}{r}(1,-a),\Delta)$ singularity on (Y_2,C_2) to give a singularity of type $(xy=0)\subset\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,a)$ on X. We also require that $K_X^2=9$, equivalently $(K_{Y_1}+C_1)^2+(K_{Y_2}+C_2)^2=9$ (in fact this is automatic in our cases $d=4$ and 5).

Finally, we conclude that each surface X constructed as above occurs in a stable pair (X,D) of degree $d=4$ or 5. We need to show that there exists a divisor D on X such that $dK_X+3D\sim 0$, $K_X+(\frac{3}{d}+\epsilon)D$ is slc — this is easy to check. Moreover, we require that (X,D) admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{D})/T$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}\cong\mathbb{P}^2_{\bar{\eta}}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier — this follows from Theorem 11.9, using the existence of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing $\mathcal{X}/T$ (Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 12.1). $\square$

16. EXAMPLES IN THE CASE $3\mid d$

We first construct explicit smoothings of some slc del Pezzo surfaces of type C. We thus obtain examples of stable pairs of degree 6 — in particular, we obtain an example where the surface has 18 components. We next give an example to show that $\mathcal{M}_d$ is not smooth if $3\mid d$ (Example 16.6). Our final example shows that the relative smoothability assumption 2.16 in the definition of $\mathcal{M}_d$ is necessary if $3\mid d$. More specifically, we construct an slc del Pezzo surface X which admits $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothings to both $\mathbb{P}^2$ and a surface which is not smoothable.

Construction 16.1. Let C be a cycle of smooth rational curves, i.e., $C=C_1\cup\cdots\cup C_r$, where $C_i\cong\mathbb{P}^1$ for all i, C is nodal, and the dual graph of C is a cycle. Let T be the spectrum of a complete DVR with generic point η. Then there exists a smoothing $\mathcal{C}/T$ of C to an elliptic curve. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a relatively ample line bundle on $\mathcal{C}$, write $n_i=\mathcal{L}\cdot C_i>0$. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}=\underline{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}\oplus\mathcal{L}^\vee)$. The special fibre $\tilde{X}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over C with components $\tilde{X}_i\cong\mathbb{F}_{n_i}$ ruled over C_i. The generic fibre is a ruled surface of degree $\sum n_i$ over an elliptic curve. Contracting the negative sections, we obtain a family $\mathcal{X}/T$ with special fibre $X=\mathbb{P}(1,1,n_1)\cup\cdots\cup\mathbb{P}(1,1,n_r)$ (an slc del Pezzo surface of type C) and generic fibre an elliptic cone of degree $\sum n_i$. If we fix $\sum n_i=9$ (equivalently $K_X^2=9$), we deduce that X is smoothable, since an elliptic cone of degree 9 is smoothable by Lemma 7.6.

Construction 16.2. We generalise Construction 16.1. Let C and $\mathcal{C}/T$ be as above. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$ which is invertible in codimension 1 and S_2. Locally at a node $P \in C$, we have

$$(C \subset \mathcal{C}) \cong ((t=0) \subset ((xy = t^r) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,t})) \cong ((uv=0) \subset \mathbb{A}^2_{u,v}/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1)).$$

Write $\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C}$ for the local universal cover $\mathbb{A}^2_{u,v} \to \mathbb{A}^2_{u,v}/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1)$. Then locally $\mathcal{L} \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}'})_a$, where the subscript a is used to denote the eigensubsheaf where a generator $\zeta \in \mu_r$ acts as ζ^a. In particular, $\mathcal{L}^{[r]}$ is invertible locally. Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is relatively ample, write $n_i = \mathcal{L} \cdot C_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \underline{\mathrm{Proj}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\oplus_{n \geq 0} S^{[n]}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\vee})),$$

where we write $S^{[n]}$ for the double dual of the nth symmetric power of a sheaf. Where $\mathcal{L}$ is invertible, $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle and thus easily understood. Let $P \in C$ be a node where $\mathcal{L}$ is not invertible. Locally at $P \in \mathcal{C}$, with notation as above, we have

$$\oplus_{n \geq 0} S^{[n]}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\vee}) \cong k[u,v,W,Z]^{\mu_r}$$

where u, v, W and Z have weights 1, -1, 0 and a with respect to the μ_r action, and W and Z have weight 1 with respect to the grading of the ring. So, locally over $P \in \mathcal{C}$, we have two affine pieces of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ as follows:

$$(\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}) \cong ((uv=0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{u,v,w}/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,-a)),$$

$$(\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}) \cong ((uv=0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{u,v,z}/\frac{1}{r}(1,-1,a))$$

where $w = \frac{W}{Z}$ and $z = \frac{Z}{W}$. Let $(a,r) = h$, write $a = ha'$, $r = hr'$. Then the surface $\tilde{X}$ has singularities $(xy=0) \subset \frac{1}{r'}(1,-1,-a')$ and $(xy=0) \subset \frac{1}{r'}(1,-1,a')$, and the 3-fold $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ has a $\frac{1}{h}(1,-1) \times \mathbb{A}^1$ singularity generically along the double curve.

We can now give a global description of $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. The surface $\tilde{X}$ has components $(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{\Delta}_i)$ which are fibred over the components C_i of C. The fibres of $\tilde{X}_i/C_i$ are smooth rational curves (when given their reduced structure). The double curve $\tilde{\Delta}_i$ is the sum of the (reduced) fibres of $\tilde{X}_i/C_i$ over the nodes of C on C_i. Let P be a node of C on C_i where $\mathcal{L}$ is not invertible. With notation as above, the fibre of $\tilde{X}_i/C_i$ over P has multiplicity r', and $(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{\Delta}_i)$ has singularities $(\frac{1}{r'}(1,a'),\Delta)$ and $(\frac{1}{r'}(1,-a'),\Delta)$ at the fibre. The bundle $\tilde{X}_i/C_i$ has a negative section of self-intersection $-n_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. We obtain $\tilde{X}$ by glueing the components $\tilde{X}_i$ along the fibres over the nodes of C. The singularities of type $(\frac{1}{r}(1,a),\Delta)$ on the components glue to give singularities of type $(xy=0) \subset \frac{1}{r}(1,-1,a)$ on $\tilde{X}$, elsewhere $\tilde{X}$ is normal crossing. The negative sections of $\tilde{X}_i/C_i$ glue to give a section of $\tilde{X}/C$. The family $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/T$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of $\tilde{X}$, with generic fibre a ruled surface over an elliptic curve of degree $\sum n_i$.

We now contract the negative sections. We obtain a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein family $\mathcal{X}/T$ with special fibre X an slc del Pezzo surface of type C and generic fibre an elliptic cone of degree $\sum n_i$. Again, assuming $K_X^2 = \sum n_i = 9$, we deduce that X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Proposition 16.3. *Let X be a surface of type C. Assume that*

1. *X has slt singularities of types $(xy = 0) \subset \frac{1}{r}(1, -1, a)$, $(a, r) = 1$.*
2. *$K_X^2 = 9$.*
3. *For every component (Y, C) of X, (Y, C) is toric, i.e., Y is a toric surface and C is a sum of toric strata of codimension 1, and $\rho(Y) = 1$.*

Then X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Remark 16.4. Note that conditions (1) and (2) are necessary.

Proof. Let (Y, C) be a component of X. Then there is a unique toric blowup $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ which blows up the node of C such that the strict transforms of the components of C give fibres of a fibration $\tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$. For, if Y is given by the fan defined by the vectors $v_0, v_1, v_2 \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$, where v_1 and v_2 correspond to the components of C, the map $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ corresponds to the subdivision of the fan obtained by adding the vector $-v_0$. Write $\tilde{C}$ for the strict transform of C. Glueing the $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C})$ together so that the negative sections form a cycle, we obtain a partial resolution $\tilde{X} \to X$. We claim that, for a suitable choice of $\mathcal{C}/T$ and $\mathcal{L}$ in Construction 16.2, we can recover $\tilde{X}$ as the special fibre of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \underline{\operatorname{Proj}}_{\mathcal{C}}(S^{[n]}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus \mathcal{L}^\vee))$. Hence we obtain a smoothing of X as above.

To prove the claim, note that $\tilde{X}$ is uniquely determined by the following data — the self-intersections $-n_i$ of the negative sections of the components $\tilde{X}_i$, and the $(xy = 0) \subset \frac{1}{r}(1, -1, a)$ singularities at the double curves. Let $\mathcal{C}/T$ be any smoothing of C. Possibly after base change, we can choose $\mathcal{L}$ locally at the nodes of C to obtain the required singularities (compare Construction 16.2). These local choices extend to a global sheaf $\mathcal{L}$. We require that $\mathcal{L} \cdot C_i = n_i$ in order to obtain the correct self-intersections of the negative sections — we can achieve this by twisting $\mathcal{L}$ by a suitable line bundle. $\square$

Example 16.5. Every candidate surface X for $d = 6$ (see 14.3.2) of type C with components of Picard number 1 does indeed occur in a stable pair (X, D) of degree 6. For, by Proposition 16.3, X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing to $\mathbb{P}^2$. It only remains to show that there exists a divisor D on X such that (X, D) is a stable pair of degree 6. Equivalently, we require $D \in |-2K_X|$ such that $K_X + (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)D$ is slc for some $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\pi \colon \tilde{X} \to X$ be the partial resolution as in the Proof of Proposition 16.3, and let $p \colon \tilde{X} \to C$ be the fibration. Let $\tilde{D}$ be a general double section of p which is disjoint from the negative section. Then $D = \pi_\star \tilde{D}$ has the required properties. Note that smoothability of the *pair* (X, D) follows by Theorem 11.9.

In particular, we obtain an example of a stable pair (X, D) of degree 6 such that the surface X has 18 components. We construct X by glueing 18 components $(Y, C) \cong (\mathbb{P}(1,1,2), H + \sim 2H)$ together to form a surface of type C. At the degenerate cusp all the components of X are smooth, and X has 9 slt singularities of type $(xy = 0) \subset \frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$. We note that 18 is the maximum number of components of a surface X occurring in a stable pair of degree 6. For, by Lemma 15.4 we have $2K_X$ Cartier, thus for each component (Y, C) of X we have $(K_Y + C)^2 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, in particular $(K_Y + C)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\sum (K_Y + C)^2 = K_X^2 = 9$, there are at most 18 components as required.

Example 16.6. The deformation space $\operatorname{Def} X$ of an elliptic cone X of degree 9 consists of 9 smooth 1-dimensional components, together with an embedded component at the origin. The components of $(\operatorname{Def} X)_{\mathrm{red}}$ meet as transversely as possible, i.e., $(\operatorname{Def} X)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is isomorphic to the collection of coordinate axes in $(0 \in \mathbb{C}^9)$. Each component corresponds to a smoothing of X to $\mathbb{P}^2$ as constructed in Lemma 7.6. Write E for the section of X. There is an action of the 9-torsion of $\operatorname{Pic}^0 E$ on $(\operatorname{Def} X)_{\mathrm{red}}$ which permutes the components transitively, the 3-torsion elements induce automorphisms of each component. For details see [Ste], p. 220, Example 4.5.

Now, we can add a divisor D on X such that (X, D) is a stable pair of degree d, for any d such that $3 \mid d$. We describe the singularities of $\mathcal{M}_d$ at the point $[(X, D)]$. By Theorem 11.9, $\mathcal{M}_d$ is smooth over the closed subscheme of $\operatorname{Def} X$ corresponding to $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothable deformations. First, since K_X is Cartier, every deformation is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein. Second, the smoothable deformations correspond (by definition) to the scheme theoretic closure in $\operatorname{Def} X$ of the locus where the geometric fibres are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$. In our case this is exactly $(\operatorname{Def} X)_{\mathrm{red}}$ by the explicit description above. Thus $\mathcal{M}_d$ is smooth over $(\operatorname{Def} X)_{\mathrm{red}}$. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_d$ is *not* smooth at $[(X, D)]$.

Example 16.7. We define an slc del Pezzo surface X of type C as follows: The normalisation (X^ν, Δ^ν) has two components $(X_1, \Delta_1) \cong (\mathbb{F}_4, A + B)$ and $(X_2, \Delta_2) \cong (\mathbb{F}_1, A + B)$, where we write A for the fibre and B for the negative section of a rational ruled surface $\mathbb{F}_n$. X_1 is glued to X_2 by identifying the negative section of $\mathbb{F}_4$ with a fibre of $\mathbb{F}_1$ and vice versa. Thus X has a degenerate cusp, we remark that locally analytically at this point we have

$$X \cong ((xy - z)z = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{x,y,z}.$$

We claim that X smoothes to $\mathbb{P}^2$. We construct an explicit smoothing as follows: Let T be the spectrum of a DVR, let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{P}^2_T$. Let $\mathcal{Y}^1/T$ denote the blowup of $\mathcal{Y}/T$ with centre a smooth conic Q in the special fibre $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$. Then the special fibre Y^1 consists of the strict transform $Y' \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of Y together with the exceptional divisor $E \cong \mathbb{F}_4$, glued along the conic Q and the negative section B. Let $\mathcal{Y}^2/T$ denote the blowup of $\mathcal{Y}^1/T$ with centre a fibre A of E. Then $Y^2 = Y'' + E' + F$, where $Y'' \cong \operatorname{Bl} \mathbb{P}^2 \cong \mathbb{F}_1$, $E' \cong \mathbb{F}_4$, and

$F \cong \mathbb{F}_1$ is the exceptional divisor, glued to the fibre A of E' along its negative section, and glued to the -1-curve of Y'' along a fibre. We calculate that $-K_{\mathcal{Y}^2}$ is ample on E' and F, and defines the fibering contraction $\mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of $Y'' \cong \mathbb{F}_1$. Thus some multiple of $-K_{\mathcal{Y}^2}$ defines a divisorial contraction $\mathcal{Y}^2 \to \bar{\mathcal{Y}}/T$ which contracts $Y'' \cong \mathbb{F}_1$ to a curve. We observe that the special fibre $\bar{Y}$ is our surface X, so $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}/T$ is a smoothing of X as required.

We next construct a partial smoothing of X to a rigid normal crossing surface. We consider the components of X separately. First, the component $(X_1, \Delta_1) \cong (\mathbb{F}_4, A+B)$ has a deformation $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{C}_1)/T$ with generic fibre $(\mathbb{F}_2, B)$. The family $\mathcal{X}_1/T$ can be obtained as a deformation of scrolls in some $\mathbb{P}^N$. Second, the component $(X_2, \Delta_2) \cong (\mathbb{F}_1, A+B)$ has a deformation $(\mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{C}_2)/T$ with generic fibre $(\mathbb{F}_1, C)$, where $C \sim A+B$ is a section of $\mathbb{F}_1$ disjoint from B. Here $\mathcal{X}_2/T$ is the trivial deformation. Then, possibly after base change, we can glue $\mathcal{X}_1/T$ and $\mathcal{X}_2/T$ along $\mathcal{C}_1/T$ and $\mathcal{C}_2/T$ to obtain a partial smoothing $\mathcal{X}/T$ of X. The generic fibre is the normal crossing surface Z obtained by glueing $(\mathbb{F}_2, B)$ and $(\mathbb{F}_1, C)$. The surface Z is rigid, in particular it is not smoothable. To see this, note that, by Lemma 12.3, we have $\mathcal{T}^1_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. So $H^0(\mathcal{T}^1_Z) = 0$, thus all deformations of Z are locally trivial. It is easy to see that Z has no locally trivial deformations (by considering the components separately), hence Z is rigid as claimed.

We can add a divisor D such that (X, D) is a stable pair of degree d, for any d such that $3 \mid d$. Then, working locally at $[(X,D)] \in \mathcal{M}_d$, the stack $\mathcal{M}_d$ is smooth over the closed subscheme $\operatorname{Def}^{sm} X$ of $\operatorname{Def} X$ corresponding to smoothable deformations. We want to emphasise that, set-theoretically, $\operatorname{Def}^{sm} X$ is strictly smaller than $\operatorname{Def} X$. For, by our construction above, there exists an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Def} X$ whose generic point corresponds to a surface Z that is not smoothable. Thus this component is not contained in $\operatorname{Def}^{sm} X$. In particular, we see that the relative smoothability condition (2.16) for $\mathcal{M}_d$ is necessary. For, let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T$ be a deformation of (X, D) over the spectrum of a complete DVR such that $\mathcal{X}/T$ has generic fibre Z. Write $\mathcal{M}'_d$ for the groupoid obtained by dropping the relative smoothability assumption in the definition of $\mathcal{M}_d$. Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})/T \notin \mathcal{M}'_d(T)$ (since the generic fibre is not smoothable), but $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \times_T A \in \mathcal{M}'_d(A)$ for any Artinian thickening A of $0 \in T$. It follows that there does not exist a versal deformation $(\mathcal{X}^u, \mathcal{D}^u)/U \in \mathcal{M}'_d(U)$ of (X, D), thus $\mathcal{M}'_d$ is not an algebraic stack.

References

[Al] V. Alexeev, Moduli spaces $M_{g,n}(W)$ for surfaces, in Higher dimensional complex varieties, Trento, 1994, 1–22.

[Ar1] M. Artin, Versal deformations and algebraic stacks, Invent. Math. 27 (1974), 165–189.

[Ar2] M. Artin, Deformations of singularities, Tata Lecture Notes 54 (1976).

[DM] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus, Publ. Math. IHES 36 (1969), 75–110.

[EGA] A. Grothendieck, Éléments de geometrie algebrique, I-IV, Publ. Math. IHES, 1960-67.

[GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of algebraic geometry, Wiley, 1978.

[Gr] A. Grothendieck, Techniques de descente et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique, IV, Sem. Bourbaki 221, 1960-61.

[Har] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer, 1977.

[Has] B. Hassett, Stable log surfaces and limits of quartic plane curves, Manuscripta Math. 100 (1999), 469–497.

[Ii] S. Iitaka, Algebraic Geometry. An introduction to birational geometry of algebraic varieties, GTM 76, Springer, 1982.

[Ko1] J. Kollár, Push forward and base change for open immersions, Preprint from Utah Summer Workshop on Moduli of Surfaces, 1994.

[Ko2] J. Kollár, Flips, Flops, Minimal models, etc., Surveys in Differential Geometry 1 (1991), 113–199.

[Ko3] J. Kollár, Polynomials with integral coefficients equivalent to a given polynomial, Electronic Research Announcements of the AMS 3, 17–27 (1997).

[Ko4] J. Kollár, Rational Curves on Algebraic Varieties, Springer, 1999.

[Ko5] J. Kollár, Toward moduli of singular varieties, Comp. Math. 56 (1985), 369–398.

[Ko6] J. Kollár, Projectivity of complete moduli, J. Diff. Geom. 32 (1990), 235–268.

[KM] J. Kollár and S. Mori, Birational geometry of algebraic varieties, CUP, 1998.

[KSB] J. Kollár and N. Shepherd-Barron, Threefolds and deformations of surface singularities, Invent. Math. 91 (1988), 299–338.

[LS] S. Lichtenbaum and M. Schlessinger, The cotangent complex of a morphism, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1967), 41–70.

[Ma] M. Manetti, Normal degenerations of the complex projective plane, J. reine. angew. Math 419 (1991), 89–118.

[Mat] H. Matsumura, Commutative Ring theory, CUP, 1986.

[Mu] D. Mumford, Lectures on Curves on an Algebraic Surface, Princeton, 1966.

[Re] M. Reid, Nonnormal del Pezzo Surfaces, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 30 (1994), 695–727.

[Sch] M. Schlessinger, Functors of Artin Rings, Trans. A.M.S. 130 (1968), 208–222.

[St1] J. Steenbrink, Mixed Hodge Structure on the vanishing cohomology, in Real and Complex Singularities, Oslo 1976.

[St2] J. Steenbrink, Mixed Hodge Structure associated with isolated singularities, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 40, Part 2 (1983), 513–536.

[Ste] J. Stevens, Degenerations of elliptic curves and equations for cusp singularities, Math. Ann. 311 (1998), 199–222.

[YPG] M. Reid, Young Person's guide to canonical singularities, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 46, Part 1 (1987), 345–414.